arXiv:0710.1614v2 [math.KT] 17 Feb 2008

MOTIVES OF AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS

BRUNO KAHN AND MARC LEVINE

Abstract. We study the slice filtration for the K-theory of a sheaf of Azumaya algebras A, and for the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety, the latter in the case of a central simple algebra of prime degree over a field. Using the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture, we apply our results to show the vanishing of $SK_2(A)$ for a central simple algebra A of square-free index.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. The motivic Postnikov tower in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ and $DM^{eff}(k)$ 5
1.1. Constructions in $\mathbb{A}^1$ stable homotopy theory 6
1.2. Postnikov towers for T-spectra and S^1-spectra 6
1.3. Postnikov towers for motives 7
1.4. Purity 10
1.5. The homotopy coniveau tower 10
1.6. The 0th slice 13
1.7. Connected spectra 14
1.8. Well-connected spectra 19
2. Birational motives and higher Chow groups 20
2.1. Birational motives 20
2.2. The Postnikov tower for birational motives 21
2.3. Cycles and slices 23
2.4. The sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ 25
3. The sheaves $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 28
3.1. $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$: definition and first properties 28
3.2. The reduced norm map 29
3.3. The presheaf with transfers $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 29
3.4. Severi-Brauer varieties 30
3.5. $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ for embedded schemes 31
3.6. The cycle complex 34
3.7. Elementary properties 35
3.8. Localization 36
3.9. Extended functoriality 37
3.10. Reduced norm 37
4. The spectral sequence 38

Date: December 31, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25, 19E15; Secondary 19E08 14F42, 55P42.

Key words and phrases. Bloch-Lichtenbaum spectral sequence, algebraic cycles, Morel-Voevodsky stable homotopy category, slice filtration, Azumaya algebras, Severi-Brauer schemes.

4.1. The homotopy coniveau filtration 38
4.2. The cycle map 40
4.3. Localization 41
4.4. The case of a field 43
4.5. The slice filtration for an Azumaya algebra 44
4.6. The reduced norm map 47
4.7. Computations 50
4.8. Codimension one 51
4.9. A map from $SK_i(A)$ to étale cohomology 53
5. The motivic Postnikov tower for a Severi-Brauer variety 56
5.1. The motivic Postnikov tower for a smooth variety 56
5.2. The case of K-theory 60
5.3. The Chow sheaf 60
5.4. The slices of $M(X)$ 62
6. Applications 64
6.1. A spectral sequence for motivic homology 65
6.2. Computing the boundary map 71
Appendix A. Modules over Azumaya algebras 75
Appendix B. Regularity 77
References 79

INTRODUCTION

Voevodsky [40] has defined an analog of the classical Postnikov tower in the setting of motivic stable homotopy theory by replacing the classical suspension $\Sigma := S^1 \wedge -$ with t-suspension $\Sigma_t := \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge -$; we call this construction the *motivic Postnikov tower*. In this paper, we study the motivic Postnikov tower in the category of S^1-spectra, $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, and its analog in the category of effective motives, $DM^{eff}(k)$. We concentrate on objects arising from a central simple algebra A over a field k. In the setting of S^1-spectra, we look at the presheaf of the K-theory spectra K^A:

$$Y \mapsto K^A(Y) := K(Y; A),$$

where $K(Y; A)$ is the K-theory spectrum of the category of $\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_k A$-modules which are locally free as $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. For motives, we study the motive $M(X) \in DM^{eff}(k)$, where X is the Severi-Brauer variety of A. In the case of the Severi-Brauer variety, we are limited to the case of A having prime degree.

Of course, K^A is a twisted form of the presheaf K of K-theory spectra $Y \mapsto K(Y)$ and X is a twisted form of a projective space over k, so one would expect the layers in the respective Postnikov towers of K^A and $M(X)$ to be twisted forms of the layers for K and $M(\mathbb{P}^n)$. The second author has shown in [18] that the nth layer for K is the Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum for the Tate motive $\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$; similarly, the direct sum decomposition

$$M(\mathbb{P}^N) = \oplus_{n=0}^N \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$$

shows that nth layer for $M(\mathbb{P}^N)$ is $\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$, and is 0 for n outside this range. The twisted version of $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ turns out to be $\mathbb{Z}_A(n)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is the subsheaf of the constant sheaf with transfers having value $\mathbb{Z}_A(Y) \subset \mathbb{Z}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ equal to the image of the reduced norm map

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : K_0(A \otimes_k k(Y)) \to K_0(k(Y)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here Y is any smooth irreducible scheme over k. Letting s_n and s_n^{mot} denote the n layer of the motivic Postnikov tower in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ and $DM^{eff}(k)$, respectively, and letting $EM : DM^{eff}(k) \to \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ denote the Eilenberg-Maclane functor [27], our main results are

Theorem 1. *Let A be a central simple algebra over a field k. Then*

$$s_n(K^A) = EM(\mathbb{Z}_A(n)[2n])$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a central simple algebra over a field k of prime degree ℓ, $X := \mathrm{SB}(A)$ the associated Severi-Brauer variety. Then*

$$s_n^{mot}(M(X)) = \mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes n+1}}(n)[2n]$$

for $0 \leq n \leq \ell - 1$, 0 otherwise.

See theorems 4.5.5 and 5.4.2, respectively, in the body of the paper.

Since $s_n K^A$ and $s_n^{mot} M(X)$ are the layers in the respective motivic Postnikov towers

$$\ldots \to f_{n+1}K^A \to f_n K^A \to \ldots \to f_0 K^A = K^A$$
$$0 = f_\ell^{mot} M(X) \to f_{\ell-1}^{mot} M(X) \to \ldots \to f_0^{mot} M(X) = M(X)$$

our computation of the layers gives us some handle on the spectral sequences

$$E_2^{p,q} := \pi_{-p-q}(s_{-q}K^A(Y)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{-p-q}K^A(Y)$$

and

$$E_2^{p,q} := \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Y, s_{-q}^{mot} M(X)(n)) \Longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Y, M(X)(n))$$

arising from the towers. In fact, we use a version of the first sequence to help compute the layers of $M(X)$. Putting in our computation of the layers into the K^A-spectral sequence gives us the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} := H^{p-q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_A(-q)) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(Y; A)$$

generalizing the Bloch-Lichtenbaum/Friedlander-Suslin spectral sequence from motivic cohomology to K-theory [6, 10]. In particular, taking $Y = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, we get

$$K_1(A) = H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(1))$$

and for A of square-free index

$$K_2(A) = H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(2)).$$

See theorem 4.7.1 and theorem 4.8.2 .

To go further, we must use the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture. Recall that it is equivalent to the Milnor-Bloch-Kato conjecture relating Milnor's K-theory with Galois cohomology [36], [12]. It seems to be now a theorem (see [44]), thanks to work of Rost and Voevodsky; accepted proofs are certainly that of Merkurjev and Suslin in the special case of weight 2 [25] and that of Voevodsky at the prime 2 (in all weights) [41]. Since this seems important to some people, we shall specify in what weights we need the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum (or Milnor-Bloch-Kato) conjecture for our statements.

We thus use our knowledge of the layers of $M(X)$, together with the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture, to deduce a result comparing $H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}_A(q))$ and $H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}(q))$ via the *reduced norm map*

$$\mathrm{Nrd}: H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}_A(q)) \to H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}(q)),$$

this just being the map induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_A \subset \mathbb{Z}$. By identifying Nrd with the change of topologies map from the Nisnevich to the étale topology (using the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_A(n)^{\text{ét}} = \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}}$), a duality argument leads to

Corollary 1. *Let A be a central simple algebra of square-free index e over k. Let $n \geq 0$ and assume the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weights $\leq n+1$ at all primes dividing the index of A. Then*

$$\mathrm{Nrd}: H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}(n))$$

is an isomorphism for $p < n$, and we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to H^n(k,\mathbb{Z}_A(n)) &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} H^n(k,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \simeq K_n^M(k)\\ &\xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)) \to H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k(X),\mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)).\end{aligned}$$

Here $[A] \in H^3_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}(1)) = H^2_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{G}_m)$ is the class of A in the Brauer group of k, and the map $\cup[A]$ is shorthand for the composition

$$H^n(k,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}(n+1))$$

(note that this cup-product map lands into ${}_eH^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \simeq H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}/e(n+1))$, the latter isomorphism being a consequence of the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight $n+1$.)

See theorem 6.2.2 in the body of the paper for this result.

Combining this result with our identification above of $K_1(A)$ and $K_2(A)$ as "twisted Milnor K-theory" of k, we have (see theorem 6.2.2)

Corollary 2. *Let A be a central simple algebra over k of square-free index. Then the reduced norm maps on $K_0(A)$, $K_1(A)$ and $K_2(A)$*

$$\mathrm{Nrd}: K_n(A) \to K_n(k); \quad n = 0,1,2$$

are injective; in fact, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to K_n(A) &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} K_n(k) = H^n(k,\mathbb{Z}(n))\\ &\xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)) \to H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k(X),\mathbb{Z}/e(n+1))\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0,1,2$. (For $n = 2$ we need the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight 3.)

The injectivity of Nrd on $K_1(A)$ is Wang's theorem [43], and it was proved for $K_2(A)$ and A a quaternion algebra by Rost [31] and Merkurjev [24]. They used it as a step towards the proof of the Milnor conjecture in degree 3; conversely, the Milnor conjecture in degree 3 was used in [15, proof of Th. 9.3] to give a simple proof of the injectivity in this case. This proof was one of the starting points of the present paper.

For $n = 0$, the exact sequence reduces to Amitsur's theorem that $\ker(Br(k) \to Br(k(X))$ is generated by the class of A [1]. For $n = 1$, the exactness at $K_1(k)$ is due to Merkurjev-Suslin [25, Th. 12.2] and the exactness at $H^3_{\text{ét}}(k,\mathbb{Z}/e(2))$ could be extracted from Suslin [35]. For $n = 1$ and A a quaternion algebra, the exactness at $H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is due to Arason [2, Satz 5.4]. For $n = 2$ and a quaternion algebra, it is due to Merkurjev [23, Prop. 3.15].

The injectivity for $K_2(A)$ with A of arbitrary square-free index has also been announced recently by A. Merkurjev (joint with A. Suslin); their method also relies on the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture, using it to give a computation of the motivic cohomology of the "Čech co-simplicial scheme" $\check{C}(X)$.

We begin our paper in section 1 with a quick review of the motivic Postnikov tower in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ and $DM^{eff}(k)$, recalling the basic constructions as well as some of the results from [18] that we will need. In section 2 we recall some of the first author's theory of *birational motives*[1] as well as pointing out the role these motives play as the Tate twists of slices of an arbitrary t-spectrum. We proceed in section 3 to define and study the special case of the birational motive $\mathbb{Z}_A$ arising from a central simple algebra A over k; we actually work in the more general setting of a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on a scheme. In section 4 we prove our first main result: we compute the slices of the "homotopy coniveau tower" for the G-theory spectrum $G(X; \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on a scheme X. This result relies on some regularity properties of the functors $K_p(-, A)$ which rely on results due to Vorst and generalized by van der Kallen; we collect and prove what we need in this direction in the appendix B. We also recall some basic results on Azumaya algebras in the appendix A. Specializing to the case in which X is smooth over a field k and $\mathcal{A}$ is the pull-back to X of a central simple algebra A over k, the results of [18] translate our computation of the slices of the homotopy coniveau tower to give theorem 1.

We also give in Subsection 4.9 a construction of homomorphisms from SK_1 and SK_2 of a central simple algebra A to quotients of étale cohomology groups of k, in the spirit of an idea of Suslin [34, 33], albeit with a very different technique (for SK_2 we need the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight 3).

We turn to our study of the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety in section 5, proving theorem 2 there. We conclude in section 6 with a discussion of the reduced norm map and the proofs of corollaries 1 and 2.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank Philippe Gille for helpful exchanges about Azumaya algebras and Nicolas Perrin for an enlightening discussion about the Riemann-Roch theorem. We also thank Wilberd van der Kallen for helpful comments. This work was begun when the second author was visiting the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu on a "Poste rouge CNRS" in 2000, for which visit the second author expresses his heartfelt gratitude. In addition, the second author thanks the NSF for support via grants DMS-9876729, DMS-0140445 and DMS-0457195, as well the Humboldt Foundation for support through the Wolfgang Paul Prize and a Senior Research Fellowship.

1. The motivic Postnikov tower in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ and $DM^{eff}(k)$

In this section, we assume that k is a perfect field. We review Voevodsky's construction of the motivic Postnikov tower in $\mathcal{SH}(k)$ and $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, as well as the analog of the tower in $DM^{eff}(k)$. We also give the description of these towers in terms of the homotopy coniveau tower, following [18], and recall the main results of [18] on well-connected theories and on various generalizations of Bloch's higher Chow groups to higher Chow groups with coefficients.

---

[1] jointly with R. Sujatha

1.1. **Constructions in $\mathbb{A}^1$ stable homotopy theory.** We start with the unstable $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy category over k, $\mathcal{H}(k)$, which is the homotopy category of the category $PSh(k)$ of pointed presheaves of simplicial sets on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, with respect to the Nisnevich- and $\mathbb{A}^1$-local model structure (see Østvær-Röndigs [27]). We let T denote the pointed presheaf $(\mathbb{P}^1, \infty)$, and Σ_T the operation of smash product with T.

$\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ is the homotopy category of the model category $\mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ of presheaves of spectra on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, where the model structure is given as in [27]. $\mathcal{SH}(k)$ is the homotopy category of the category $\mathbf{Spt}_T(k)$ of T-spectra, where we will take this to mean the category of T-spectra in $\mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$, that is, objects are sequences

$$\mathcal{E} := (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$$

with the $E_n \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$, together with bonding maps

$$\epsilon_n : \Sigma_T E_n \to E_{n+1}$$

We give $\mathbf{Spt}_T(k)$ the model structure of spectrum objects as defined by Hovey [13].

Σ_T (on both $\mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ and $\mathbf{Spt}_T(k)$) has as a right adjoint the T-loops functor Ω_T, which passes to the homotopy categories by applying Ω_T to fibrant models. Concretely, for $E \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ we have

$$\Omega_T E(X) := \mathrm{fib}(E(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \to E(X \times \infty)).$$

Note that Σ_T is an equivalence on $\mathcal{SH}(k)$, with inverse Ω_T, but this is not the case on $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$.

1.2. **Postnikov towers for T-spectra and S^1-spectra.** Voevodsky [40] has defined a canonical tower on the motivic stable homotopy category $\mathcal{SH}(k)$, which we call the *motivic Postnikov tower*. This is defined as follows: Let $\mathcal{SH}^{eff}(k) \subset \mathcal{SH}(k)$ be the localizing subcategory generated by the T-suspension spectra of smooth k-schemes, $\Sigma^\infty_T X_+$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. Acting by the equivalence Σ_T of $\mathcal{SH}(k)$ gives us the tower of localizing subcategories

$$\cdots \subset \Sigma^{n+1}_T \mathcal{SH}^{eff}(k) \subset \Sigma^n_T \mathcal{SH}^{eff}(k) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{SH}(k)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Voevodsky notes that the inclusion $i_n : \Sigma^n_T \mathcal{SH}^{eff}(k) \to \mathcal{SH}(k)$ admits a right adjoint $r_n : \mathcal{SH}(k) \to \Sigma^n_T \mathcal{SH}^{eff}(k)$; let $f_n := i_n \circ r_n$ with counit $f_n \to \mathrm{id}$. Thus, for each $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{SH}(k)$, there is a canonical tower in $\mathcal{SH}(k)$

$$\ldots \to f_{n+1}\mathcal{E} \to f_n\mathcal{E} \to \ldots \to \mathcal{E} \tag{1.2.1}$$

which we call the *motivic Postnikov tower*. The cofiber $s_n\mathcal{E}$ of $f_{n+1}\mathcal{E} \to f_n\mathcal{E}$ is the nth slice of $\mathcal{E}$.

Voevodsky also defined the motivic Postnikov tower on the category of S^1-spectra, using the T-suspension operator as above to define the localizing subcategories $\Sigma^n_T \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, $n \geq 0$,

$$\cdots \subset \Sigma^{n+1}_T \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k) \subset \Sigma^n_T \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k),$$

with right adjoint $r_n : \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k) \to \Sigma^n_T \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ to the inclusion. This gives the T-Postnikov tower for S^1-spectra

$$\ldots \to f_{n+1}E \to f_nE \to \ldots \to f_0E = E. \tag{1.2.2}$$

One difference from the tower for T-spectra is that the S^1 tower terminates at $f_0E = E$, whereas the tower for T spectra is in general infinite in both directions.

We write $f_{n/n+r}E$ for the cofiber of $f_{n+r}E \to f_nE$; for $r = 1$, we use the notation $s_n := f_{n/n+1}$ to denote the nth slice in the Postnikov tower. We will mainly be using the S^1 tower, so the overlap in notations with the tower in $\mathcal{SH}(k)$ should not cause any confusion.

We have the pair of adjoint functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k) \arrow[r, shift left, "\Sigma^\infty_T"] & \mathcal{SH}(k) \arrow[l, shift left, "\Omega^\infty_T"]\end{tikzcd}$$

respecting the two Postnikov towers. In addition, we have

$$\Sigma_T \circ f_n = f_{n+1} \circ \Sigma_T$$
$$\Omega_T \circ f_{n+1} = f_n \circ \Omega_T$$

for T-spectra (and all n) and

$$\Omega_T \circ f_{n+1} = f_n \circ \Omega_T \tag{1.2.3}$$

for S^1-spectra (for $n \geq 0$). The identities for T spectra follow from the fact that Σ_T is an equivalence, while the result for S^1-spectra is more difficult, and is proved in [18, theorem 7.4.2].

Remark 1.2.1. The identity $f_n \circ \Omega_T E = \Omega_T \circ f_{n+1}E$ for an S^1 spectrum E gives by adjointness a map (in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$)

$$\varphi_{E,n} : \Sigma_T f_n \Omega_T E \to f_{n+1}E$$

which is not in general an isomorphism. If however $E = \Omega^\infty_T \mathcal{E}$ for a T-spectrum $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{SH}(k)$, then the isomorphism

$$\Sigma_T f_n \Omega_T \mathcal{E} \cong f_{n+1}\Sigma_T\Omega_T\mathcal{E} \cong f_{n+1}\mathcal{E}$$

passes to E, showing that $\varphi_{E,n}$ is an isomorphism for all n.

1.3. **Postnikov towers for motives.** There is an analogous picture for motives; we will describe the situation analogous to the S^1-spectra. The corresponding category of motives is the enlargement $DM^{eff}(k)$ of $DM^{eff}_-(k)$. We recall briefly the construction.

The starting point is the category $SmCor(k)$, with objects the smooth quasi-projective k-schemes $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, and morphisms given by the *finite correspondences* $\mathrm{Cor}_k(X, Y)$, this latter being the group of cycles on $X \times_k Y$ generated by the integral closed subschemes $W \subset X \times_k Y$ such that $W \to X$ is finite and surjective over some component of X. Composition is by the usual formula for composition of correspondences:

$$W' \circ W := p_{XZ*}(p^*_{XY}(W) \cdot p^*_{YZ}(W')).$$

Sending $f : X \to Y$ to the graph $\Gamma_f \subset X \times_k Y$ defines a functor $m : \mathbf{Sm}/k \to SmCor(k)$.

Next, one has the category $PST(k)$ of *presheaves with transfer*, this being simply the category of presheaves of abelian groups on $SmCor(k)$. Restriction to $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ gives the functor

$$m^* : PST(k) \to PSh(\mathbf{Sm}/k);$$

we let $Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k) \subset PST(k)$ be the full subcategory of P such that $m^*(P)$ is a Nisnevich sheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$. Such a P is a *Nisnevich sheaf with transfers* on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$.

The inclusion $Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k) \to PST(k)$ has as left adjoint the *sheafification functor*. $PST(k)$ is an abelian category with kernel and cokernel defined pointwise; as usual, $Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k)$ is an abelian category with kernel the presheaf kernel and cokernel the sheafification of the presheaf cokernel.

Recall that the category $DM^{eff}_-(k)$ is the full subcategory of the bounded above derived category $D^-(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}((k))$ with objects the complexes C^* for which the hypercohomology presheaves

$$X \mapsto \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(X, C^*)$$

are $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy invariant for all n, i.e., the pull-back map

$$\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(X, C^*) \to \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, C^*)$$

is an isomorphism for all n and for all X in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$.

Definition 1.3.1. $DM^{eff}(k)$ is the full subcategory of $D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ consisting of complexes C such that the hypercohomology presheaves $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(-, C^*)$ are $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy invariant for all n.

We note that there is a model structure on $C(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ for which $DM^{eff}(k)$ is equivalent to the homotopy category of $C(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ (see [8, 27]); we will often use this result to lift constructions in $DM^{eff}(k)$ by taking fibrant models. Also, we have the localization functor

$$RC^{\mathrm{Sus}}_* : D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k)) \to DM^{eff}(k)$$

extending Voevodsky's localization functor

$$RC^{\mathrm{Sus}}_* : D^-(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k)) \to DM^{eff}_-(k)$$

The equivalence of the subcategory of homotopy invariant objects in $D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ with the localization of $D^-(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ is proved in [8, §3.10].

We write $L(X)$ for the presheaf with transfers represented by $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$; this is in fact a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers. We have the Tate object $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ defined as the image in $DM^{eff}(k)$ of the complex

$$L(\mathbb{P}^1) \to L(k)$$

with $L(\mathbb{P}^1)$ in degree 2.

Remark 1.3.2. The inclusion $D^-(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k)) \to D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ is a full embedding, so Voevodsky's embedding theorem [11, V, theorem 3.2.6], that the Suslin complex functor

$$RC^{\mathrm{Sus}}_* \circ L : DM^{eff}_{gm}(k) \to DM^{eff}_-(k)$$

is a full embedding, yields the full embedding

$$RC^{\mathrm{Sus}}_* \circ L : DM^{eff}_{gm}(k) \to DM^{eff}(k)$$

For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ we write $M(X)$ for the image of $L(X)$ in $DM^{eff}(k)$.

The operation of the functor $\otimes\mathbb{Z}(1)[2]$ on $DM^{eff}(k)$ gives the tower of localizing subcategories (for $n \geq 0$)

$$\cdots \subset DM^{eff}(k)(n+1) \subset DM^{eff}(k)(n) \subset \cdots \subset DM^{eff}(k)$$

where $DM^{eff}(k)(n)$ is the localizing subcategory of $DM^{eff}(k)$ generated by objects $M(X)(n)[2n]$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. Just as for $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, we have the right adjoint $r_n^{mot} : DM^{eff}(k) \to DM^{eff}(k)(n)$ to the inclusion i_n^{mot}. Thus, for E in $DM^{eff}(k)$, we the *motivic Postnikov tower* in $DM^{eff}(k)$

$$\ldots \to f_{n+1}^{mot}E \to f_n^{mot}E \to \ldots \to f_0^{mot}E = E \tag{1.3.1}$$

with $f_n^{mot} := i_n^{mot} \circ r_n^{mot}$.

One has the pair of adjoint functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k) \arrow[r, shift left, "Mot"] & DM^{eff}(k) \arrow[l, shift left, "EM"]\end{tikzcd}$$

where EM is the Eilenberg-Maclane functor, associating to a presheaf of abelian groups the corresponding presheaf of Eilenberg-Maclane spectra, and Mot associates to a presheaf of spectra $E := (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$, first of all, the presheaf of singular chain complexes

$$\mathrm{Sing}E := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Sing}E_n[n],$$

where the maps $\mathrm{Sing}E_n[n] \to \mathrm{Sing}E_{n+1}[n+1]$ are induced by the bonding maps for E and the natural map

$$\Sigma\mathrm{Sing}E_n \to \mathrm{Sing}\Sigma E_n.$$

One then takes the associated freely generated complexes of presheaves with transfer, i.e.

$$Mot := \mathbb{Z}^{tr} \circ \mathrm{Sing}.$$

These functors respect the two towers of subcategories, and hence commute with the two truncation functors f_n and f_n^{mot}. It follows from work of Østvær-Röndigs [27] that the Eilenberg-Maclane functor EM is faithful and conservative.

In particular, the identity (1.2.3) implies the identity

$$\Omega_T \circ f_{n+1}^{mot} = f_n^{mot} \circ \Omega_T \tag{1.3.2}$$

for the motivic truncation functors.

Let E be in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W \subset Y$ a closed subset. We let $E^W(Y)$ denote the homotopy fiber of

$$\tilde{E}(Y) \to \tilde{E}(Y \setminus W)$$

where $\tilde{E}$ is a fibrant model of E in $\mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$. We make a similar definition for $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(k))$. If E is homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision, then the map of the homotopy fiber of $E(Y) \to E(Y \setminus W)$ to $E^W(Y)$ is a weak equivalence; we will sometimes use this latter spectrum for $E^W(Y)$ without explicit mention.

Similarly, we lift the functors s_n, f_n to operations on $\mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ by taking the fibrant model of the corresponding object in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$; we make a similar lifting to $C(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ for the functors f_n^{mot}, s_n^{mot}.

1.4. **Purity.** Let $i : W \to Y$ be a closed immersion in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ such that the normal bundle $\nu := N_{W/Y}$ admits a trivialization $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_W^q \to \nu$. This gives us the Morel-Voevodsky purity isomorphism [26, Theorem 2.23] in $\mathcal{SH}$

$$\theta_{\varphi,E} : E^W(Y) \to (\Omega_T^q E)(W) \tag{1.4.1}$$

and the isomorphism on homotopy groups

$$\theta_{\varphi,n,E} : \pi_n(E^W(Y)) \to \pi_n((\Omega_T^q E)(W)). \tag{1.4.2}$$

In general, the $\theta_{\varphi,n,E}$ depend on the choice of φ.

For later use, we record the following result:

Lemma 1.4.1. *Let $W \subset Y$ be a closed subset, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, such that* $\text{codim}_Y W \geq q$ *for some integer $q \geq 0$.*

1. For $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, the canonical map $f_qE \to E$ induces a weak equivalence

$$(f_qE)^W(Y) \to E^W(Y)$$

2. For $\mathcal{F} \in DM^{eff}(k)$, the canonical map $f_q^{mot}\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ induces a weak equivalence

$$(f_q^{mot}\mathcal{F})^W(Y) \to \mathcal{F}^W(Y)$$

Proof. We prove (1), the proof of (2) is the parallel. Note that

$$\pi_n(E^W(Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)}(\Sigma^\infty(Y/Y \setminus W), \Sigma^{-n}E).$$

and similarly for $\pi_n((f_qE)^W(Y))$.

Suppose at first that W is smooth and has trivial normal bundle in Y, $\nu \cong \mathcal{O}_W^p$, $p \geq q$. Then

$$\Sigma^\infty(Y/Y \setminus W) \cong \Sigma_T^p(\Sigma^\infty W_+)$$

hence $\Sigma^\infty(Y/Y \setminus W)$ is in $\Sigma_T^q\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$. In general, since k is perfect, W admits a filtration by closed subsets

$$\emptyset = W_{-1} \subset W_0 \subset \ldots \subset W_N = W$$

such that $W_n \setminus W_{n-1}$ is smooth and has trivial normal bundle in $Y \setminus W_{n+1}$. We have the homotopy cofiber sequence

$$(Y \setminus W_{n+1})/(Y \setminus W_n) \to Y/(Y \setminus W_n) \to Y/(Y \setminus W_{n+1})$$

so by induction, $\Sigma^\infty(Y/Y \setminus W)$ is in $\Sigma_T^q\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$. Thus, the universal property of $f_nE \to E$ implies

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)}(\Sigma^\infty(Y/Y \setminus W), \Sigma^{-n}f_qE) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)}(\Sigma^\infty(Y/Y \setminus W), \Sigma^{-n}E)$$

is an isomorphism, as desired. □

1.5. **The homotopy coniveau tower.**

Definition 1.5.1. 1. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, and $q, n \geq 0$ integers, set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n) := \{W \subset X \times \Delta^n \ |&W \text{ is closed} \\ &\text{and } \text{codim}_{X\times F} W \cap X \times F \geq q \\ &\text{for all faces } F \subset \Delta^n\} \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$X^{(q)}(n) := \{w \in X \times \Delta^n \mid w \text{ is the generic point of some irreducible } W \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n)\}$$

2. For $E \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and integer $q \geq 0$, define

$$f^q(X, n; E) = \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n)} E^W(X \times \Delta^n)$$

3. For $E \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and integer $q \geq 0$, define

$$s^q(X, n; E) = \varinjlim_{\substack{W \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n) \\ W' \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q+1)}(n)}} E^{W \setminus W'}(X \times \Delta^n \setminus W')$$

For fixed q, $n \mapsto \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n)$ forms a simplicial set, and $n \mapsto f^q(X, n; E)$, $n \mapsto s^q(X, n; E)$ form simplicial spectra. We let $f^q(X, -; E)$ and $s^q(X, -; E)$ denote the respective total spectra.

For $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(k))$, we make the analogous definition yielding the simplicial complexes $n \mapsto f^q_{mot}(X, n; \mathcal{F})$ and $n \mapsto s^q_{mot}(X, n; \mathcal{F})$; we let $f^q_{mot}(X, *; \mathcal{F})$ and $s^q_{mot}(X, *; \mathcal{F})$ be the associated total complexes.

Proposition 1.5.2 ([18, theorem 7.1.1])**.** *Take $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $q \geq 0$ an integer. Let $E \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ be homotopy invariant and satisfy Nisnevich excision. Then there are natural isomorphisms in $\mathcal{SH}$*

$$\alpha_{X,q;E} : f^q(X, -; E) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_q(E)(X)$$
$$\beta_{X,q;E} : s^q(X, -; E) \xrightarrow{\sim} s_q(E)(X)$$

Corollary 1.5.3. *Take $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $q \geq 0$ an integer. Let $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(k))$ be homotopy invariant and satisfy Nisnevich excision. Then there are natural isomorphisms in $D(\mathbf{Ab})$*

$$\alpha_{X,q;\mathcal{F}} : f^q_{mot}(X, *; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_q^{mot}(\mathcal{F})(X)$$
$$\beta_{X,q;\mathcal{F}} : s^q_{mot}(X, *; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} s_q^{mot}(\mathcal{F})(X)$$

Indeed, the corollary follows directly from proposition 1.5.2 by using the Eilenberg-Maclane functor.

Remarks 1.5.4. 1. The isomorphisms in proposition 1.5.2(1) are natural with respect to flat morphisms $X \to X'$ in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and with respect to maps $E \to E'$ in $\mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$, for E, E' which are homotopy invariant and satisfy Nisnevich excision.

2. As each $W' \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q+1)}(n)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n)$, we have the natural maps $f_{q+1}(X, n; E) \to f_q(X, n; E)$, compatible with the simplicial structure. Similarly, we have the natural restriction maps $f_q(X, n; E) \to s_q(X, n; E)$. Since E satisfies Nisnevich excision, the sequence

$$f_{q+1}(X, n; E) \to f_q(X, n; E) \to s_q(X, n; E)$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence, giving the homotopy cofiber sequence

$$f_{q+1}(X, -; E) \to f_q(X, -; E) \to s_q(X, -; E)$$

on the total spectra. In addition, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_{q+1}(X,-;E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{X,q+1;E}"'] & f_q(X,-;E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{X,q;E}"'] & s_q(X,-;E) \arrow[d, "\beta_{X,q;E}"] \\
f_{q+1}(E)(X) \arrow[r] & f_q(E)(X) \arrow[r] & s_q(E)(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

4. The analogous statements hold for $\mathcal{F}$ in $C(PST(k))$ as in corollary 1.5.3.

For $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, we have the diagram

$$E \xleftarrow{\tau_q} f_qE \xrightarrow{\pi_q} s_qE$$

Lemma 1.5.5. *Take $E \in \mathcal{SH}_S{}^1(k)$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and integers $q, n \geq 0$. For all $p \geq q$ the map $\tau_q : f_qE \to E$ induces weak equivalences*

$$f^p(X,n;f_qE) \xrightarrow{\tau_q} f^p(X,n;E)$$
$$s^p(X,n;f_qE) \xrightarrow{\tau_q} s^p(X,n;E)$$

Proof. That $\tau_q : f^p(X,n;f_qE) \to f^p(X,n;E)$ is a weak equivalence follows from lemma 1.4.1. We have the map of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^{p+1}(X,n;f_qE) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau_q"] & f^p(X,n;f_qE) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau_q"] & s^p(X,n;f_qE) \arrow[d, "\tau_q"] \\
f^{p+1}(X,n;E) \arrow[r] & f^p(X,n;E) \arrow[r] & s^p(X,n;E)
\end{tikzcd}$$

hence $\tau_q : s^p(X,n;f_qE) \to s^p(X,n;E)$ is also a weak equivalence. □

Proposition 1.5.6. *Take $E \in \mathcal{SH}_S{}^1(k)$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and integer $q \geq 0$.*

1. For all $p \geq q$, the map $\tau_q : f_qE \to E$ induces weak equivalences

$$f^p(X,-;f_qE) \xrightarrow{\tau_q} f^p(X,-;E)$$
$$s^p(X,-;f_qE) \xrightarrow{\tau_q} s^p(X,-;E)$$

2. The map $\pi_q : f_q \to s_q$ induces a weak equivalence

$$s^q(X,-;f_qE) \xrightarrow{\pi_q} s^q(X,-;s_qE)$$

Proof. (1) follows from lemma 1.5.5. For (2), we have the commutative diagram in $\mathcal{SH}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
s^q(X,-;f_qE) \arrow[r, "\pi_q"] \arrow[d, "\beta_{X,q;f_qE}"'] & s^q(X,-;s_qE) \arrow[d, "\beta_{X,q;s_qE}"] \\
s_q(f_qE)(X) \arrow[r, "s_q(\pi_q)"'] & s_q(s_qE)(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with vertical arrows isomorphisms. The bottom horizontal diagram extends to the distinguished triangle

$$s_q(f_{q+1}E) \to s_q(f_qE) \xrightarrow{s_q(\pi_q)} s_q(s_qE) \to s_q(f_{q+1}E)[1]$$

and we have the defining distinguished triangle for s_q:

$$f_{q+1}(f_{q+1}E) \to f_q(f_{q+1}E) \to s_q(f_{q+1}E) \to f_{q+1}(f_{q+1}E)[1]$$

Since $f_{q+1}E$ is in $\Sigma_T^{q+1}\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k) \subset \Sigma_T^q\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, the canonical maps

$$f_{q+1}(f_{q+1}E) \to f_{q+1}E,\ f_q(f_{q+1}E) \to f_{q+1}E$$

are isomorphisms, hence $s_q(f_{q+1}E) \cong 0$ and $s_q(\pi_q)$ is an isomorphism. □

Remark 1.5.7. Making the evident changes, the analogs of lemma 1.5.5 and proposition 1.5.6 hold for $\mathcal{F} \in DM^{eff}(k)$.

1.6. **The 0th slice.** Let F be a presheaf of spectra on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ which is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision. Then F is pointwise weakly equivalent to its fibrant model. In addition, these properties pass to $\mathcal{H}om(X, F)$ for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$.

Furthermore, $(s_0F)(Y)$ can be described using the cosimplicial scheme of *semi-local ℓ-simplices* $\hat{\Delta}^\ell$ (denoted Δ_0^ℓ in [18]). In fact, for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, let $\mathcal{O}(\ell)_{k(Y),v}$ be the semi-local ring of the set v of vertices of $\Delta^\ell_{k(Y)}$ and set

$$\hat{\Delta}^\ell_{k(Y)} := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}(\ell)_{k(Y),v}.$$

Clearly $\ell \mapsto \hat{\Delta}^\ell_{k(Y)}$ forms a cosimplicial subscheme of $\Delta^*_{k(Y)}$. It follows from proposition 1.5.2 below that $(s_0F)(Y)$ weakly equivalent to total spectrum of the simplicial spectrum

$$\ell \mapsto F(\hat{\Delta}^\ell_{k(Y)}),$$

which we denote by $F(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)})$.

We have an analogous description of $s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}(Y)$ for $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(k))$ which is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision. Using the Eilenberg-Maclane functor, it follows from the case of S^1 spectra that $s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}(Y)$ is represented by the total complex associated to the simplicial object of $C(\mathbf{Ab})$

$$\ell \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^\ell_{k(Y)}),$$

which we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)})$.

Remark 1.6.1. The 0th slice computes the qth slice with supports in codimension $\geq q$, as follows. Let $W \subset Y$ be a closed subset, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. We have shown in [18]

(1) Let $W^0 \subset W$ be an open subset of W such that $W \setminus W^0$ has codimension $> q$ on Y, let $F := W \setminus W^0$. Then the restriction

$$s_q(E)^W(Y) \to s_q(E)^{W^0}(Y \setminus F)$$

is a weak equivalence. This follows directly from lemma 1.4.1.

(2) Suppose that W is smooth with trivial normal bundle ν in Y and that $\operatorname{codim}_Y W = q$. A choice of trivialization $\psi : \nu \to \mathcal{O}_W^q$ together with the purity isomorphism (1.4.1) gives an isomorphism

$$s_q(E)^W(Y) \cong \Omega_T^q(s_q(E))(W)$$

in $\mathcal{SH}$. Combining with the de-looping isomorphism (1.2.3) gives us the isomorphism

$$\hat{\theta}_{E,W,Y,q} : s_q(E)^W(Y) \to s_0(\Omega_T^q E)(W)$$

It is shown in [18, corollary 4.2.4] (essentially a consequence of proposition 1.5.2) that $\hat{\theta}$ is in fact independent of the choice of trivialization ψ.

Let $Y_W^{(q)}$ be the set of generic points of W of codimension exactly q on Y. Combining (1) and (2) we have, for each $W \subset Y$ of codimension $\geq q$, a natural isomorphism

$$\rho_{E,W,Y,q} : s_q(E)^W(Y) \to \amalg_{w \in Y_W^{(q)}} s_0(\Omega_T^q E)(k(w)).$$

1.7. **Connected spectra.** We continue to assume the field k is perfect.

Definition 1.7.1. Call $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ *connected* if for each $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, the spectrum $\tilde{E}(X)$ is -1 connected, where $\tilde{E} \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ is a fibrant model for E.

Lemma 1.7.2. *Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ be connected. Then*

1. For each $q \geq 0$, $\Omega_T^q E$ is connected.

2. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W \subset X$ a closed subset, the spectrum with supports $E^W(X)$ is -1 connected.

3. Let $j : U \to X$ be an open immersion in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, $W \subset X$ a closed subset . Then

$$j^* : \pi_0(E^W(X)) \to \pi_0(E^{W \cap U}(U))$$

is surjective.

Proof. For (1) it suffices to prove the case $q = 1$. Take $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. Since $\infty \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is split by $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \operatorname{Spec} k$, $(\Omega_T E)(X)$ is a retract of $E(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. Since $E(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ is -1 connected by assumption, it follows that $(\Omega_T E)(X)$ is also -1 connected, hence $\Omega_T E$ is connected.

For (2), suppose first that $i : W \to X$ is a closed immersion in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and that the normal bundle ν of W in X admits a trivialization, $\nu \cong \mathcal{O}_W^q$. We have the Morel-Voevodsky purity isomorphism (1.4.1)

$$E^W(X) \cong (\Omega_T^q E)(W).$$

By (1) $(\Omega_T^q E)(W)$ is -1 connected, verifying (2) in this case.

In general, we proceed by descending induction on $\mathrm{codim}_X W$, starting with the trivial case $\mathrm{codim}_X W = \dim_k X + 1$, i.e. $W = \emptyset$ In general, suppose that $\mathrm{codim}_X W \geq q$ for some integer $q \leq \dim_k X$. Then there is a closed subset $W' \subset W$ with $\mathrm{codim}_X W' > q$ such that $W \setminus W'$ is smooth and has trivial normal bundle in $X \setminus W'$. We have the homotopy fiber sequence

$$E^{W'}(X) \to E^W(X) \to E^{W \setminus W'}(X \setminus W')$$

thus the induction hypothesis, and the -1 connectedness of $E^{W \setminus W'}(X \setminus W')$ implies that $E^W(X)$ is -1 connected.

(3) follows from the homotopy fiber sequence

$$E^{W \setminus U}(X) \to E^W(X) \to E^{W \cap U}(U)$$

and the -1 connectedness of $E^{W \setminus U}(X)$. □

Lemma 1.7.3. *Suppose $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ is connected. Then for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and every $q, n \geq 0$, $f^q(X, n; E)$ and $s^q(X, n; E)$ are -1 connected.*

Proof. This follows from lemma 1.7.2(2), noting that $f^q(X, n; E)$ and $s^q(X, n; E)$ are both colimits over spectra with supports $E^W(X \times \Delta^n)$, $E^{W \setminus W'}(X \times \Delta^n \setminus W')$. □

Proposition 1.7.4. *Suppose $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ is connected. Then for every $q \geq 0$, f_qE and s_qE are connected.*

Proof. Take $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. We have isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}$:

$$f_qE(X) \cong f^q(X,-;E),\ \ s_qE(X) \cong s^q(X,-;E)$$

By lemma 1.7.3, the total spectra $f^q(X,-;E)$ and $s^q(X,-;E)$ are -1 connected, whence the result. ☐

Definition 1.7.5. Fix an integer $q \geq 0$ and let $W \subset Y$ be a closed subset with $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $\mathrm{codim}_Y W \geq q$. For $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, define the *comparison map*

$$\psi^E_W(Y) : \pi_0(E^W(Y)) \to \pi_0(s_q(E)^W(Y))$$

as the composition

$$\pi_0(E^W(Y)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \pi_0((f_qE)^W(Y)) \to \pi_0(s_q(E)^W(Y))$$

noting that $\pi_0((f_qE)^W(Y)) \to \pi_0(E^W(Y))$ is an isomorphism by lemma 1.4.1.

Lemma 1.7.6. *Let $w \in Y^{(q)}$ be a codimension q point of $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and let $Y_w := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{Y,w}$. Take $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ and suppose that E is connected. Then the comparison map*

$$\psi^E_w(Y_w) : \pi_0(E^w(Y_w)) \to \pi_0(s_q(E)^w(Y_w))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\hat{\Delta}^0_{k(Y)} = \operatorname{Spec} k(Y)$, we have the natural map

$$\pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(k(Y))) \to \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}))$$

which is an isomorphism. Indeed, by lemma 1.7.2(1), $\Omega^q_T E$ is connected for all $q \geq 0$. In particular, $(\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^n_{k(Y)})$ is -1 connected for all Y and all $n \geq 0$. Thus we have the presentation of $\pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}))$:

$$\pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^1_{k(Y)})) \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^*} \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(k(Y)) \to \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)})).$$

By lemma 1.7.2(3) and a limit argument, the map

$$\pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\Delta^1_{k(Y)})) \to \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^1_{k(Y)}))$$

is surjective; since $\Delta^1_{k(Y)} = \mathbb{A}^1_{k(Y)}$ and $\Omega^q_T E$ is homotopy invariant, the map $i_0^* - i_1^*$ is the zero map.

Choose a trivialization of the normal bundle ν of $w \in Y_w$, $k(w)^q \cong \nu$. This gives us the purity isomorphisms $E^w(Y_w) \cong (\Omega^q_T E)(w)$, $(s_qE)^w(Y_w) \cong s_0(\Omega^q_T E)(w) \cong (\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(w)})$, giving the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0(E^w(Y_w)) \arrow[r, "\psi^E_w(Y_w)"] \arrow[d] & \pi_0(s_q(E)^w(Y_w)) \arrow[d] \\
\pi_0(\Omega^q_T E(w)) \arrow[r] & \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(w)}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the two vertical arrows and the bottom horizontal arrow isomorphisms. Thus $\psi^E_w(Y_w)$ is an isomorphism. ☐

Lemma 1.7.7. *Suppose $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ is connected. Fix an integer $q \geq 0$ and let $W \subset Y$ be a closed subset, with $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $\mathrm{codim}_Y W \geq q$. Then the comparison map*

$$\psi^E_W(Y) : \pi_0(E^W(Y)) \to \pi_0(s_q(E)^W(Y))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Recall that $Y^{(q)}_W$ denotes the set of generic points w of W with $\mathrm{codim}_Y w = q$. Let $Y_W := \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_{Y,Y^{(q)}_W}$. By remark 1.6.1, the restriction map

$$s_q(E)^W(Y) \to \amalg_{w \in Y^{(q)}_W} s_q(E)^w(Y_W)$$

is a weak equivalence. By lemma 1.7.6,

$$\psi^E_w(Y_W) : \pi_0(E^w(Y_W)) \to \pi_0(s_q(E)^w(Y_W))$$

is an isomorphism for all $w \in Y^{(q)}_W$. Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0(E^W(Y)) \arrow[r, "\psi^E_W(Y)"] \arrow[d] & \pi_0(s_q(E)^W(Y)) \arrow[d] \\
\oplus_{w \in Y^{(q)}_W} \pi_0(E^w(Y_W)) \arrow[r, "\Sigma_w \psi^E_w(Y_W)"'] & \oplus_{w \in Y^{(q)}_W} \pi_0(s_q(E)^w(Y_W)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

By remark 1.6.1, the right hand vertical arrow is an isomorphism; the bottom horizontal arrow is an isomorphism by lemma 1.7.6. It follows from lemma 1.7.2(3) that the left hand vertical arrow is surjective, hence $\psi^E_W(Y)$ is surjective as well. □

Lemma 1.7.8. *Suppose that $E \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ is connected. Take $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, $w \in Y^{(q)}$ and let $Y_w := \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_{Y,w}$. Then the purity isomorphism*

$$\theta_{\varphi,0,E} : \pi_0(E^w(Y_w)) \to \pi_0(\Omega^q_T E(w))$$

is independent of the choice of trivialization φ.

Proof. We have the commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0(E^w(Y_w)) \arrow[r, "\psi^E_w(Y_w)"] \arrow[d, "\theta_{\varphi,0E}"'] & \pi_0(s_q(E)^w(Y_w)) \arrow[d, "\theta_{\varphi,0,s_qE}"] \\
\pi_0(\Omega^q_T E(w)) \arrow[r] & \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(w)}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

By [18, corollary 4.2.4], $\theta_{\varphi,0,s_qE}$ is independent of the choice of φ, whence the result. □

Take $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ connected. For each closed subset $W \subset Y$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, we have the canonical map

$$\rho_{E,Y,W} : E^W(Y) \to EM(\pi_0(E^W(Y))).$$

Definition 1.7.9. Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ be connected. Let Y be in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and let $W \subset Y$ be a closed subset of codimension $\geq q$. The *cycle map*

$$\mathrm{cyc}^W_E(Y) : E^W(Y) \to EM(\oplus_{w \in Y^{(q)}_W} \pi_0((\Omega^q_T E)(w)))$$

is the composition

$$\begin{aligned} E^W(Y) &\xrightarrow{\rho_{E,Y,W}} EM(\pi_0(E^W(Y))) \\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{res} EM(\oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0(E^w(Y_W))) \\ &\qquad\qquad \xrightarrow{\theta_{\varphi,0E}} EM(\oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0((\Omega_T^q E)(w))). \end{aligned}$$

We let

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E^W(Y)) : \pi_0(E^W(Y)) \to \oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0((\Omega_T^q E)(w))$$

be the the composition

$$\pi_0(E^W(Y)) \xrightarrow{res} \oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0(E^w(Y_W)) \xrightarrow{\theta_{\varphi,0,E}} \oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0((\Omega_T^q E)(w)).$$

In other words, $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E^W(Y))$ is the map on π_0 induced by $\mathrm{cyc}_E^W(Y)$.

Definition 1.7.10. Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ be connected. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and integers $q, n \geq 0$ define

$$z^q(X, n; E) := \oplus_{w\in X^{(q)}(n)}\pi_0((\Omega_T^q E)(w)).$$

Taking the limit of the maps $\mathrm{cyc}_E^{W\setminus W'}(X \times \Delta^n \setminus W')$ for for $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ connected, $W \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n)$, $W' \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q+1)}(n)$ we have the maps of spectra

$$\mathrm{cyc}_E(X, n) : s^q(X, n; E) \to EM(z^q(X, n; E))$$

and the maps of abelian groups

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X, n)) : \pi_0(s^q(X, n; E)) \to z^q(X, n; E)$$

Lemma 1.7.11. *Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ be connected and let X be in* $\mathbf{Sm}/k$*. Then*

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X, n)) : \pi_0(s^q(X, n; s_qE)) \to z^q(X, n; s_qE)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. First note that, by proposition 1.7.4, s_qE is connected, hence all terms in the statement are defined. By remark 1.6.1, the restriction map

$$\pi_0((s_qE)^W(Y)) \to \oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0((s_qE)^w(Y_W))$$

is an isomorphism; since $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X, n))$ is constructed by composing restriction maps with purity isomorphisms, this proves the result. □

Lemma 1.7.12. *Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ be connected and let X be in* $\mathbf{Sm}/k$*. There is a unique structure of a simplicial abelian group*

$$n \mapsto z^q(X, n; E)$$

such that the maps $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X, n))$ define a map of simplicial abelian groups

$$[n \mapsto \pi_0(s^q(X, n; E))] \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X,-))} [n \mapsto z^q(X, n; E)].$$

Proof. Since E is connected, the cycle maps

$$\pi_0(E^W(Y)) \xrightarrow{res} \oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0(E^w(Y_W)) \cong \oplus_{w\in Y_W^{(q)}}\pi_0((\Omega_T^q E(w))$$

are surjective. Thus $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X, n))$ is surjective, which proves the uniqueness.

For existence, the map $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X,n))$ is natural with respect to E. In addition, by proposition 1.7.4, both f_qE and s_qE are connected; applying $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_?(X,n))$ to the diagram

$$E \leftarrow f_qE \rightarrow s_qE$$

gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0(s^q(X,n;E)) \arrow[d, "\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X,n))"'] & \pi_0(s^q(X,n;f_qE)) \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_{f_qE}(X,n))"'] & \pi_0(s^q(X,n;s_qE)) \arrow[d, "\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X,n))"'] \\
z^q(X,n;E) & z^q(X,n;f_qE) \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & z^q(X,n;s_qE)
\end{tikzcd}$$

By lemma 1.5.5, the left hand map in the top row is an isomorphism. The maps in the bottom rows are induced by maps

$$\pi_0((\Omega^q_TE)(w)) \leftarrow \pi_0((\Omega^q_Tf_qE)(w)) \rightarrow \pi_0((\Omega^q_Ts_qE)(w))$$

By (1.2.3), $\Omega^q_Tf_qE = f_0(\Omega^q_Tf_qE) = \Omega^q_TE$ and similarly $\Omega^q_Ts_qE = s_0(\Omega^q_TE)$. Thus the bottom row is a sum of isomorphisms

$$\pi_0((\Omega^q_TE)(w)) \rightarrow \pi_0(s_0(\Omega^q_TE)(w)).$$

Finally, the right hand vertical map is an isomorphism by lemma 1.7.11. As the top row is the degree n part of a diagram of maps of simplicial abelian groups, the isomorphisms

$$\pi_0(s^q(X,n;s_qE)) \rightarrow z^q(X,n;s_qE) \leftarrow z^q(X,n;E)$$

induce the structure of a simplicial abelian group from $[n \mapsto \pi_0(s^q(X,n;s_qE))]$ to $[n \mapsto z^q(X,n;E)]$, so that the maps $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_E(X,n))$ define a map of simplicial abelian groups. □

We use the above results to give a generalization of the higher cycle complexes of Bloch:

Definition 1.7.13. Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ be connected. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, and $q,n \geq 0$ integers, let $z^q(X,*;E)$ be the complex associated to the simplicial abelian group $n \mapsto z^q(X,n;E)$. Similarly, for $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(\mathbf{Sm}/k))$ which is homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision, we set

$$z^q(X,n;\mathcal{F}) = \oplus_{w\in X^{(q)}(n)} H^0((\Omega^q_T\mathcal{F})(w)),$$

giving the simplicial abelian group $n \mapsto z^q(X,n;\mathcal{F})$. We denote the associated complex by $z^q(X,*;\mathcal{F})$.

For integers $q,n \geq 0$, set

$$\mathrm{CH}^q(X,n;E) := H_n(z^q(X,*;E))$$

and

$$\mathrm{CH}^q(X,n;\mathcal{F}) := H_n(z^q(X,*;\mathcal{F}))$$

1.8. **Well-connected spectra.** Following [18] we have

Definition 1.8.1. $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ is *well-connected* if

1. E is connected.
2. For each $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, and each $q \geq 0$, the total spectrum $(\Omega_T^q E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)})$ has $$\pi_n((\Omega_T^q E)(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)})) = 0$$ for $n \neq 0$.

Remark 1.8.2. Under the Eilenberg-Maclane map, the corresponding notion in $DM^{eff}(k)$ is: Let $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(k))$ be $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy invariant and satisfy Nisnevich excision. Call $\mathcal{F}$ well-connected if

1. $\mathcal{F}$ is connected
2. For each $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, the total complex $(\Omega_T^q \mathcal{F})(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)})$ satisfies $$H^n((\Omega_T^q \mathcal{F})(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}))) = 0$$ for $n \neq 0$.

Remark 1.8.3. We gave a slightly different definition of well-connectedness in [18, definition 6.1.1], replacing the connectedness condition (1) with: $E^W(Y)$ is -1 connected for all closed subsets $W \subset Y$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. By lemma 1.7.2, this condition is equivalent with the connectedness of E.

The main result on well-connected spectra is:

Theorem 1.8.4. *1. Suppose $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$ is well-connected. Then*
$$\mathrm{cyc}_E(X) : s^q(X, -; E) \to EM(z^q(X, -; E))$$
is a weak equivalence. In particular, there is a natural isomorphism
$$\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n; E) \cong \pi_n((s_q E)(X)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)}(\Sigma_T^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^{-n} s_q(E)).$$
2. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \in C(PST(k))$ is well-connected. Then
$$\mathrm{cyc}_E(X) : s^q(X, *; \mathcal{F}) \to z^q(X, *; \mathcal{F}).$$
is a quasi-isomorphism. In particular, there is a natural isomorphism
$$\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n; \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{H}^{-n}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(X, s_q^{mot}\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), s_q^{mot}(\mathcal{F})[-n]).$$

Proof. We prove (1), the proof of (2) is the same. We have commutative diagram (in $\mathcal{SH}$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
s^q(X, -; E) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_E(X)"'] & s^q(X, -; f_q E) \arrow[l, "\tau_q"'] \arrow[r, "\pi_q"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_{f_q E}(X)"'] & s^q(X, -; s_q E) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_{s_q E}(X)"] \\
EM(z^q(X, -; E)) & EM(z^q(X, -; f_q E)) \arrow[l, "\tau_q"] \arrow[r, "\pi_q"] & EM(z^q(X, -; s_q E))
\end{tikzcd}$$

By proposition 1.5.6, the arrows in the top row are isomorphisms. As we have seen in the proof of lemma 1.7.12 the arrows in the bottom row are also isomorphisms. Thus, it suffices to prove the result with E replaced by $s_q E$.

The map $\mathrm{cyc}_{s_q E}(X)$ is just the map on total spectra induced by the map on n-simplices
$$\mathrm{cyc}_{s_q E}(X, n) : s^q(X, n; s_q E) \to EM(z^q(X, n; s_q E))$$

By lemma 1.7.11, the map on π_0,

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X,n)) : \pi_0(s^q(X,n;s_qE)) \to z^q(X,n;s_qE),$$

is an isomorphism. However, since E is well-connected, and since

$$s^q(X,n;s_qE) \cong \amalg_{w\in X^{(q)}(n)} s_0(\Omega^q_T E)(k(w)),$$

it follows that

$$s^q(X,n;s_qE) = EM(\pi_0(s^q(X,n;s_qE))),$$

and $\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X,n)$ is the map induced by $\pi_0(\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X,n))$. Thus $\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X,n)$ is a weak equivalence for every n, hence $\mathrm{cyc}_{s_qE}(X)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}$, as desired. □

2. Birational motives and higher Chow groups

Birational motives have been introduced and studied by Kahn-Sujatha [16] and Huber-Kahn [14]. In this section we re-examine their theory, emphasizing the relation to the slices in the motivic Postnikov tower. We also extend Bloch's construction of cycle complexes and higher Chow groups: Bloch's construction may be considered as the case of the cycle complex with constant coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ whereas our generalization allows the coefficients to be in a *birational motivic sheaf*. Finally, we extend the identification of Bloch's higher Chow groups with motivic cohomology [11, 39] to the setting of birational motivic sheaves.

2.1. **Birational motives.**

Definition 2.1.1. A motive $\mathcal{F} \in DM^{eff}(k)$ is called *birational* if for every dense open immersion $j : U \to X$ in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and every integer n, the map

$$j^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X),\mathcal{F}[n]) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(U),\mathcal{F}[n])$$

is an isomorphism. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf, i.e., $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{F})$ in $D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$, we call $\mathcal{F}$ a *birational motivic sheaf.*

Remarks 2.1.2. 1. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $\mathcal{F} \in DM^{eff}(k) \subset D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X),\mathcal{F}[n]) \cong \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(X,\mathcal{F})$$

Thus a motive $\mathcal{F} \in DM^{eff}(k)$ is birational if an only if the hypercohomology presheaf

$$U \mapsto \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U,\mathcal{F})$$

on X_{Zar} is the constant presheaf on each connected component of X.

2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers that is birational and homotopy invariant. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a birational motivic sheaf. Indeed, since $\mathcal{F}$ is birational, the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to X_{Zar} is a locally constant sheaf. We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))}(L(X),\mathcal{F}[n]) = H^n_{\mathrm{Nis}}(X,\mathcal{F}) = H^n_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathcal{F});$$

the Zariski cohomology $H^n_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathcal{F})$ is zero for $n>0$ since a constant Zariski sheaf is flasque. In particular, $\mathcal{F}$ is strictly homotopy invariant and thus an object of $DM^{eff}_-(k) \subset DM^{eff}(k)$. Finally

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X),\mathcal{F}[n]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))}(L(X),\mathcal{F}[n]) = \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}(X) & \text{for } n=0\\ 0 & \text{for } n\neq 0\end{cases}$$

hence $\mathcal{F}$ is a birational motive.

Of course, this result also follows from Voevodsky's theorem [11] that a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers that is homotopy invariant is also strictly homotopy invariant, but the above argument avoids having to use this deep result.

2.2. The Postnikov tower for birational motives.

In this section, we give a treatment of the slices of a birational motive. These results are obtained in [16]; here we develop part of the theory of [16] in a slightly different and independent way.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be in $DM^{eff}(k)$. Since $f_0^{mot}\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism, we have the canonical map

$$\pi_0 : \mathcal{F} \to s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}.$$

The following result is taken from [16] in slightly modified form:

Theorem 2.2.1. *For $\mathcal{F}$ in $DM^{eff}(k)$, $\pi_0 : \mathcal{F} \to s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is a birational motive. In particular, since $s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F} = s_0^{mot}(s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F})$, $s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}$ is a birational motive.*

Proof. Since we have the distinguished triangle

$$f_1^{mot}\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F} \to f_1^{mot}\mathcal{F}[1]$$

π_0 is an isomorphism if and only if $f_1^{mot}\mathcal{F} \cong 0$.

Suppose that π_0 is an isomorphism. Let $j : U \to X$ be a dense open immersion in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and let $W = X \setminus U$. We show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}[n]) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(U), \mathcal{F}[n])$$

is an isomorphism by induction on $\mathrm{codim}_X W$, starting with $\mathrm{codim}_X W = \dim_k X + 1$, i.e., $W = \emptyset$. We may assume that X is irreducible.

By induction we may assume that W is smooth of codimension $d \geq 1$, giving us the Gysin distinguished triangle

$$M(U) \xrightarrow{j} M(X) \to M(W)(d)[2d] \to M(U)[1].$$

But as $d \geq 1$, we have

$$0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(W)(d)[2d], f_1^{mot}\mathcal{F}[n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(W)(d)[2d], \mathcal{F}[n])$$

hence j^* is an isomorphism.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is birational. We may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is fibrant as a complex of Nisnevich sheaves, so that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}[n]) = H^n(\mathcal{F}(X))$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$.

Take an irreducible $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. By remark 1.6.1(2) (applied with $Y = W = X$), we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}[n]) \cong H^n(\mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(X)}))$$

Also, as $\mathcal{F}$ is birational, the restriction to the generic point gives an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}[n]) \cong H^n(\mathcal{F}(k(X))),$$

and the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}[n]) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}[n])$$

is given by the map on H^n induced by the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(k(X)) = \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^0_{k(X)}) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(X)}).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{F}$ is birational, the map

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta^n_{k(X)}) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^n_{k(X)})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for all n, and hence the map of total complexes

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta^*_{k(X)}) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(X)})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is homotopy invariant, the map

$$\mathcal{F}(k(X)) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta^0_{k(X)}) \to \mathcal{F}(\Delta^*_{k(X)})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism; thus the composition

$$\mathcal{F}(k(X)) \to \mathcal{F}(\Delta^*_{k(X)}) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(X)})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism as well. Taking H^n, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}[n]) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), s_0^{mot}\mathcal{F}[n])$$

is an isomorphism for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. Since the localizing subcategory of $DM^{eff}(k)$ generated by the $M(X)$ for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ is all of $DM^{eff}(k)$, it follows that π_0 is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 2.2.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a birational motive. Then*

$$f_m^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{for } m > n \\ \mathcal{F}(n) & \textit{for } m \le n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $n \ge m \ge 0$. As $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is in $DM^{eff}(k)(m)$, we have $f_m^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n)) = \mathcal{F}(n)$.

Now take $m > n$. As a localizing subcategory of $DM^{eff}(k)$, $DM^{eff}(k)(m)$ is generated by objects $M(X)(m)$, $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X)(m), \mathcal{F}(n)[p]) = 0$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and all p. By Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [38], we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X)(m), \mathcal{F}(n)[p]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X)(m-n), \mathcal{F}[p])$$

But since $m - n \ge 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X)(m-n), \mathcal{F}[p]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X)(m-n), f_1^{mot}\mathcal{F}[p])$$

which is zero by theorem 2.2.1. □

Remark 2.2.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a birational motive. Then $\mathcal{F}(n) = s_n^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n))$ for all $n \ge 0$. Indeed, $f_n^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n)) = \mathcal{F}(n)$ and $f_{n+1}^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$.

Remark 2.2.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a birational motive. Then for all $\mathcal{G}$ in $DM^{eff}(k)$ and all integers $m > n \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}(m), \mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$$

Indeed, the universal property of $f_m^{mot}\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ gives the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}(m), f_m^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}(m), \mathcal{F}(n))$$

but $f_m^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$ by corollary 2.2.2.

2.3. **Cycles and slices.** If F/k is a finitely generated field extension, we define the motive $M(F)$ in $DM^{eff}(k)$ as the homotopy limit of the motives $M(Y)$ as $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ runs over all smooth models of F. Since we will really only be using the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(F), -)$, the reader can, if she prefers, view this as a notational short-hand for the functor on $DM^{eff}(k)$

$$M \mapsto \varinjlim_{\substack{Y\\ k(Y)=F}} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(Y), M)$$

This limit is just

$$\varprojlim_{\substack{Y\\ k(Y)=F}} \mathbb{H}^0_{\mathrm{Zar}}(Y, M)$$

in other words, just the stalk of the 0th hypercohomology sheaf of M at the generic point of Y.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a homotopy invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(k(Y)), \mathcal{F}(n)[2n+r])) = 0$$

for $r > 0$ and for all $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$.

Proof. Let $F = k(Y)$. $\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]$ is a summand of $\mathcal{F} \otimes M(\mathbb{P}^n)$, so it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(F), \mathcal{F} \otimes M(\mathbb{P}^n)[r])) = 0$$

for $r > 0$. We can represent $\mathcal{F} \otimes M(\mathbb{P}^n)$ by $C_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes^{tr} L(\mathbb{P}^n))$. We have the canonical left resolution

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}$$

of $\mathcal{F}$ (as a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers), where the terms in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ are direct sums of representable sheaves, so we can replace $C_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes^{tr} L(\mathbb{P}^n))$ with the total complex of

$$\ldots \to C_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_n \otimes L(\mathbb{P}^n)) \to \ldots \to C_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})_0 \otimes^{tr} L(\mathbb{P}^n))$$

This in turn is a complex supported in degrees ≤ 0 with all terms direct sums of representable sheaves $L(Y)$, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. But for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), M(Y)[r]) \cong \mathbb{H}^r_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, C_*(Y))$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(F), M(Y)[r]) \cong H^r(C_*(Y)(F))$$

which is zero for $r > 0$, and thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(F), \mathcal{F}(n)[2n+r])) \subset H^r(C_*(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes^{tr} L(\mathbb{P}^n))) = 0$$

for $r > 0$. □

Proposition 2.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a birational motivic sheaf. Then $\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]$ is well-connected.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{F}$ is connected, i.e., that

$$\mathbb{H}^r_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{F}(n)[2n]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}(n)[2n+r]) = 0$$

for all $r > 0$ and all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. We have the Gersten-Quillen spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q} = \oplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(k(x))(p)[2p], \mathcal{F}(n)[2n+p+q]) \\ \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}(n)[2n+p+q]). \end{aligned}$$

For $p > n$, $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ by remark 2.2.4. Using lemma 2.3.1 and Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [38], we see that $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for $p + q > 0$, $p \leq n$, whence the claim.

Next, note that

$$\Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(n-m)[2n-2m] & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n \\ 0 & \text{for } m > n. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, note that, for $\mathcal{G} \in DM^{eff}(k)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}, \Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n])) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}(m)[2m], \mathcal{F}(n)[2n]).$$

For $m \leq n$, we have the canonical evaluation map $ev : \mathcal{F}(n-m)[2n-2m] \to \Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n])$; the above identity says that ev induces the Tate twist map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}(n-m)[2n-2m])) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}(m)[2m], \mathcal{F}(n)[2n]).$$

Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [38] implies that the Tate twist map is an isomorphism; as $\mathcal{G}$ was arbitrary, it follows that ev is an isomorphism. For the case $m > n$, the right-hand side $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathcal{G}(m)[2m], \mathcal{F}(n)[2n])$ is zero by remark 2.2.4.

Thus

$$s_0^{mot}(\Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n])) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } m \geq 0, m \neq n \\ \mathcal{F} & \text{for } m = n. \end{cases}$$

In fact, we need only check for $0 \leq m \leq n$. If $0 \leq m < n$, then $\Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n])$ is in $DM^{eff}(k)(1)$, hence the $s_0^{mot}(\Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n])) = 0$. Finally, $\Omega_T^n(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]) = \mathcal{F}$, and thus $s_0\Omega_T^n(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]) = s_0^{mot}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ by remark 2.2.3.

In particular, $s_0^{mot}(\Omega_T^m(\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]))$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0 for all m, which shows that $\mathcal{F}(n)[2n]$ is well-connected. □

Theorem 2.3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a birational motivic sheaf. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^{2q-p}(X, \mathcal{F}(q)) := \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathcal{F}(q)[2q-p]) \cong \mathrm{CH}^q(X, p; \mathcal{F}(q)[2q])$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}(q)[2q]$ is well-connected, it follows from theorem 1.8.4 that the slices $s_q^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(q)[2q])$ are computed by the cycle complexes, i.e., there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), s_q^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(q)[2q])[-p]) \cong \mathrm{CH}^q(X, p; \mathcal{F}(q)[2q]).$$

But $s_q^{mot}(\mathcal{F}(q)[2q]) = \mathcal{F}(q)[2q]$ by remark 2.2.3. □

Remark 2.3.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a birational sheaf. For $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, we can define the group of codimension q cycles on Y with values in $\mathcal{F}$ as

$$z^q(Y)_{\mathcal{F}} := \oplus_{w \in Y^{(q)}} \mathcal{F}(k(w)),$$

that is, an $\mathcal{F}$-valued cycle on Y is a formal finite sum $\sum_i a_i W_i$ with each W_i a codimension q integral closed subscheme of Y and $a_i \in \mathcal{F}(k(W_i))$. The canonical identification

$$\mathcal{F}(k(w)) \cong H^0((\mathcal{F}(q)[2q])^W(Y))$$

for $W \subset Y$ a codimension q integral closed subscheme gives the $\mathcal{F}$-valued cycle groups the usual properties of algebraic cycles, including proper pushforward, and partially defined pull-back. In particular, for $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have the identification

$$z^q(Y)_{\mathbb{Z}} = z^q(Y);$$

we will show in the next section that this identification is compatible with the operations of proper pushforward, and pull-back (when defined).

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_0^{mot}(\Omega_T^q(\mathcal{F}(q)[2q])) &\cong s_0^{mot}(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{F} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$z^q(X, n; \mathcal{F}(q)[2q]) = \oplus_{w \in X^{(q)}(n)} \mathcal{F}(k(w)).$$

Thus we can think of $z^q(X, *; \mathcal{F}(q)[2q])$ as the cycle complex of codimension q $\mathcal{F}$-valued cycles in good position on $X \times \Delta^*$.

2.4. **The sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$.** The most basic example of a birational motivic sheaf is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$. Here we show that the constructions of the previous section are compatible with the classical operations on algebraic cycles.

Let $W \subset Y$ be a closed subset with $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. We let $z^q_W(Y)$ be the subgroup of $z^q(Y)$ consisting of cycles with support contained in W.

Definition 2.4.1. The category of closed immersions Imm_k has objects (Y, W) with $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W \subset Y$ a closed subset. A morphism $f : (Y, W) \to (Y', W')$ is a morphism $f : Y \to Y'$ in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ such that $f^{-1}(W')_{\mathrm{red}} \subset W$. Let $\mathrm{Imm}_k(q) \subset \mathrm{Imm}_k$ be the full subcategory of closed subsets $W \subset Y$ such that each component of W has codimension $\geq q$.

Note that for each morphism $f : (W \subset Y) \to (W' \subset Y')$, the pull-back of cycles gives a well-defined map $f^* : z^q_{W'}(Y') \to z^q_W(Y)$.

Definition 2.4.2. Let $f : Y' \to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Sch}_k$, with Y and Y' equi-dimensional over k. We let $z^q(Y, *)_f \subset z^q(Y, *)$ be the subcomplex defined by letting $z^q(Y, n)_f$ be the subgroup of $z^q(Y, n)$ generated by irreducible $W \subset Y \times \Delta^n$, $W \in z^q(Y, n)$, such that for each face $F \subset \Delta^n$, each irreducible component of $(f \times \mathrm{id}_F)^{-1}(W \cap X \times F)$ has codimension q on $Y' \times F$.

Assuming that $f(Y')$ is contained in the smooth locus of Y, the maps $(f \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^n})^*$ thus define the morphism of complexes

$$f^* : z^q(Y, *)_f \to z^q(Y', *)$$

We recall Chow's moving lemma in the following form:

Theorem 2.4.3 (Bloch [4])**.** *Suppose that Y is a quasi-projective k-scheme, and that $f : Y' \to Y$ has image contained in the smooth locus of Y. Then the inclusion* $: z^q(Y, *)_f \to: z^q(Y, *)$ *is a quasi-isomorphism.*

One proves this by first using "moving by translation" to prove the result for $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$, then using the method of the projecting cone to prove the result for Y projective, and finally using Bloch's localization theorem, applied to a projective completion $Y \to \bar{Y}$, to prove the general case.

Lemma 2.4.4. *Take $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, $W \subset Y$ a closed subset. Suppose that each irreducible component of $W \subset Y$ has codimension $\geq q$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\rho_{Y,W,q} : H^{2q}_W(Y, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \to z^q_W(Y)$$

such that the $\rho_{Y,W,q}$ define a natural isomorphism of functors from $\mathrm{Imm}^{\mathrm{op}}_{k,q}$ to $\mathbf{Ab}$. In addition, the maps $\rho_{Y,W,q}$ are natural with respect to proper push-forward.

Proof. By definition, $\mathbb{Z}(1)[2]$ is the reduced motive of $\mathbb{P}^1$,

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)[2] = \tilde{M}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathrm{cone}(M(k) \xrightarrow{i_{\infty *}} M(\mathbb{P}^1)),$$

and $\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]$ is the qth tensor power of $\mathbb{Z}(1)[2]$. Via the localization functor

$$RC_*^{\mathrm{Sus}} : D^-(Sh_{\mathrm{Nis}}^{tr}(\mathbf{Sm}/k)) \to DM_-^{eff}(k)$$

and using [11, V, corollary 4.1.8], we have the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q] \cong C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))$$

and the natural identification

$$H^{2q+p}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \cong \mathbb{H}^p_{\mathrm{Nis}}(Y, C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))) \cong H^p(C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y)).$$

In particular, we have the natural identification of the motivic cohomology with supports

$$H^{2q}_W(Y, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \cong H_0(\mathrm{cone}(C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y) \to C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y \setminus W))[-1]).$$

Set

$$C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y)_W := \mathrm{cone}(C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y) \to C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y \setminus W))[-1].$$

In addition, from the definition of the Suslin complex, we have the evident inclusion of complexes

$$C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y) \subset z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{f\times \mathrm{id}} \subset z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *).$$

It follows from [11, VI, theorem 3.2, V, theorem 4.2.2] that the inclusion

$$C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y) \subset z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism; by theorem 2.4.3, the inclusion

$$C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y) \subset z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{f\times \mathrm{id}}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism as well.

Let $U = Y \setminus W$, $U' := Y' \setminus W'$ and let $f_U : U' \to U$ be the restriction of f. Setting

$$z^q(Y, *)_{W,f} = \mathrm{cone}(z^q(Y, *)_f \to z^q(U, *)_{f_U})[-1],$$

we thus have the quasi-isomorphism

$$C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y)_W \to z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{W\times\mathbb{A}^q, f\times \mathrm{id}}.$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y)_W \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{(f^*, f_U^*)}"'] & z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{W\times\mathbb{A}^q, f\times \mathrm{id}} \arrow[d, "{(f\times \mathrm{id}^*, f_U \times \mathrm{id}^*)}"] \\
C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{\mathrm{q.fin}}(\mathbb{A}^q))(Y')_{W'} \arrow[r] & z^q(Y' \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{W'\times\mathbb{A}^q}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the horizontal maps are quasi-isomorphisms, we can use the right-hand side to compute $f^* : H^{2q}_W(Y, \mathbb{Z}(q)) \to H^{2q}_{W'}(Y', \mathbb{Z}(q))$.

By the homotopy property for the higher Chow groups, and using the moving lemma again, the pull-back maps

$$p_1^* : z^q(Y, *)_{W,f} \to z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{W\times\mathbb{A}^q, f\times \mathrm{id}}$$
$$p_1^* : z^q(Y', *)_{W'} \to z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q, *)_{W'\times\mathbb{A}^q}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. Thus we can use

$$f^*: z^q(Y,*)_{W,f} \to z^q(Y',*)_{W'}$$

to compute $f^*: H^{2q}_W(Y,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \to H^{2q}_{W'}(Y',\mathbb{Z}(q))$.

Let $d = \dim_k Y$. Chow's moving lemma together with the localization distinguished triangle

$$z_{d-q}(W,*) \to z_{d-q}(Y,*) \to z_{d-q}(U,*)$$

shows that the inclusion $z_{d-q}(W,*) \subset z_{d-q}(Y,*)_f$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$z_{d-q}(W,*) \to z^q(Y,*)_{W,f}.$$

Similarly, the inclusion $z_{d'-q}(W',*) \subset z_{d'-q}(Y',*)$, $d' := \dim_k Y'$, induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$z_{d'-q}(W',*) \to z^q(Y',*)_{W'}.$$

Since each component of W has codimension $\geq q$ on Y, it follows that the inclusion

$$z_{d-q}(W) = z_{d-q}(W,0) \to z_{d-q}(W,*)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. As $z_{d-q}(W) = z^q_W(Y)$, we thus have the isomorphism

$$\rho_{Y,W,q}: z^q_W(Y) \to H^{2q}_W(Y,\mathbb{Z}(q))$$

In addition, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
z_{d-q}(W) \arrow[r, equal] & z^q_W(Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f^*"'] & z^q(Y,*)_{W,f} \arrow[d, "f^*"] \\
z_{d'-q}(W') \arrow[r, equal] & z^q_{W'}(Y') \arrow[r] & z^q(Y',*)_{W'}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Combining this with our previous identification of $H^{2q}_W(Y,\mathbb{Z}(q))$ with $H_0(z^q(Y,*)_{W,f})$ and $H^{2q}_{W'}(Y',\mathbb{Z}(q))$ with $H_0(z^q(Y',*)_{W'})$ shows that the isomorphisms $\rho_{Y,W,q}$ are natural with respect to pull-back.

The compatibility of the $\rho_{Y,W,q}$ with proper push-forward is similar, but easier, as one does not need to introduce the complexes $z^q(Y \times \mathbb{A}^q,*)_{f\times\mathrm{id}}$, etc., or use Chow's moving lemma. We leave the details to the reader. □

Now take $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, $W \in \mathcal{S}^{(q)}_X(n)$. We thus have the isomorphism

$$\rho_{X\times\Delta^n,W,q}: H^{2q}_W(X\times\Delta^n,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \to z^q_W(X\times\Delta^n)$$

In addition, if $W' \subset W$ is a closed subset of codimension $> q$ on $X \times \Delta^n$, then the restriction map

$$H^{2q}_W(X\times\Delta^n,\mathbb{Z}(q)) \to H^{2q}_{W\setminus W'}(X\times\Delta^n\setminus W',\mathbb{Z}(q))$$

is an isomorphism. Noting that

$$H^0((\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q])^W(X\times\Delta^n)) = H^{2q}_W(X\times\Delta^n,\mathbb{Z}(q))$$

it follows from the definition of $z^q(X,n;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q])$ that we have

$$z^q(X,n;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]) = \varinjlim_{\substack{W\subset X\times\Delta^n\\ W\in\mathcal{S}^{(q)}_X(n)}} H^{2q}_W(X\times\Delta^n,\mathbb{Z}(q)).$$

Thus taking the limit of the isomorphisms $\rho_{X\times\Delta^n,W,q}$ over $W \in \mathcal{S}^{(q)}_X(n)$ gives the isomorphism

$$\rho_{X,n}: z^q(X,n;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]) \to z^q(X,n).$$

Proposition 2.4.5. *For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, the maps $\rho_{X,n}$ define an isomorphism of complexes*

$$z^q(X,*;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]) \xrightarrow{\rho_X} z^q(X,*)$$

natural with respect to flat pull-back.

Proof. It follows from lemma 2.4.4 that the isomorphisms $\rho_{X,W,n}$ are natural with respect to the pull-back maps in $\mathrm{Imm}_k(q)$; in particular, with respect to flat pull-back and with respect to the face maps $X \times \Delta^{n-1} \to X \times \Delta^n$. Passing to the limit over $W \in \mathcal{S}_X^{(q)}(n)$ proves the result. $\square$

3. The sheaves $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$

We apply the results of the previous sections to the K-theory of Azumaya algebras. The basic construction will be valid for a sheaf of Azumaya algebras over a fairly general base-scheme X; as the general theory we have already discussed is only available for $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, we are forced to repeat some of the constructions in the more general setting before reducing the proof of the main result to the case $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$.

3.1. $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$: definition and first properties.

Fix a sheaf of Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on an R-scheme of finite type X. For $p : Y \to X \in \mathbf{Sch}_X$, we have the sheaf $p^*\mathcal{A}$ of Azumaya algebras on Y. We may sheafify the K-groups of $p^*\mathcal{A}$ for the Zariski topology on Y, giving us the Zariski sheaves $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathbf{Sch}_X$.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Suppose that X is regular. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy invariant presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}/X$.*
2. *$\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a* birational *presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}/X$, i.e., for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/X$, $j : U \to Y$ a dense open subscheme, the restriction map*
$$j^* : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(Y) \to \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(U)$$
is an isomorphism. Equivalently, $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ is locally constant for the Zariski topology on $\mathbf{Sm}/X$, hence is a sheaf for the Nisnevich topology on $\mathbf{Sm}/X$.

Proof. The homotopy invariance follows from the fact that $Y \mapsto K_0(Y;\mathcal{A})$ is homotopy invariant, and that the restriction map $K_0(Y,\mathcal{A})|toK_0(U,\mathcal{A})$ is surjective for each open immersion $U \to Y$ in $\mathbf{Sm}/X$.

For the birationality property, we may assume that Y is irreducible. By corollary A.4, any object in the category $\mathcal{P}_{X;\mathcal{A}}$ is locally $\mathcal{A}$-projective, hence it suffices to show that for each $y \in Y$, the map

$$K_0(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_B} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \to K_0(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_B} k(Y))$$

is an isomorphism.

Since Y is regular, surjectivity follows easily from corollary A.5. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is local, the category of finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_B} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ modules has a unique indecomposable generator ([9], [17, III.5.2.2]) and similarly, the category of finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_B} k(Y)$ modules has a unique simple generator. Thus the map is also injective, completing the proof that $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ is birational.

To see that $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a sheaf for the Nisnevich topology, it suffices to check the sheaf condition on elementary Nisnevich squares; this follows directly from the birationality property. $\square$

3.2. **The reduced norm map.** Let $\operatorname{Spec} F \to X$ be a point. We define a map

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_F : \mathbb{Z} \simeq K_0(\mathcal{A}_F) \to K_0(F) = \mathbb{Z}$$

by mapping the positive generator of $K_0(\mathcal{A}_F)$ to $e_F[F]$, where e_F is the *index* of $\mathcal{A}_F$. Recall that, by definition, $e_F^2 = [D : F]$ where D is the unique division F-algebra similar to $\mathcal{A}_F$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *The assignment $F \mapsto \mathrm{Nrd}_F$ defines a morphism of sheaves*

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{Z}$$

which realizes $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ as a subsheaf of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on Y. This is the reduced norm map *attached to $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. In view of lemma 3.1.1, it suffices to check that if L is a separable extension of F, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(\mathcal{A}_L) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}_L"] & K_0(L) \\
K_0(\mathcal{A}_F) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}_K"] & K_0(F) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. This is classical: by Morita invariance, we may replace $\mathcal{A}_F$ by a similar division algebra D. Choose a maximal commutative subfield $E \subset D$ which is separable over F. First assume that $L = E$: then D_L is split and Nrd_L is an isomorphism by Morita invariance; on the other hand, the generator $[D]$ of $K_0(D)$ maps to e times the generator of $K_0(D_L)$, which proves the claim in this special case. The general case reduces to the special case by considering a commutative cube involving the extension LE. □

3.3. **The presheaf with transfers $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$.** For a scheme X we let $\mathcal{M}_X$ denote the category of coherent sheaves (of $\mathcal{O}_X$ modules) on X. Given a sheaf of Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on X, we let $\mathcal{M}_X(\mathcal{A})$ denote the category of sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules $\mathcal{F}$ which are coherent as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, using the structure map $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{A}$ to define the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module structure on $\mathcal{F}$. We let $G(X; \mathcal{A})$ denote the K-theory spectrum of the abelian category $\mathcal{M}_X(\mathcal{A})$. If $f : Y \to X$ is a morphism, we often write $G(Y; \mathcal{A})$ for $G(Y; f^*\mathcal{A})$.

Suppose that X is regular. Let $f : Z \to Y$ be a finite morphism in $\mathbf{Sch}_X$ with Y in $\mathbf{Sm}/X$. Restriction of scalars defines a map of sheaves

$$f_* : f_*\mathcal{K}_0(Z; \mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{G}_0(Y; \mathcal{A}).$$

Using corollary A.5, we see that the natural map

$$\mathcal{K}_0(Y; \mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{G}_0(Y; \mathcal{A})$$

is an isomorphism, giving us the pushforward map

$$f_* : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(Z) \to \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(Y)$$

Now take $Y, Y' \in \mathbf{Sm}/X$ and let $Z \subset Y \times_X Y'$ be an integral subscheme which is finite over Y and surjective onto a component of Y; let $p : Z \to Y$, $p' : Z \to Y'$ be the maps induced by the projections. Define

$$Z^* : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(Y') \to \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(Y)$$

by $Z^* := p_* \circ p'^*$. For X regular, this operation extends to $\mathrm{Cor}_X(Y, Y')$ by linearity.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Suppose X regular. For $Z_1 \in \mathrm{Cor}_X(Y, Y')$, $Z_2 \in \mathrm{Cor}_X(Y', Y'')$ we have*

$$(Z_2 \circ Z_1)^* = Z_1^* \circ Z_2^*$$

Proof. We already have a canonical operation of $\mathrm{Cor}_X(-,-)$ on the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ making $\mathbb{Z}$ a sheaf with transfers; one easily checks that this action agrees with the action we have defined above for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$. It is similarly easy to check that, for Z integral and $f : Z \to Y$ finite and surjective with Y smooth, f_* commutes with Nrd. Since Nrd is injective, this implies that $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ is also a sheaf with transfers, as desired. □

Definition 3.3.2. Let X be a regular R-scheme of finite type, $\mathcal{A}$ a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on X. We let $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the Nisnevich sheaf with transfers on $\mathbf{Sm}/X$ defined by $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Remark 3.3.3. The reduced norm map $\mathrm{Nrd} : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defines a map of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers $\mathrm{Nrd} : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.3.4. *The subsheaf with transfers $(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathrm{Nrd})$ of the constant sheaf (with transfers) $\mathbb{Z}$ only depends on the subgroup of $Br(X)$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, it is Morita-invariant.*

Proof. Indeed, if $\mathcal{B}$ generates the same subgroup of $Br(X)$ as $\mathcal{A}$, there exist integers r, s such that $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes x s}$ is similar to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes x s}$ is similar to $\mathcal{A}$. This implies readily that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have the same splitting fields (say, over a point $\mathrm{Spec}\, F$ of X), hence have the same index (say, over any extension of F). □

Remark 3.3.5. The maps $K_0(F) \to K_0(\mathcal{A}_F)$ given by extension of scalars also define a morphism of sheaves $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$. But this morphism is not Morita-invariant.

In case X is the spectrum of a field, lemma 3.1.1 yields

Proposition 3.3.6. *Take $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, k a field, and let A be a central simple algebra over k. Then the sheaf with transfers $\mathbb{Z}_A$ on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ is a birational motivic sheaf.*

3.4. **Severi-Brauer varieties.** Let $p : SB(\mathcal{A}) \to X$ be the Severi-Brauer variety associated to $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.4.1. *Suppose $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, k a field. Then the subgroup $\mathrm{Nrd}(K_0(\mathcal{A})) \subset K_0(k) = \mathbb{Z}$ is the same as the image*

$$p_*(\mathrm{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A}))) \subset \mathrm{CH}_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, $p_* : \mathrm{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is injective.

Proof. This is a theorem of Panin [28]. We recall the proof of the first statement. Let $x = \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ be a closed point of $SB(\mathcal{A})$. Then K is a finite extension of F which is a splitting field of $\mathcal{A}$. It is classical that K is a maximal commutative subfield of some algebra similar to $\mathcal{A}$; in particular, $[K : F]$ is divisible by the index of A. Conversely, replacing A by a similar division algebra D, for any maximal commutative subfield $L \subset D$, $[L : F]$ equals the index of A. □

Now let us come back to the case where X is regular. Let us denote by $\mathcal{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A})/X)$ the sheafification (for the Zariski topology) of the presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}/X$

$$U \mapsto \mathrm{CH}_{\dim_k U}(SB(\mathcal{A}) \times_X U).$$

The push-forward

$$p_{U*}:\mathrm{CH}_{\dim_k U}(SB(\mathcal{A})\times_X U)\to \mathrm{CH}_{\dim_k U}(U)=\mathbb{Z}$$

defines the map

$$\deg:\mathcal{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A})/X)\to\mathbb{Z}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}$ is viewed as a constant sheaf on $(\mathbf{Sm}/X)_{\mathrm{Zar}}$.

Lemma 3.4.2. *The map* deg *identifies* $\mathcal{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A})/X))$ *with the locally constant subsheaf* $\mathrm{Nrd}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}})\subset\mathbb{Z}$. *In other words, there is a canonical isomorphism of subsheaves of* $\mathbb{Z}$

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}},\mathrm{Nrd})\simeq(\mathcal{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A})/X)),\deg).$$

Proof. As we have already remarked, the result is true at $\operatorname{Spec}F$, F a field. For Y local, the restriction map

$$j^*:\mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(SB(\mathcal{A})\times_X Y)\to\mathrm{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_B}k(Y))$$

($\dim X :=$ the Krull dimension) is surjective, from which the result easily follows. □

Remark 3.4.3. It is evident that the transfer structure of lemma 3.3.1 on $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ coincides with the natural transfer structure on $\mathcal{CH}_0(SB(\mathcal{A})/X))$.

3.5. $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ **for embedded schemes.** Let k be a field. We fix a sheaf of Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on some finite type k-scheme X; we do not assume that X is regular.

As a technical tool, we extend the definition of the category Imm_k (definition 2.4.1) as follows:

Definition 3.5.1. The category of closed immersions $\mathrm{Imm}_{X,k}$ has objects (Y,W) with $Y\in\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W\subset X\times_k Y$ a closed subset. A morphism $f:(Y,W)\to(Y',W')$ is a morphism $f:Y\to Y'$ in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ such that $(\mathrm{id}\times f)^{-1}(W')_{\mathrm{red}}\subset W$.

Let Y be a smooth k-scheme, let $i:W\to X\times_k Y$ be a reduced closed subscheme of pure codimension. Letting $W_{\mathrm{reg}}\subset W$ be the regular locus, we have the (constant) Zariski sheaf $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ defined on W_{reg}. We describe how to extend $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ to $W\subset X\times_k Y$ so that

$$(Y,W)\mapsto\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W\subset X\times_k Y)$$

defines a presheaf $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathrm{Imm}_{X,k}$.

For this, we define $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ on $i:W\to X\times_k Y$ to be $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W_{\mathrm{reg}})$, where $j:W_{\mathrm{reg}}\to W$ is the regular locus of W. The trick is to define the pull-back maps.

We let $G^W(X\times_k Y;\mathcal{A})$ denote the homotopy fiber of the restriction map

$$G(X\times_k Y;\mathcal{A})\to G(X\times_k Y\setminus W;\mathcal{A})$$

Lemma 3.5.2. *Suppose that* X *is local, with closed point* x. *Let* $i:Y'\to Y$ *be a closed embedding in* $\mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{ess}}/k$, *with* Y *local having closed point* y. *Let* $W\subset X\times Y$ *be a closed subset such that* $X\times Y'\cap W=(x,y)$ *(as a closed subset). If* $\mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y}W>\mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y'}(x,y)$, *then the restriction map*

$$i^*:G_0^W(X\times Y;\mathcal{A})\to G_0^{(x,y)}(X\times Y';\mathcal{A})$$

is the zero map.

Proof. The proof is a modification of Quillen's proof of Gersten's conjecture. Making a base-change to $k(x,y)$, and noting that $G_0^{(x,y)}(X\times Y;\mathcal{A})) = G_0((x,y);\mathcal{A})$, we may assume that $k(y)=k(x)=k$. Since K-theory commutes with direct limits (of rings) we may replace Y and Y' with finite type, smooth affine k-schemes, and we are free to shrink to a smaller neighborhood of y in Y as needed.

Let $\bar{W}\subset Y$ be the closure of $p_2(W)$. Note that the condition $\mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y}W > \mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y'}(x,y)$ implies that $\dim_k W < \dim_k Y$, hence $\bar{W}$ is a proper closed subset of Y. Take a divisor $D\subset Y$ containing $\bar{W}$. Then there is a morphism

$$\pi : Y \to \mathbb{A}^n,$$

$n=\dim_k Y - 1$, such that π is smooth in a neighborhood of y and $\pi : D\to\mathbb{A}^n$ is finite. Let

$$W' := \pi^{-1}(\pi(W)).$$

Choosing π general enough, and noting that

$$\mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y}W' = \mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y}W - 1 \geq \mathrm{codim}_{X\times Y'}(x,y) = \dim_k X\times Y',$$

we may assume that $W'\cap X\times Y'$ is a finite set of closed points, say T. Let $S\subset D$ be the finite set of closed points $\pi^{-1}(\pi(y))\cap D$.

The inclusion $D\to Y$ induces a section $s : D\to Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} D$ to $p_2 : Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} D\to D$; since π is smooth at y', $s(D)$ is contained in the regular locus of $Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} D$ and is hence a Cartier divisor on $Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} D$. Noting that $p_1 : Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} D\to Y$ is finite, there is an open neighborhood U of S in Y such that $s(D)\cap Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} U$ is a principal divisor; let t be a defining equation. Let $D_U := D\cap U$.

This gives us the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y\times_{\mathbb{A}^n} U \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, shift left, "p"] & U \\
D_U \arrow[u, shift left, "s"] \arrow[ur, "i"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with q finite. Thus we have, for $M\in\mathcal{M}_{D_U;\mathcal{A}}$, the exact sequence

$$0\to q_*(p^*M)\xrightarrow{q_*(\times t)} q_*(p^*M))\to i_*M\to 0$$

natural in M.

Note that, if M is supported in W, then $q_*(p^*M)$ is supported in W'. Letting $i' : W\to W'$ be the inclusion, our exact sequence gives us the identity

$$[i'_*M]=0 \text{ in } G_0^{W'}(Y;\mathcal{A}),$$

hence

$$i^*([i'_*M])=0 \text{ in } G^{W'\cap Y'}(Y';\mathcal{A}).$$

Let $\bar{i} : (x,y)\to T$ be the inclusion. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_0^W(X\times Y;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "i'_*"] \arrow[d, "i^*"'] & G_0^{W'}(X\times Y';\mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "i^*"] \\
G_0^{(x,y)}(X\times Y';\mathcal{A}), \arrow[r, "\bar{i}_*"] & G_0^T(X\times Y';\mathcal{A}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since T is a finite set of points containing (x,y),

$$G_0^T(X\times Y';\mathcal{A}) = G_0^{(x,y)}(X\times Y';\mathcal{A})\oplus G_0^{T\setminus\{(x,y)\}}(X\times Y';\mathcal{A}),$$

with $\bar{i}_*$ the inclusion of the summand $G_0^{(x,y)}(X \times Y'; \mathcal{A})$, from which the result follows directly. ☐

For a closed immersion $i : W \to X \times Y$, restricting to the generic points of W and using the canonical weak equivalence

$$G(W; \mathcal{A}) \to G^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A})$$

gives the map

$$\varphi_W : G_0^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W).$$

Each map of pairs $f : (i' : W' \to X \times Y') \to (i : W \to X \times Y)$ induces a commutative diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times Y' \setminus W' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \times Y' \arrow[d] \\
X \times Y \setminus W \arrow[r] & X \times Y;
\end{tikzcd}$$

Noting that $\mathrm{id} \times f : X \times Y' \to X \times Y$ is an lci morphism, we may apply $G(-)$ to this diagram, giving us the induced map on the homotopy fibers

$$f^* : G_0^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A}) \to G_0^{W'}(X'; \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus, we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_0^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "f^*"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_W"'] & G_0^{W'}(X \times Y'; \mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\varphi_{W'}"] \\
\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W) & \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W')
\end{tikzcd}$$

In order that f^* descend to a map

$$f^* : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W) \to \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W'),$$

it therefore suffices to prove:

Lemma 3.5.3. *(1) For each $i : W \to X \times Y$, the map φ_W is surjective.*

(2) $\varphi_{W'}(f^*(\ker \varphi_W)) = 0$.

Proof. The surjectivity of φ_W follows from Quillen's localization theorem, which first of all identifies $K_0^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A})$ with $G_0(W; \mathcal{A})$ and secondly implies that the restriction map

$$j^* : G_0(W; \mathcal{A}) \to G_0(k(W); \mathcal{A}) = K_0(k(W); \mathcal{A})$$

is surjective.

For (2), we can factor f as a composition of a closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism. In the second case, $f^{-1}(W \setminus \operatorname{Spec} k(W))$ is a proper closed subset of W', hence classes supported in $W \setminus \operatorname{Spec} k(W)$ die when pulled back by f and restricted to $k(W')$. Thus we may assume f is a closed immersion.

Fix a generic point $w' = (x, y)$ of W'. We may replace X with $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and replace Y with $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Making a base-change, we may assume that $k(x, y)$ is finite over k. Since $X \times_k Y$ is smooth, it follows that

$$\mathrm{codim}_{X \times Y} W \geq \mathrm{codim}_{X \times Y'}(x, y).$$

Let $W'' \subset W$ is a closed subset of W containing no generic point of W. Then

$$\operatorname{codim}_{X\times Y} W'' > \operatorname{codim}_{X\times Y'}(x,y),$$

hence by lemma 3.5.2 the restriction map

$$G_0^{W''}(X\times Y;\mathcal{A}) \to G_0(x,y)(X\times Y';\mathcal{A})$$

is the zero map. By Quillen's localization theorem we have

$$\ker\varphi_W = \varinjlim G_0^{W''}(X\times Y;\mathcal{A})$$

over such W'', which proves the lemma. □

3.6. **The cycle complex.** Let T be a finite type k-scheme. We let $\dim_k T$ denote the Krull dimension of T; we sometimes write d_T for $\dim_k T$.

We fix as above a finite type k-scheme X and a sheaf of Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on X. We have the cosimplicial scheme Δ^* with

$$\Delta^n := \operatorname{Spec} k[t_0,\ldots,t_n]/\sum_i t_i - 1$$

and the standard coface and codegeneracy maps. A *face* F of Δ^n is a closed subscheme of the form $t_{i_1} = \ldots, = t_{i_s} = 0$. We let $\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$ be the set of closed subsets $W \subset X\times\Delta^n$ with

$$\dim_k W \cap X\times F \le r + \dim_k F$$

for all faces $F\subset\Delta^n$. We order $\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$ by inclusion. If $g:\Delta^m\to\Delta^n$ is the map corresponding to a map $g:[m]\to[n]$ in **Ord**, and W is in $\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$, then $g^{-1}(W)$ is in $\mathcal{S}_r^X(m)$, so $n\mapsto\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$ defines a simplicial set. We let $X_r(n)\subset\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$ denote the set of irreducible $W\in\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$ with $\dim_k W = r+n$.

Definition 3.6.1.

$$z_r(X,n;\mathcal{A}) := \oplus_{W\in X_r(n)} K_0(k(W);\mathcal{A}).$$

Remark 3.6.2. Let $W\subset X\times\Delta^n$ be a closed subset. Then restriction to the generic points of W gives the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W\subset X\times\Delta^n)\cong\oplus_{w\in W^{(0)}} K_0(k(w);\mathcal{A}).$$

Thus, we can identify $z_r(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ with the quotient:

$$z_r(X,n;\mathcal{A})\cong\frac{\varinjlim_{W\in\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)}\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W\subset X\times\Delta^n)}{\varinjlim_{W'\in\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^X(n)}\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W'\subset X\times\Delta^n)}$$

Suppose each irreducible $W'\in\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^X(n)$ is contained in some irreducible $W\in\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)$ with $\dim_k W = r+n$; as the map

$$\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W')\to\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W)$$

is in this case the zero-map, it follows that

$$z_r(X,n;\mathcal{A})\cong\varinjlim_{W\in\mathcal{S}_r^X(n)}\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W\subset X\times\Delta^n)$$

if this condition is satisfied, e.g., for X quasi-projective over k.

Let $g : \Delta^m \to \Delta^n$ be a map corresponding to $g : [m] \to [n]$ in **Ord**. By lemma 3.5.3 and the above remark, we have a well-defined pullback map

$$\mathrm{id} \times g^* : z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, m; \mathcal{A}),$$

giving us the simplicial abelian group $n \mapsto z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A})$. We let $(z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A}), d)$ denote the associated complex, i.e.,

$$d_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (\mathrm{id} \times \delta_i^{n-1})^* : z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, n-1; \mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 3.6.3. We define the higher Chow groups of dimension r with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$\mathrm{CH}_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) := H_n(z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A})).$$

3.7. **Elementary properties.** The standard elementary properties of the cycle complexes are also valid with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$, if properly interpreted.

Projective pushforward. Let $f : X' \to X$ be a proper morphism. For $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W \subset X' \times Y$, we have the pushfoward map

$$f \times \mathrm{id}_* : G_0^W(X' \times Y, f^*\mathcal{A}) \to G_0^{f\times \mathrm{id}(W)}(X \times Y; \mathcal{A})$$

Also, if $g : Y' \to Y$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \times Y' \arrow[r, "f\times\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\times g"'] & X \times Y' \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\times g"] \\
X' \times Y \arrow[r, "f\times\mathrm{id}"'] & X \times Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian and Tor-independent, and the vertical maps are lci morphisms, from which it follows that the diagram (with $W' = (\mathrm{id} \times g)^{-1}(W)$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_0^{W'}(X' \times Y') \arrow[r, "f\times\mathrm{id}"] & G_0^{f\times\mathrm{id}(W')}(X \times Y') \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\mathrm{id}\times g^*"', "\mathrm{id}\times g"] \\
G_0^{W}(X' \times Y) \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}\times g^*"] \arrow[r, "f\times\mathrm{id}"'] & G_0^{f\times\mathrm{id}(W)}(X \times Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Thus, then maps $(f \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^n})_*$ induce a map of complexes

$$f_* : z_r(X', *; f^*\mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A})$$

with the evident functoriality.

Flat pullback. Let $f : X' \to X$ be a flat morphism. For $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W \subset X \times_k Y$, we have the pull-back map

$$f \times \mathrm{id}^* : G_0^W(X \times Y, \mathcal{A}) \to G_0^{(f\times\mathrm{id})^{-1}(W)}(X' \times Y, f^*\mathcal{A})$$

commuting with the pull-back maps $\mathrm{id} \times g^*$ for $g : Y' \to Y$ a map in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$. Since f is flat, the codimension of W is preserved, hence the pullback maps $f \times \mathrm{id}_{\Delta^n}^*$ induce a map of complexes

$$f^* : z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X', *; f^*\mathcal{A})$$

functorially in f.

Elementary moving lemmas and homotopy property.

Definition 3.7.1. Fix a $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a finite set of locally closed subsets of Y. Let $X \times Y_r^{\mathcal{C}}(n)$ be the set of irreducible dimension $r+n$ closed subsets W of $X \times Y \times \Delta^n$ such that W is in $X \times Y_r(n)$ and for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$

$$W \cap X \times C \times \Delta^n \text{ is in } \mathcal{S}_r^{X \times C}(n).$$

We have the subcomplex $z_r(X \times Y, *; \mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{C}}$ of $z_r(X \times Y, *; \mathcal{F})$, with

$$z_r(X \times Y, n; \mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{C}} = \oplus_{W \in X \times Y_r^{\mathcal{C}}(n)} \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W).$$

Exactly the same proof as for [5, lemma 2.2], using translation by GL_n, gives the following:

Lemma 3.7.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a finite set of locally closed subsets of Y, with $Y = \mathbb{A}^n$ or $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then the inclusion*

$$z_r(X \times Y, *; \mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{C}} \to z_r(X \times Y, *; \mathcal{A})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Similarly, we have

Lemma 3.7.3. *The pull-back map*

$$z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A}) \to z_{r+1}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1; \mathcal{A})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

3.8. **Localization.** Let $j : U \to X$ be an open immersion with closed complement $i : Z \to X$. Let Y be in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$. If $W \subset X \times Y$ is an irreducible closed subset supported in $Z \times Y$, then $i \times \mathrm{id}$ induces an isomorphism

$$i \times \mathrm{id}_* : G_0^W(X \times Y, i^*\mathcal{A}) \to G_0^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A}),$$

which in turn induces the isomorphism

$$i_* : \mathcal{K}_0^{i^*\mathcal{A}}(W) \to \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W)$$

Similarly, if the generic point of W lives over $U \times Y$, then we have the surjection

$$j \times \mathrm{id}^* : G_0^W(X \times Y; \mathcal{A}) \to G_0^{W \cap U \times Y}(U \times Y, j^*\mathcal{A})$$

inducing an isomorphism

$$j^* : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(W) \to \mathcal{K}_0^{j^*\mathcal{A}}(W \cap U \times Y)$$

This yields the termwise exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to z_r(Z, *, i^*\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i_*} z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{j^*} z_r(U, *, j^*\mathcal{A}) \tag{3.8.1}$$

It follows from the main result of [21] that

Lemma 3.8.1. *The inclusion*

$$j^*(z_r(X, *\mathcal{A})) \subset z_r(U, *, j^*\mathcal{A})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism

hence

Corollary 3.8.2. *The sequence* (3.8.1) *thus determines a canonical distinguished triangle in* $D^-(\mathbf{Ab})$*, and we have the long exact* localization sequence

$$\begin{aligned}\ldots \to \mathrm{CH}_r(Z, n, i^*\mathcal{A}) &\xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{CH}_r(X, n; \mathcal{A})\\ &\xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{CH}_r(U, n, j^*\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{CH}_r(Z, n-1, i^*\mathcal{A}) \to \ldots\end{aligned}$$

This in turn yields the *Mayer-Vietoris* distinguished triangle for $X = U \cup V$, $U, V \subset X$ Zariski open subschemes

$$\begin{aligned}(3.8.2)\quad z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A}) &\to z_r(U, *; \mathcal{A}_U) \oplus z_r(V, *; \mathcal{A}_V)\\ &\to z_r(U \cap V, *; \mathcal{A}_{U\cap V}) \to z_r(X, *-1; \mathcal{A})\end{aligned}$$

3.9. **Extended functoriality.** Assume now that X is equi-dimensional over k (but not necessarily smooth). A modification of the method of [19], derived from Chow's moving lemma, yields a functorial model for the assignment $Y \mapsto z^r(X \times Y, *; \mathcal{A})$; as we will not need the functoriality in this paper, we omit a further discussion of this topic.

3.10. **Reduced norm.** For $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$, $\mathcal{A} = k$, the complex $z_r(X, *; k)$ is just Bloch's cycle complex $z_r(X, *)$. Indeed, for a field F, we have the canonical identification of $K_0(F)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ by the dimension function, giving the isomorphism

$$z_r(X, n; k) = \oplus_{w \in X_{(r)}(n)} K_0(k(w)) \cong \oplus_{w \in X_{(r)}(n)} \mathbb{Z} = z_r(X, n).$$

In addition, if $W \subset X \times \Delta^n$ is an integral closed subscheme of dimension d, $i : \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n$ is a codimension one face and if W is not contained in $X \times i(\Delta^{n-1})$, then it follows directly from Serre's intersection multiplicity formula that the image of $(\mathrm{id} \times i)^*([\mathcal{O}_W])$ in $\oplus_{w \in (X \times \Delta^{n-1})_{(d-1)}} K_0(k(w))$ goes to the pull-back cycle $(\mathrm{id} \times i)^*([W])$ under the isomorphism

$$\oplus_{w \in (X \times \Delta^{n-1})_{(d-1)}} K_0(k(w)) \cong z_{d-1}(X \times \Delta^{n-1}).$$

Now take $\mathcal{A}$ to be a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on X. The collection of reduced norm maps

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathcal{A}_{k(w)}} : K_0(k(w); \mathcal{A}) \to K_0(k(w))$$

thus defines the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}} : z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, n).$$

Lemma 3.10.1. *The maps* $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ *define a map of simplicial abelian groups*

$$n \mapsto [\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}} : z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, n)].$$

Proof. We note that the maps $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X',n;\mathcal{A}}$ for $X' \to X$ étale define a map of presheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Both $z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A})$ and $z_r(X, n)$ are sheaves for the Zariski topology on X and $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ defines a map of sheaves, so we may assume that X is local. If $X' \to X$ is an étale cover, then $z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X', n; \mathcal{A})$ and $z_r(X, n) \to z_r(X', n)$ are injective, so we may replace X with any étale cover. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is locally a sheaf of matrix algebras on $X_{\text{ét}}$, we may assume that $\mathcal{A} = M_n(\mathcal{O}_X)$. In this case, $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ is just the Morita isomorphism; we thus may extend $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ to the Morita isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Nrd}^W : G_0^W(X \times \Delta^n; \mathcal{A}) \to G_0^W(X \times \Delta^n)$$

for every $W \in \mathcal{S}^X_{(r)}(n)$. But the pull-back maps $g^* : z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, m; \mathcal{A})$ and $g^* : z_r(X, n) \to z_r(X, m)$ for $g : [m] \to [n]$ in **Ord** are defined by lifting elements in $z_r(X, n; \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $z_r(X, n)$) to $G^W_0(X \times \Delta^n; \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $G^W_0(X \times \Delta^n)$) for some W, applying $(\mathrm{id} \times g)^*$ and mapping to $z_r(X, m; \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $z_r(X, m)$). Thus the maps $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ define an isomorphism of simplicial abelian groups, completing the proof. □

Thus we have maps

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,\mathcal{A}} : z_r(X, *; \mathcal{A}) \to z_r(X, *)$$
$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{X;\mathcal{A}} : \mathrm{CH}_r(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{CH}_r(X, n)$$

The naturality properties of Nrd show that the maps $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ are natural with respect to flat pull-back and proper push forward (on the level of complexes).

4. The spectral sequence

We are now ready for the first of our main constructions and results. We begin by discussing the *homotopy coniveau tower* associated to the G-theory of sheaf of Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}$ on a scheme X. Our main result (theorem 4.1.3) is the identification of the layers in the homotopy coniveau tower with the Eilenberg-Maclane spectra associated to the twist cycle complex $z_p(X, *; \mathcal{A})$. The proof is exactly the same as for standard K-theory $K(X)$ (see [20, 18]), except that at one point we need to use an extension of some regularity results from $K(-)$ to $K(-; \mathcal{A})$; this extension is given in Appendix B.

We then turn to the case $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, where we have the motivic Postnikov tower for the presheaf $K^{\mathcal{A}}$. We show how our computation of the layers in the homotopy coniveau tower for $K^A(X) = K(X; A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X)$, for each $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, lead to a computation of of the layers in the motivic Postnikov tower for K^A. This completes the proof of our first main theorem 1 (see theorem 4.5.5). We conclude this section with a comparison of the reduced norm maps in motivic cohomology and K-theory, and some computations of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence in low degrees.

4.1. **The homotopy coniveau filtration.** Following [18] we define

$$G_{(p)}(X, n; \mathcal{A}) := \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^X_p(n)} G^W(X \times \Delta^n; \mathcal{A})$$

giving the simplicial spectrum $n \mapsto G_{(p)}(X, n; \mathcal{A})$, denoted $G_{(p)}(X, -; \mathcal{A})$. Note that, for all $p \geq d_X$, the evident map

$$G_{(p)}(X, -; \mathcal{A}) \to G(X \times \Delta^*; \mathcal{A})$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 4.1.1. In order that $n \mapsto G_{(p)}(X, n; \mathcal{A})$ form a simplicial spectrum, one needs to make the G-theory with support strictly functorial. This is done by first replacing the categories $\mathcal{M}_{X \times \Delta^n}(\mathcal{A})$ with the full subcategory $\mathcal{M}_{X \times \Delta^n}(\mathcal{A})'$ of $\mathcal{A}$ modules which are coherent sheaves on $X \times \Delta^n$ and are *flat* with respect to all inclusions $X \times F \to X \times \Delta^n$, $F \subset \Delta^n$ a face. Quillen's resolution theorem shows that

$$K(\mathcal{M}_{X \times \Delta^n}(\mathcal{A})') \to K(\mathcal{M}_{X \times \Delta^n}(\mathcal{A}))$$

is a weak equivalence. One then uses the usual trick of replacing $\mathcal{M}_{X\times\Delta^n}(\mathcal{A})'$ with sequences of objects together with isomorphisms (indexed by the morphisms in **Ord**) to make the pull-backs strictly functorial.

A similar construction makes $Y \mapsto G(X \times_k Y, \mathcal{A})$ strictly functorial on **Sm**/k; we will use this modification from now on without further mention.

Since $G(X \times -; \mathcal{A})$ is homotopy invariant, the canonical map

$$G(X;\mathcal{A}) \to G_{(d_X)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})$$

is a weak equivalence (on the total spectrum). This gives us the *homotopy coniveau tower*

(4.1.1)

$$\ldots \to G_{(p-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \to G_{(p)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \to \ldots \to G_{(d_X)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \sim G(X;\mathcal{A}).$$

Setting $G_{(p/p-r)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})$ equal to the homotopy cofiber of $G_{(p-r)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \to G_{(p)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})$, the tower (4.1.1) yields the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \pi_{-p-q}(G_{(q/q-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})) \Longrightarrow G_{-p-q}(X;\mathcal{A}) \tag{4.1.2}$$

Remarks 4.1.2. 1. Let T be a finite type k-scheme, $W \subset T$ a closed subscheme with open complement $j : U \to T$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on T. We have the homotopy fiber sequence

$$G^W(T;\mathcal{A}) \to G(T;\mathcal{A}) \to G(U;j^*\mathcal{A})$$

In addition, the spectra $G(T;\mathcal{A})$ and $G(U;j^*\mathcal{A})$ are -1 connected, and the restriction map

$$j^* : G_0(T;\mathcal{A}) \to G_0(U;j^*\mathcal{A})$$

is surjective. Thus $G^W(T;\mathcal{A})$ is -1 connected, hence the spectra $G_{(p)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ are -1 connected for all n and p.

2. Noting that $\mathcal{S}_p^X(n) = \emptyset$ for $p+n<0$, the -1 connectedness of $G_{(p)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ implies that

$$\pi_N(G_{(p)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})) = 0$$

for $N < -p$, i.e., that $G_{(p)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})$ is $-p-1$ connected. This in turn implies that $G_{(p/p-r)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})$ is $-p-1$ connected for all $r \geq 0$, that the natural map

$$G(X;\mathcal{A}) \to \operatorname*{holim}_n G_{(d_X/-n)}(X;\mathcal{A})$$

is a weak equivalence and that the spectral sequence (4.1.2) is strongly convergent.

Our main result in this section is

Theorem 4.1.3. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi_n(G_{(p/p-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})) \cong \mathrm{CH}_p(X,n;\mathcal{A}).$$

Corollary 4.1.4. *There is a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{CH}_q(X,-p-q;\mathcal{A})) \Longrightarrow G_{-p-q}(X;\mathcal{A})$$

The proof is in three steps: we first define a natural "cycle map"

$$\mathrm{cyc} : \pi_n(G_{(p/p+1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})) \to \mathrm{CH}_p(X,n;\mathcal{A}).$$

which will define the isomorphism. We then use the localization properties of $G_{(p/p+1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_p(X,*;\mathcal{A})$ to reduce to the case $X = \operatorname{Spec} F$, F a field, and finally we apply theorem 1.8.4 to complete the proof.

4.2. **The cycle map.** Let T be a finite type k-scheme, $W \subset T$ a closed subscheme with open complement $j : U \to T$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a sheaf of Azumaya algebras on T. We have the homotopy fiber sequence

$$G^W(T;\mathcal{A}) \to G(T;\mathcal{A}) \to G(U;j^*\mathcal{A})$$

In addition, the spectra $G(T;\mathcal{A})$ and $G(U;j^*\mathcal{A})$ are -1 connected, and the restriction map

$$j^* : G_0(T;\mathcal{A}) \to G_0(U;j^*\mathcal{A})$$

is surjective. Thus $G^W(T;\mathcal{A})$ is -1 connected. In particular, this implies that the spectra $G_{(p)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ are all -1 connected. A similar argument shows that the spectra $G_{(p/p-r)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ are all -1 connected.

As we have seen in remark 4.1.2(1), the the spectra $G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ are all -1 connected. Let $EM(\pi_0 G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n\mathcal{A}))$ denote the Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum with $\pi_0 = \pi_0 G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n\mathcal{A})$ and all other homotopy groups 0. Since $G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ is -1 connected, we have the map of spectra

$$\varphi_n : G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A}) \to EM(\pi_0 G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A}))$$

natural in n. Letting $EM(\pi_0 G_{(p/p-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}))$ denote the simplicial spectrum $n \mapsto EM(\pi_0 G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A}))$, this gives us the natural map of simplicial spectra

$$\varphi : G_{(p/p-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \to EM(\pi_0 G_{(p/p-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A})).$$

Lemma 4.2.1. *There is a natural map*

$$\psi_n : \pi_0(G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})) \to z_p(X,n;\mathcal{A}),$$

which is an isomorphism if $X = \operatorname{Spec} F$, F a field.

Proof. Let $W \subset X \times \Delta^n$ be a closed subset with generic points $w_1,\ldots,w_r$. We have the evident restriction map

$$G_0^W(X \times \Delta^n;\mathcal{A}) = G_0(W;\mathcal{A}) \to \oplus_i G_0(k(w_i);\mathcal{A}).$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(W) = \oplus_i G_0(k(w_i);\mathcal{A})$, we may define

$$\psi_n : \pi_0(G_{(p/p-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A})) \to z_p(X,n;\mathcal{A})$$

by projecting $\oplus_i G_0(k(w_i);\mathcal{A})$ on the factors coming from the generic points of $W \in \mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$ having dimension $n+r$ over k. By lemma 3.5.3, ψ_n is natural in n.

Suppose now that $X = \operatorname{Spec} F$, F a field; making a base-change and replacing p with $p - \dim_k X$, we may assume that $F = k$ (note that in this case we may assume $p \leq 0$). Thus implies that $X \times \Delta^n \cong \mathbb{A}_k^n$. It is easy to see that, for each $W \in \mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$, the intersection of $-p$ hypersurfaces of sufficiently high degree, containing W, is in $\mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$ and has pure dimension $p+n$. Thus the closed subsets $W \in \mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$ of pure dimension $p+n$ are cofinal in $\mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$.

Identify $z_p(X,n;\mathcal{A})$ with the direction sum $\oplus_w G_0(k(w);\mathcal{A})$ as w runs over the generic points of dimension $r+n$ $W \in \mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$. From the localization sequence, we see that the map

$$\varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}_p^X(n)} G_0(W;\mathcal{A}) \to \oplus_w G_0(k(w);\mathcal{A})$$

is surjective, with kernel the subgroup generated by the image of groups $G_0(W';\mathcal{A})$ with $\dim W' < p+n$ and $W' \subset W$ for some $W \in \mathcal{S}_p^X(n)$. The result thus follows from lemma 4.2.2 below. □

Lemma 4.2.2. *Suppose that* $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$. *Let* $W' \subset \Delta^n_k$ *be a closed subset with* $W' \in \mathcal{S}^q_X(n)$ *and* $\operatorname{codim}_{\Delta^n} W' > q$. *Then the natural map*

$$G_0(W'; \mathcal{A}) \to \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^q_X(n)} G_0(W; \mathcal{A})$$

is the zero-map.

Proof. This is a modification of the proof of Sherman [32] that the Gersten complex for $\mathbb{A}^n$ is exact. We may assume that k is infinite. Take a general linear linear projection

$$\pi : \Delta^n_k = \mathbb{A}^n_k \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$$

and let $W = \pi^{-1}(\pi(W'))$. Then

$$\pi : W' \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$$

is finite and W is in $\mathcal{S}^q_X(n)$. In addition, π makes $\mathbb{A}^n$ into a trivial $\mathbb{A}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. Thus the canonical section $s : W' \to W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}} \mathbb{A}^n$ makes $W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}} \mathbb{A}^n \to W'$ into a trivial line bundle over W, hence $s(W') \subset W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}} \mathbb{A}^n$ is a principal Cartier divisor. Letting t be a defining equation, we have the functorial exact sequence

$$0 \to p_{2*}p_1^*(M) \xrightarrow{\times t} p_{2*}p_1^*(M) \to i_*(M) \to 0$$

where $p_1 : W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}} \mathbb{A}^n \to W'$, $p_2 : W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}} \mathbb{A}^n \to W \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ are the projections and $i : W' \to W$ is the inclusion. Thus

$$i_* : G_0(W'; \mathcal{A}) \to G_0(W; \mathcal{A})$$

is the zero-map, completing the proof. □

We denote the composition $EM(\psi_n) \circ \varphi_n$ by

$$\operatorname{cyc}_n : G_{(p)}(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to EM(z_p(X, n; \mathcal{A}))$$

and the map on the associated simplicial objects by

$$\operatorname{cyc} : G_{(p)}(X, -; \mathcal{A}) \to EM(z_p(X, -; \mathcal{A}))$$

4.3. **Localization.**

Consider an open subscheme $j : U \to X$ with closed complement $i : Z \to X$. We let $\mathcal{S}^{U_X}_r(n) \subset \mathcal{S}^U_r(n)$ denote the set of closed subsets $W \subset U \times \Delta^n$ such that

1. W is in $\mathcal{S}^U_r(n)$
2. The closure $\bar{W}$ of W in $X \times \Delta^n$ is in $\mathcal{S}^X_r(n)$.

Define the spectrum $G_{(r)}(U_X, n; \mathcal{A})$ by

$$G_{(r)}(U_X, n; j^*\mathcal{A}) := \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{U_X}_r(n)} G^W(U \times \Delta^n; j^*\mathcal{A})$$

giving us the simplicial spectrum $G_{(r)}(U_X, -; \mathcal{A})$. The restriction map

$$j^* : G_{(r)}(U_X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to G_{(r)}(U_X, n; \mathcal{A})$$

factors through $G_{(r)}(U_X, n; \mathcal{A})$, giving us the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_{(r)}(X, -; \mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\hat{j}^*"] \arrow[dr, "j^*"'] & G_{(r)}(U_X, -; \mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
& G_{(r)}(U, -; \mathcal{A})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 4.3.1. *The sequence*

$$G_{(r)}(Z,-;i^*\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i_*} G_{(r)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\hat{j}^*} G_{(r)}(U_X,-;\mathcal{A})$$

is a homotopy fiber sequence.

Proof. In fact, it follows from the localization theorem for $G(-;\mathcal{A})$ that, for each n, the sequence

$$G_{(r)}(Z,n;i^*\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i_*} G_{(r)}(X,n;\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\hat{j}^*} G_{(r)}(U_X,n;\mathcal{A})$$

whence the result. □

The localization results of [21] yield the following result:

Theorem 4.3.2. *The map*

$$\varphi : G_{(r)}(U_X,-;\mathcal{A}) \to G_{(r)}(U,-;\mathcal{A})$$

is a weak equivalence.

Thus, we have

Corollary 4.3.3. *The sequences*

$$G_{(r)}(Z,-;i^*\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i_*} G_{(r)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{j^*} G_{(r)}(U,-;\mathcal{A})$$

and

$$G_{(r/r-s)}(Z,-;i^*\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i_*} G_{(r/r-s)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{j^*} G_{(r/r-s)}(U,-;\mathcal{A})$$

are homotopy fiber sequences.

In addition, we have

Lemma 4.3.4. *The diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_{(r/r-1)}(Z,-;i^*\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "i_*"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}"'] & G_{(r/r-1)}(X,-;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "j^*"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}"'] & G_{(r/r-1)}(U,-;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}"'] \\
EM(z_r(Z,-;i^*\mathcal{A})) \arrow[r, "i_*"'] & EM(z_r(X,-;\mathcal{A})) \arrow[r, "j^*"'] & EM(z_r(U,-;j^*\mathcal{A}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

defines a map of distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{SH}$.

Proof. It is clear the maps cyc_n are functorial with respect to the closed immersion i and the open immersion j, hence the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0 G_{(r/r-1)}(Z,n;i^*\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "i_*"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_n"'] & \pi_0 G_{(r/r-1)}(X,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\hat{j}^*"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_n"'] & \pi_0 G_{(r/r-1)}(U_X,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_n"'] \\
z_r(Z,n;i^*\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "i_*"'] & z_r(X,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "j^*"'] & z_r(U_X,n;j^*\mathcal{A})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for each n. Similarly, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_0 G_{(r/r-1)}(U_X,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_n"'] & \pi_0 G_{(r/r-1)}(U,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_n"'] \\
z_r(U_X,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\varphi"'] & z_r(U,n;j^*\mathcal{A})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for each n. The result follows directly from this. $\square$

Proposition 4.3.5. *Suppose that the map*

$$\mathrm{cyc}(X) : G_{(r/r-1)}(X, -; \mathcal{A}) \to EM(z_r(X, -; \mathcal{A}))$$

is a weak equivalence for $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, F$*,* F *a finitely generated field extension of* k*. Then* $\mathrm{cyc}(X)$ *is a weak equivalence for all* X *essentially of finite type over* k*.*

Proof. This follows from corollary 3.8.2, corollary 4.3.3, lemma 4.3.4 and noetherian induction. $\square$

4.4. **The case of a field.** We have reduced to the case $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, where we may apply the method of [18, §6.4], as explained in section 1.8.

Let $K^{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbf{Spt}_{S^1}(k)$ be the presheaf of spectra $X \mapsto K(X; \mathcal{A})$. We note that

Lemma 4.4.1.

1. $K^{\mathcal{A}}$ *is homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision.*
2. $K^{\mathcal{A}}$ *is connected*
3. $K^{\mathcal{A}} \cong \Omega_T(K^{\mathcal{A}})$.

We have already seen (1); (2) follows from the weak equivalence $K(-; \mathcal{A}) \to G(-; \mathcal{A})$ on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and (3) follows from the projective bundle formula.

In particular, for Y in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ and integer $q \geq 0$, we have the simplicial abelian group $z^q(Y, -; K^{\mathcal{A}})$ and the cycle map

$$\mathrm{cyc}_{K^{\mathcal{A}}} : s^q(Y, -; K^{\mathcal{A}}) \to EM(z^q(Y, -; K^{\mathcal{A}})).$$

Lemma 4.4.2. *Let* Y *be in* $\mathbf{Sm}/k$*,* $d = \dim_k Y$*. Fix an integer* $q \geq 0$ *and let* $r = d - q$*. There is a weak equivalence of simplicial spectra*

$$n \mapsto \varphi_n : s^q(Y, n; K^{\mathcal{A}}) \to G_{(r/r-1)}(Y, n; \mathcal{A})$$

and an isomorphism of simplicial abelian groups

$$n \mapsto \psi_n : z^q(Y, n; K^{\mathcal{A}}) \to z_r(Y, n; \mathcal{A})$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} s^q(Y, -; K^{\mathcal{A}}) \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}_{K^{\mathcal{A}}}(Y)"'] & G_{(r/r-1)}(Y, -; \mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cyc}(Y)"] \\ EM(z^q(Y, n; K^{\mathcal{A}})) \arrow[r, "EM(\psi)"'] & EM(z_r(Y, -; \mathcal{A})) \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes in $\mathcal{SH}$*.*

Proof. We have the natural transformation of functors on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$

$$K(-; \mathcal{A}) \to G(-; \mathcal{A})$$

In particular, for $T \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ and $W \subset T$ a closed subset, we have the map

$$\varphi_{T,W} : K^W(T; \mathcal{A}) \to G^W(T; \mathcal{A})$$

defining a natural transformation of presheaves of spectra on Imm_k. Applying $\varphi_{-,-}$ to the colimit of spectra with supports forming the definition of $s^q(Y, n; K^{\mathcal{A}})$ and $G_{(r/r-1)}(Y, n; \mathcal{A})$ gives φ_n. The map ψ_n is defined similarly, using the maps $\pi_0(\varphi_{T,W})$. The compatibility with the cycle maps follows directly from the definitions. $\square$

Thus, to prove that $\mathrm{cyc}(Y) : G_{(r/r-1)}(Y, -; \mathcal{A}) \to EM(z_r(Y, -; \mathcal{A}))$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}$ for all r and all $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ (in particular, for $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k$), it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{cyc}_{K^{\mathcal{A}}}(Y) : s^q(Y, -; K^{\mathcal{A}}) \to EM(z^q(Y, n; K^{\mathcal{A}}))$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}$ for all q and all Y. For this, it suffices by theorem 1.8.4 to show that $K^{\mathcal{A}}$ is well-connected.

We have already seen that $K^{\mathcal{A}}$ is connected (lemma 4.4.1(2)). By lemma 4.4.1(3) we need only show that

$$\pi_n(K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}; \mathcal{A})) = 0$$

for $n \neq 0$.

We have shown in [18, theorem 6.4.1] that the theory $Y \mapsto K(Y)$ is well-connected, in particular, that $\pi_n(K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}; \mathcal{A})) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ and for $\mathcal{A} = k$. Using the results of appendix B, especially proposition B.5, the same argument shows $\pi_n(K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}; \mathcal{A})) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ for arbitrary $\mathcal{A}$. This completes the proof of theorem 4.1.3.

Remark 4.4.3. For later use, we record the fact we have just proved above, namely, that the presheaf $Y \mapsto K(Y; \mathcal{A})$ is well-connected.

4.5. **The slice filtration for an Azumaya algebra.**

By proposition 3.3.6, $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a birational motivic sheaf, hence the cycle complex $z^q(X, *; \mathcal{Z}_A(q)[2q])$ is defined.

Theorem 4.5.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a central simple algebra over a field k. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, there is an isomorphism of complexes*

$$z^q(X, *; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A}}} z^q(X, *; \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q]),$$

natural with respect to proper push-forward and flat pull-back.

Proof. We first define for each $n \geq 0$ an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A},n} : z^q(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \cong z^q(X, n; \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q])$$

Indeed, by definition

$$z^q(X, n; \mathcal{A}) = \oplus_{w \in X^{(q)}(n)} \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(k(w)).$$

By remark 2.3.4, we have

$$z^q(X, n; \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q]) = \oplus_{w \in X^{(q)}(n)} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(k(w)).$$

But $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is just $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ considered as a sheaf with transfers, giving us the desired isomorphism.

This isomorphism $\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A},n}$ is clearly compatible with proper push-forward and flat pull-back. It thus suffices to show that the $\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A},n}$ are compatible with the face maps $X \times \Delta^{n-1} \to X \times \Delta^n$.

Let $k \to k'$ be an extension of fields.

$$z^q(X, n; \mathcal{A}) \to z^q(X_{k'}, n; \mathcal{A}(q)[2q])$$
$$z^q(X, n; \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q]) \to z^q(X_{k'}, n; \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q])$$

are injective, it suffices to check in case $\mathcal{A}$ is a matrix algebra. By Morita equivalence, it suffices to check for $\mathcal{A} = k$.

Recall from proposition 2.4.5 the isomorphism of simplicial abelian groups

$$n \mapsto [\rho_{X,n} : z^q(X, n; \mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]) \to z^q(X, n)$$

and from §3.10 and lemma 3.10.1 the reduced norm map (of simplicial abelian groups)

$$n \mapsto [\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}} : z^q(X,n;\mathcal{A}) \to z^q(X,n)].$$

In case $\mathcal{A} = k$, the maps $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ are isomorphisms. It is easy to check that (for $\mathcal{A} = k$) the diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
z^q(X,n;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[rr, "\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A},n}"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}"'] & & z^q(X,n;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]) \arrow[dl, "\rho_{X,n}"] \\
& z^q(X,n) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Since both the $\mathrm{Nrd}_{X,n;\mathcal{A}}$ and $\rho_{X,n}$ define maps of simplicial abelian groups, it follows that the $\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A},n}$ are define maps of of simplicial abelian groups as well. □

Remark 4.5.2. We have the reduced norm map $\mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ (as a map of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers) inducing a reduced norm map $\mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathcal{A}}(q) : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q] \to \mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]$ and thus a map of complexes

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)_X : z^q(X,*;\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q]) \to z^q(X,*;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q]).$$

We have as well the reduced norm map of §3.10

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{X;\mathcal{A}} : z^q(X,*;\mathcal{A}) \to z^q(X,*).$$

We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
z^q(X,*;\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\varphi_{X,\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nrd}_{X;\mathcal{A}}"'] & z^q(X,*) \arrow[d, "\varphi_{X,k}"] \\
z^q(X,*;\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)[2q]) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathcal{A}}(q)_X"'] & z^q(X,*;\mathbb{Z}(q)[2q])
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Indeed, on $z^q(X,n;\mathcal{A}) = \oplus_w \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}(k(w))$, both compositions are just sums of the reduced norm maps

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : K_0(\mathcal{A}_{k(w)}) \to K_0(k(w)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary 4.5.3. *Let A be a central simple algebra over a perfect field k, $Y \in$ **Sm**$/k$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\psi_{p,q;\mathcal{A}} : \mathrm{CH}^q(Y, 2q-p;\mathcal{A}) \to H^p(Y,\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(q))$$

natural with respect to flat pull-back and proper push-forward, and compatible with the respective reduced norm maps.

Proof. This follows from theorem 2.3.3 and theorem 4.5.1. □

Corollary 4.5.4. *Let A be a central simple algebra over a perfect field k, $Y \in$ **Sm**$/k$. Then there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^{p-q}(Y,\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(-q)) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(Y;\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. By corollary 4.1.4, we have the strongly convergent E_2 spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{CH}^{-q}(Y, -p-q; \mathcal{A}) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(Y; \mathcal{A}).$$

By corollary 4.5.3 we have the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}^{-q}(Y, -p-q; \mathcal{A}) \cong H^{p-q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(-q))$$

yielding the result. □

In fact, we have

Theorem 4.5.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a central simple algebra over a perfect field k. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$s_n(K^{\mathcal{A}}) \cong EM(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(n)[2n])$$

Proof. We first show

Claim. *The presheaf $K^{\mathcal{A}}$ is the 0-space of a T-spectrum $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof of claim. Take $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. For a $\mathcal{A}\otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y$-module M, which is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$ module, we associate the complex of sheaves on $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$

$$p_1^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times X_0} p_1^*(M).$$

This gives a functor from the category $\mathcal{P}_{Y,\mathcal{A}}$ to the category of perfect complexes of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with support in $Y \times \infty$. Taking K-theory spectra, this gives a natural map

$$\epsilon_Y : K(Y; \mathcal{A}) \to K^{Y\times\infty}(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{A}) = (\Omega_T K^{\mathcal{A}})(Y)$$

Using the maps ϵ_Y, we thus build a T Ω spectrum

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) := ((K^{\mathcal{A}}, K^{\mathcal{A}}, \ldots), \epsilon).$$

Clearly

$$K^{\mathcal{A}} = \Omega_T^\infty(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}))$$

proving the claim. □

By the claim and remark 1.2.1, it suffices to prove the theorem for the case $n = 0$. We have the natural map

$$K^{\mathcal{A}} \to s_0(K^{\mathcal{A}})$$

giving the map of Nisnevich sheaves

$$\varphi : \mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}} \to \pi_0(s_0(K^{\mathcal{A}})).$$

But we have the canonical isomorphism (see §1.6)

$$s_0(K^{\mathcal{A}})(Y) \cong K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}, \mathcal{A})$$

Since $K^{\mathcal{A}}$ is well-connected, we have

$$\pi_n(K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}, \mathcal{A})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n \neq 0 \\ K_0(k(Y); \mathcal{A}) & \text{for } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

where the map $K_0(k(Y); \mathcal{A}) \to \pi_0(K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}, \mathcal{A}))$ is induced by the canonical map $K(k(Y); \mathcal{A}) \to K(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}, \mathcal{A})$. In particular, φ is an isomorphism on function fields;

since both $\mathcal{K}_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_0(s_0(K(-,\mathcal{A})))$ are birational sheaves, φ is an isomorphism. This gives us the isomorphism

$$s_0(K^{\mathcal{A}}) \cong EM(\mathbb{Z}_A),$$

as desired. □

4.6. **The reduced norm map.** Let A be a central simple algebra over k. We have already mentioned the reduced norm map

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : K_0(A) \to K_0(k)$$

in section 3.2; there are in fact reduced norm maps

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : K_n(A) \to K_n(k)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2$. For $n = 0, 1$, these may defined with the help of a splitting field $L \supset k$ for A and Morita equivalence: Use the composition $A \subset A \otimes_k L \cong M_d(L)$

$$K_n(A) \to K_n(A_L) \cong K_n(M_d(L)) \cong K_n(L).$$

For $n = 0$, the map $K_0(k) \to K_0(L)$ is an isomorphism; one checks that the resulting map $K_0(A) \to K_0(k)$ is the reduced norm we have already defined. For $n = 1$, one can take L to be Galois over k (with group say G) and use that fact that there is a 1-cocycle $\{\bar{g}_\sigma\} \in Z^1(G; \mathrm{PGL}_d(L))$ such that $A \subset M_d(L)$ is the invariant subalgebra under the G action

$$(\sigma, m) \mapsto \bar{g}_\sigma{}^\sigma m \bar{g}_\sigma^{-1}$$

As $\det : K_1(M_d(L)) \to K_1(L) = L^\times$ the isomorphism given by Morita equivalence, one sees that the image of $K_1(A)$ in $L^\times$ lands in the G-invariants, i.e., in $k^\times = K_1(k)$.

For $n = 2$, the definition of the reduced norm map (due to Merkurjev-Suslin in the square-free degree case [25, Th. 7.3] and to Suslin in general [35, Cor. 5.7]) is more complicated; however, we do have the following result. Let Spl_A be the set of field extensions L/k that split A.

Proposition 4.6.1. *Let $L \supset k$ be an extension field.*

1. For $n = 0, 1, 2$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_n(A_L) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{A_L/A}"'] & K_n(L) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"] \\
K_n(A) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}"'] & K_n(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Here $\mathrm{Nm}_{A_L/A} : K_n(A_L) \to K_n(A)$ is the map on the K-groups induced by the restriction of scalars functor, and similarly for $\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}$.

2. For $n = 0, 1$, the map

$$\sum \mathrm{Nm}_{A_L/A} : \oplus_{L \in Spl_A} K_n(A_L) \to K_n(A)$$

is surjective. If A has square free index, $\sum \mathrm{Nm}_{A_L/A}$ is surjective for $n = 2$ as well.

For a proof of the last statement, see [25, Th. 5.2].

Let $L \supset k$ be a field. Since $\mathrm{CH}^m(L, n; \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $m > n$, due to dimensional reasons, we have the edge homomorphism

$$p_{n,L;A} : \mathrm{CH}^n(L, n; A) \to K_n(A_L)$$

coming from the spectral sequence of corollary 4.5.3.

Let L/k be a finite field extension. We let

$$\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k} : \mathrm{CH}^q(Y_L, p; A) \to \mathrm{CH}^q(Y, p; A)$$

denote the push-forward map for the finite morphism $Y_L \to Y$.

Lemma 4.6.2. *Let L/k be a finite field extension, $f : \mathrm{Spec}\, L \to \mathrm{Spec}\, k$ the corresponding morphism. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}^n(L, n; A) \arrow[r, "p_{n,L;A}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"'] & K_n(A_L) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{A_L/A}"] \\
\mathrm{CH}^n(k, n; A) \arrow[r, "p_{n,k;A}"'] & K_n(A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. Let w be a closed point of Δ^n_L, not contained in any face. We have the composition

$$K_0(L(w); A) \cong K_0^w(\Delta^n_L; A) \cong K_0^w(\Delta^n_L, \partial\Delta^n_L; A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_0(\Delta^n_L, \partial\Delta^n_L; A) \cong K_n(A_L)$$

The first isomorphism is via the localization sequence for $K(-; A)$. We have the canonical map

$$K^w(\Delta^n_L, \partial\Delta^n_L; A) \to K^w(\Delta^n_L; A)$$

which is a weak equivalence since $w \cap \partial\Delta^n_L = \emptyset$, giving us the second isomorphism. The map α is "forget supports" and the last isomorphism follows from the homotopy property of $K(-; A)$. Denote this composition by

$$\beta^w_{n,L;A} : K_0(k(w); A) \to K_n(A_L).$$

Since $z^n(L, n; A) = \oplus_w K_0(k(w); A)$, where the sum is over all closed points $w \in \Delta^n_L \setminus \partial\Delta^n_L$, the maps $\beta^w_{n,L;A}$ induce

$$\beta_{n,L;A} : z^n(L, n; A) \to K_n(A_L);$$

we have as well the canonical surjection

$$\gamma_{n,L;A} : z^n(L, n; A) \to \mathrm{CH}^n(L, n; A).$$

It follows easily from the definitions that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
z^n(L, n; A) \arrow[r, "\gamma_{n,L;A}"] \arrow[dr, "\beta_{n,L;A}"'] & \mathrm{CH}^n(L, n; A) \arrow[d, "p_{n,L;A}"] \\
 & K_n(A_L)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

On the other hand, it is also a direct consequence of the definitions that, for $x \in \Delta^n_k$ the image of w under $\Delta^n_L \to \Delta^n_k$, we have

$$\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k} \circ \gamma_{n,L;A} = \gamma_{n,k;A} \circ \mathrm{Nm}_{A_{L(w)}/A_{k(x)}}$$
$$\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k} \circ \beta_{n,L;A} = \beta_{n,k;A} \circ \mathrm{Nm}_{A_{L(w)}/A_{k(x)}}$$

whence the result. □

Lemma 4.6.3. *For all $n \geq 0$, the map*

$$\sum_L \mathrm{Nm}_{L/k} : \oplus_{L \in Spl_A} \mathrm{CH}^n(L, n; A) \to \mathrm{CH}^n(k, n; A)$$

is surjective.

Proof. In fact, the map

$$\sum_L \mathrm{Nm}_{L/k} : \oplus_{L \in Spl_A} z^n(L, n; A) \to z^n(k, n; A)$$

is surjective. Indeed, let x be a closed point of $\Delta^n_k \setminus \partial\Delta^n_k$. Then

$$A_{k(x)} = M_n(D)$$

for some division algebra D over $k(x)$. Letting $L \subset D$ be a maximal subfield of D containing $k(x)$, L splits D, hence L/k splits A. Since $L \supset k(x)$, there is a closed point $w \in \Delta^n_L \setminus \partial\Delta^n_L$ lying over x with $L(w) = w$, i.e., w is an L-point.

Since L is a maximal subfield of D, the degree of L over $k(x)$ is exactly the index of $\mathrm{Nrd}(K_0(D)) \subset K_0(k(x))$. Thus the norm map

$$\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k(x)} : K_0(A_L) \to K_0(A_{k(x)})$$

is surjective, i.e. $K_0(A_{k(x)}) \cdot x$ is contained in the image of $\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}(z^n(L, n; A))$. As

$$z^n(k, n; A) = \oplus_x K_0(A_{k(x)})$$

with the sum over all closed points $x \in \Delta^n_k \setminus \partial\Delta^n_k$, this proves the lemma. □

Recall from §3.10 the reduced norm map

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_{X;\mathcal{A}} : z^q(Y, *; A) \to z^q(Y, *).$$

Lemma 4.6.4. *Let $j : k \hookrightarrow L$ be a finite extension field, $Y \in$ **Sm**$/k$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
z^q(Y_L, -; A) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}_{Y_L,A}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"'] & z^q(Y_L, -) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"] \\
z^q(Y, -; A) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}_{Y,A}"'] & z^q(Y, -)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. Take $w \in Y^{(q)}_L(n)$ and let $x \in Y^{(q)}(n)$ be the image of w under $Y_L \times \Delta^n \to Y \times \Delta^n$. It is easy to check that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(A_{k(w)}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{A_{k(w)}/A_{k(x)}}"'] & K_0(k(w)) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nm}_{k(w)/k(x)}"] \\
K_0(A_{k(x)}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nrd}"'] & K_0(k(x))
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, from which the lemma follows. ☐

Proposition 4.6.5. *For $n = 0, 1, 2$ the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}^n(k,n;A) \arrow[r, "p_{n,k;A}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nrd}"'] & K_n(A) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nrd}"] \\
\mathrm{CH}^n(k,n) \arrow[r, "p_{n,k}"'] & K_n(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. Let $j : k \hookrightarrow L$ be a finite extension field of k. We have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{CH}^n(L,n;A_L) \arrow[rr, "p_{n,L;A}"] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Nrd}"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"'] & & K_n(A_L) \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Nrd}" near end] & \\
& \mathrm{CH}^n(k,n;A) \arrow[rr, "p_{n,k;A}" near start] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Nrd}" near start] & & K_n(A) \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Nrd}"] \\
\mathrm{CH}^n(L,n) \arrow[rr, "p_{n,L}"' near end] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"'] & & K_n(L) \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}"'] & \\
& \mathrm{CH}^n(k,n) \arrow[rr, "p_{n,k}"'] & & K_n(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left hand square commutes by lemma 4.6.4, the right hand square commutes by proposition 4.6.1, the top and bottom squares commute by lemma 4.6.2.

Now suppose that L splits A. Then, after using the Morita equivalence, the maps Nrd are identity maps, hence the back square commutes. Thus for $b \in \mathrm{CH}^n(L,n;A)$, $a = \mathrm{Nm}_{L/k}(b) \in \mathrm{CH}^n(k,n;A)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Nrd}(p_{n,k,A}(a)) = p_{n,k}(\mathrm{Nrd}(a)).$$

But by lemma 4.6.3, $\mathrm{CH}^n(k,n;A)$ is generated by elements a of this form, as L runs over all splitting fields of A, proving the result. ☐

4.7. **Computations.**

Theorem 4.7.1 (see also theorem 4.8.2)**.** *Let A be a central simple algebra over k.*

1. For $n = 0, 1$, the edge homomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}^n(k,n;A) \xrightarrow{p_{n,k;A}} K_n(A)$$

is an isomorphism.

2. The sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{CH}^1(k,3;A) \xrightarrow{d_2^{-2,-1}} \mathrm{CH}^2(k,2;A) \xrightarrow{p_{2,k;A}} K_2(A) \to \mathrm{CH}^1(k,2;A) \to 0$$

is exact.

Proof. We first note that $\mathrm{CH}^m(k,n;A)=0$ for $m>n$ for dimensional reasons. In addition $z^0(k,-;A)$ is the constant simplicial abelian group $n\mapsto K_0(A)$, hence $\mathrm{CH}^0(k,n;A)=0$ for $n\neq 0$. (1) follows thus from the spectral sequence of corollary 4.1.4.

For (2), the same argument gives the exact sequence

$$0\to \mathrm{CH}^1(k,3;A)\xrightarrow{d_2^{-2,-1}}\mathrm{CH}^2(k,2;A)\xrightarrow{p_{2,k;A}}K_2(A)\to\mathrm{CH}^1(k,2;A)\to 0.$$

□

4.8. **Codimension one.** We recall the computation of the codimension one higher Chow groups due to Bloch:

Proposition 4.8.1 (Bloch [5, theorem 6.1])**.** *Let F be a field. Then*

$$\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n)=\begin{cases}F^\times & \textit{for } n=1\\ 0 & \textit{for } n\neq 1\end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathrm{CH}^1(F,0)=0$ for dimensional reasons. To show that $\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n)=0$ for $n>1$, let $D=\sum_i n_iD_i$ be a divisor on Δ^n_F, intersecting each face properly, i.e., containing no vertex of Δ^n_F in its support. Suppose that D represents an element $[D]\in\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n)$, that is, $d_n(D)=0$. Using the degeneracy maps to add "trivial" components, we may assume that $D\cdot\Delta^{n-1}_j=0$ for all j, where Δ^{n-1}_j is the face $t_j=0$.

As $\Delta^n_F\cong\mathbb{A}^n_F$, the divisor D is the divisor of a rational function f on Δ^n_F. Since D intersects each Δ^{n-1}_j properly, the restriction f_j of f to Δ^{n-1}_j is a well-defined rational function on Δ^{n-1}_j; as $D\cdot\Delta^{n-1}_j=0$, $\mathrm{Div}(f_j)=0$, so f_j is a unit on Δ^{n-1}_j, that is, $f_j=a_j$ for some $a_j\in k^\times$. Since $\Delta^{n-1}_j\cap\Delta^{n-1}_l\neq\emptyset$ for all j,l[2], all the a_j are equal, thus $f_j=a\in k^\times$ for all j. Dividing f by a we may assume that $f_j\equiv 1$ for all j.

Now let $\mathcal{D}$ be the divisor of $g:=tf-(1-t)$ on $\Delta^n_F\times\mathbb{A}^1_F$, where $\mathbb{A}^1_F:=\operatorname{Spec}F[t]$. As the restriction of g to $\Delta^{n-1}_j\times\mathbb{A}^1$ is 1, $\mathcal{D}$ defines an element $[\mathcal{D}]\in\mathrm{CH}^1(\mathbb{A}^1_F,n)$ with $i_0^*([\mathcal{D}])=[D]$, $i_1^*([\mathcal{D}])=0$. By the homotopy property, $[D]=0$.

We use essentially the same argument plus Wang's theorem [43] to complete theorem 4.7.1 as follows:

Theorem 4.8.2. *Let A be a central simple algebra over a field F. Suppose A has square-free index. Then $\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n;A)=0$ for $n\neq 1$, and the edge homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{CH}^2(k,2;A)\xrightarrow{p_{2,k;A}}K_2(A)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We reduce as usual to the case where $\deg A=p$ is prime. As above, the case $n=0$ is trivially true. We mimic the proof for $\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n)$ in case $n>1$.

If $A=M_p(k)$, then $\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n;A)=\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n)$, so there is nothing to prove; we therefore assume that A is a degree p division algebra over k. Then A admits a splitting field k' of degree p over k; since $\mathrm{CH}^1(F\otimes_k k',n;A)=\mathrm{CH}^1(F\otimes_k k',n)=0$ for $n>1$, a norm argument shows that $\mathrm{CH}^1(F,n;A)$ is p-torsion.

We have seen in lemma 4.2.2 that the argument of Sherman [32, theorem 2.4] for the degeneration of the Quillen spectral sequence for $K(\mathbb{A}^n_F)$ goes through word for word to give the degeneration of the Quillen spectral sequence for $K(\mathbb{A}^n_F; A)$. We will use this fact throughout the remainder of the proof.

---

[2] This is where we use the hypothesis $n>1$

Take a divisor D representing a class $[D] \in \mathrm{CH}^1(F, n; A)$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(X; A)$ denote the category of $A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X$-modules which are coherent as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and are supported in codimension at least one on X. Since $K_0(\Delta^n_F; A) = K_0(A)$ by the homotopy property, the localization sequence

$$K_1(A \otimes_F F(\Delta^n)) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\Delta^n; A)) \to K_0(\Delta^n; A) \to K_0(A \otimes_F F(\Delta^n))$$

for $K(\Delta^n_F; A)$ gives us an element $f \in K_1(A \otimes_F F(\Delta^n))$ with

$$\partial f = D.$$

In fact, since D intersects each Δ^{n-1}_j properly, we may find such an $f \in (A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^n, \partial\Delta^n})^\times$, where

$$\partial\Delta^n := \cup_j \Delta^{n-1}_j.$$

We have the localization sequence

$$0 \to K_1(\Delta^{n-1}_j; A) \to K_1(k(\Delta^{n-1}_j); A) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\Delta^{n-1}_j; A)) \to$$

By the degeneration of the Quillen spectral sequence on Δ^{n-1}_j, it follows that

$$K_0(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\Delta^{n-1}_j; A)) = \oplus_{w \in (\Delta^{n-1}_j)^{(1)}} K_0(A \otimes_k k(w))$$

Thus, the fact that $\Delta^{n-1}_j \cdot D = 0$ implies that restriction of f to $f_j \in (A \otimes k(\Delta^{n-1}_j)^\times$ lifts uniquely to $K_1(\Delta^{n-1}_j; A) = K_1(A)$. Note that we have the surjection $A^\times \to K_1(A)$; similarly, we may lift f to an element $\tilde{f} \in (A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^n\{v\}})^\times$ with restriction $\tilde{f}_j$ to Δ^{n-1}_j.

Let $\partial^+\Delta^n = \cup_{j=1}^n \Delta^{n-1}_j$. The degeneracy maps give compatible splittings to the inclusions $\Delta^{n-1}_j \to \Delta^n$, so we can modify f so that $\tilde{f}_j = 1 \in (A \otimes k(\Delta^{n-1}_j)^\times$.

Now let $L := k(\Delta^{n-1}_0)$ and consider $\tilde{f}_0 \in (A_L)^\times$. Restricting to $\Delta^{n-1}_0 \cap \Delta^{n-1}_1$ shows that $f_0 = 1 \in K_1(A_L)$. Furthermore, the reduced norm map

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : K_1(A_L) \to K_1(L) = L^\times$$

is injective [43], and finally, for $a \in (A_L)^\times$, we have

$$\mathrm{Nrd}(a) = \begin{cases} a^p & \text{for } a \in L^\times \\ \mathrm{Nm}_{L(a)/L}(a) & \text{for } a \in A_L^\times \setminus L^\times \end{cases}$$

Now, $L(\tilde{f}_0)$ is a subfield of A_L of degree $\leq p$ over L. But since A is a division algebra and L is a pure transcendental extension of k, A_L is still a division algebra, and hence either $L(\tilde{f}_0) = L$ or $L(\tilde{f}_0)$ has degree exactly p over L. In the former case, $1 = \mathrm{Nrd}(\tilde{f}_0) = f_0^p$, and since $\tilde{f}_j \equiv 1$, it follows that $f_0 \equiv 1$ as well.

In case $L(\tilde{f}_0)$ has degree exactly p over L, then $\mathrm{Nm}_{L(\tilde{f}_0)/L}(\tilde{f}_0) = 1$. Let $M \supset L(\tilde{f}_0)$ be the Galois closure of $L(\tilde{f}_0)$ over L, let $M_0 \subset M$ be the unique subfield of index p, and let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(M/L)$ be the generator for $\mathrm{Gal}(M/M_0)$. Then $\mathrm{Nm}_{M/M_0}(\tilde{f}_0) = 1$, so by Hilbert's theorem 90, there is a $\tilde{g} \in M^\times$ with

$$\tilde{f}_0 = \frac{g^\sigma}{g}.$$

Looking at the proof of Hilbert's theorem 90, we see that we may take g in the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^{n-1}_0, \partial^+\Delta^{n-1}}$, with $g \equiv 1$ over all generic points of $\partial^+\Delta^{n-1}$.

By the Skolem-Noether theorem, there is an element $a_g \in A^\times_{M_0}$ with $g^\sigma = a_g^{-1} g a_g$, i.e.

$$\tilde{f}_0 = a_g^{-1} g a_g g^{-1}.$$

As above, we may take a_g to be a unit in the integral closure of $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_0^{n-1}, \partial^+\Delta^{n-1}}$.

Let $\hat{L} := k(\Delta^n)$, and let $\hat{M} \supset \hat{L}(\tilde{f})$ be the Galois closure of $\hat{L}(\tilde{f})$ over $\hat{L}$. Lift g to $\hat{g} \in \hat{M}$ (or rather, in the integral closure $\hat{R}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta^n, \Delta_0^{n-1}}$ in $\hat{M}$), with $\hat{g} \equiv 1$ over all generic points of $\partial^+\Delta^n$. Lift a_g similarly to $\hat{a}_g$. Let $d = [\hat{M}_0 : \hat{L}]$. We may replace $\tilde{f}^d$ with

$$\hat{f} := \mathrm{Nm}_{A_{\hat{M}_0}/A_{\hat{L}}}(\tilde{f} \hat{a}_g^{-1} \hat{g} \hat{a}_g \hat{g}^{-1})$$

Then $\hat{f}$ restricts to 1 in $A \otimes k(\Delta_j^{n-1})$ for all j, giving a trivialization of $d \cdot [D]$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1(F, n)$. Since d is prime to p, it follows that $[D] = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}^1(F, n; A)$. □

Remark 4.8.3. We shall give in corollary 6.1.4 below a second proof of theorem 4.8.2 , relying on the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem, by proving that $H^p(k; \mathbb{Z}_A(1)) = 0$ for A of square-free index over a perfect field k and $p \neq 1$. Via the isomorphism of corollary 4.5.3

$$\mathrm{CH}^1(k, n; A) \cong H^{2-n}(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(1))$$

this shows a second time that $\mathrm{CH}^1(k, n; A) = 0$ for $n \neq 1$ in the square-free index case. We do not know if this holds for A of arbitrary index.

4.9. **A map from $SK_i(A)$ to étale cohomology.**

In this section, we use the étale version of the spectral sequence in the previous section to construct homomorphisms from $SK_i(A)$ to quotients of $H^{i+2}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(i+1))$ for $i = 1, 2$.

The motivic Postnikov tower for K^A

$$f_{n+1}K^A \to f_n K^A \to \ldots \to f_0 K^A = K^A$$

induces by étale sheafification the étale version

$$[f_{n+1}K^A]^{\text{ét}} \to [f_n K^A]^{\text{ét}} \to \ldots \to [f_0 K^A]^{\text{ét}} = [K^A]^{\text{ét}}$$

with layers the étale sheafications $s_n^{\text{ét}} K^A$ of the layers $s_n K^A$ of the original tower. Since $s_n K^A = EM(\mathbb{Z}_A(n)[2n])$ (theorem 4.5.5), and $\mathbb{Z}_A(n)^{\text{ét}} = \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}}$, we have

$$s_n^{\text{ét}} K^A = EM(\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}}[2n]).$$

Evaluating at $\mathrm{Spec}\, k$ and taking the spectral sequence of this tower gives the étale motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for A, with Bloch-Lichtenbaum numbering:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^{p-q}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(-q)) \Rightarrow K^{\text{ét}}_{-p-q}(A).$$

Here is part of the corresponding E_2-plane:

-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	$H^0_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$	0	$H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$		
0	0	$H^1_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1))$	0	$H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1))$		
$H^0_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(2))$	$H^1_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(2))$	$H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(2))$	0	$H^4_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(2))$		
$H^1_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3))$	$H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3))$	$H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3))$	0	$H^5_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3))$		
$H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H^4_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))$	0	$H^6_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H^7_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))$	$H^8_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))$

For $i = 1, 2$, the composition

$$K_i(A) \to K_i^{\text{ét}}(A) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H^i_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(i)) = K_i(k)$$

coincides with the reduced norm, where ε is the edge homomorphism of the spectral sequence and the isomorphism follows from the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight i (that is, Kummer theory for $i = 1$ and the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem for $i = 2$). Hence we get an induced map

$$SK_1(A) \to \operatorname{coker}(K_2(k) \simeq H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \overset{d_2}{\to} H^5_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3))).$$

Note that the map $H^4_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(3)) \to H^5_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3)))$ is an isomorphism, independently of the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture.

For $SK_2(A)$, we get *a priori* a map to the quotient of

$$\operatorname{coker}(K^M_3(k) \simeq H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3)) \overset{d_2}{\to} H^6_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4)))$$

by the image of a d_3 differential starting from $H^1_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \simeq K_3(k)_{ind}$. If k contains a separably closed field, this group is divisible, hence its image by the torsion differential d_3 is 0. Note that we also have an isomorphism

$$H^5_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(4)) \overset{\sim}{\to} H^6_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(4))).$$

Here, the isomorphism $K^M_3(k) \simeq H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(3))$ follows from the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight 3; if one does not want to assume it, one gets a slightly more obscure quotient.

To compute d_2, we use the fact that this spectral sequence is a module on the corresponding spectral sequence for $K^{\text{ét}}F$ [29]. The latter is multiplicative [29] and d_2 is obviously 0 on $K_0(F)$ and $K_1(F)$, hence on all $K^M_i(F)$. For the one above, we then have

$$d_2(x) = x \cdot d_2(1)$$

where $d_2(1)$ is the image of $1 \in K_0(F)$ in $Br(F)$.

When we pass to the function field K of the Severi-Brauer variety of A, A gets split so $d_2(1)_K = 0$. By Amitsur's theorem, $d_2(1)$ is a multiple $\delta[A]$ of $[A]$.

In fact, we have $\delta = 1$. The computation is very similar to our compution of a related boundary map for the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety (see proposition 6.2.1) so we will be a little sketchy in our discussion here.

Proposition 4.9.1. *$d_2(1) = [A]$.*

Proof. We begin by noting that by naturality, it suffices to restrict the presheaf $Y \mapsto K(Y; A)$ to the small étale site over k. Fix a Galois splitting field L over k of A with group G. As the field extensions of L are cofinal in $k_{\text{ét}}$, it suffices to consider the functor

$$F \mapsto K(F; A)$$

on finite extensions F of k containing L; denote this subcategory of $k_{\text{ét}}$ by $k_{\text{ét}}(L)$.

For such an F, A_F is isomorphic to a matrix algebra, say $A_F \cong M_n(F)$, so by Morita equivalence, $K(F; A)$ is weakly equivalent to $K(F)$. Similarly, $\mathbb{Z}_A = \mathbb{Z}$ on $k_{\text{ét}}(L)$. Since

$$H^p(F, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = 0$$

for $p < n$, and since $\mathbb{Z}(1) \cong \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$, it follows from our identification of the slices (theorem 4.5.5)

$$s_n K^A \cong EM(\mathbb{Z}_A(n)[2n]),$$

that the cofiber $f_{0/2}K^A$ of $f_2K^A \to f_0K^A$ is the same as the presheaf of cofibers of K^A by its 1-connected cover

$$\tau_{\leq 1}K^A := \operatorname{cofib}[\tau_{\geq 2}K^A \to K^A].$$

Thus, to compute $d_2(1)$, we just need to apply the usual machinery of G-cohomology to the fiber sequence

$$\Sigma EM(\mathcal{K}_1^A) \to \tau_{\leq 1}K^A \to EM(\mathcal{K}_0^A),$$

similar to our computation in the proof of proposition 6.2.1.

Let us choose a cocycle $\sigma \mapsto \bar{g}_\sigma \in \mathrm{PGL}_n(L)$ representing the class of A in $H^1(G, \mathrm{PGL}_n(L))$. Thus, if $g_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ is a lifting of $\bar{g}_\sigma$, we have the action of G on $M_n(L)$

$$\varphi_\sigma(m) := g_\sigma \cdot {}^{\sigma}m \cdot g_\sigma^{-1}$$

where ${}^{\sigma}m$ is the usual action of G by conjugation of the matrix coefficients. A is isomorphic to the G-invariant k-subalgebra of $M_n(L)$. Also, the coboundary in $H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{G}_m)$ of the class of A in $H^1(k, \mathrm{PGL}_n)$ is represented by the 2-cocycle $\{c_{\tau,\sigma}\} \in Z^2(G, L^\times)$ defined by

$$c_{\tau,\sigma} \cdot \mathrm{id}_{L^n} = g_\tau {}^{\tau}g_\sigma g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}.$$

The ring homomorphism $\varphi_\sigma : M_n(L) \to M_n(L)$ induces an exact functor

$$\varphi_{\sigma *} : \mathrm{Mod}_{M_n(L)} \to \mathrm{Mod}_{M_n(L)}$$

sending projectives to projectives, hence a natural map $\varphi_{\sigma_*} : K(L; A) \to K(L; A)$ and thereby a map $\varphi_{\sigma_*} : \tau_{\leq 1}K(L; A) \to \tau_{\leq 1}K(L; A)$. To compute $d_2(1)$, we apply the following procedure: lift $1 \in K_0(L; A)$ to a representing $M_n(L)$-module F. For each $\sigma \in G$, choose an isomorphism $\psi_\sigma : \varphi_{\sigma *}(F) \to F$, which gives us a path γ_σ in the 0-space of $K(L; A)$. The path

$$\gamma(\tau, \sigma) := \gamma_\tau \cdot \varphi_{\tau_*}[\gamma_\sigma] \cdot \gamma_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}$$

is a loop in $K(L; A)$, giving an element $c'_{\sigma,\tau} \in K_1(L; A) = L^\times$. This gives us a cocycle $\{c'_{\tau,\sigma}\} \in Z^2(G; L^\times)$, which represents $d_2(1) \in H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

To make the computation concrete, let F be a left $M_n(L)$-module. Then the isomorphism of abelian groups $F \to M_n(L) \otimes_{M_n(L)} F$ sending v to $1 \otimes v$ identifies $\varphi_{\sigma *}(F)$ with the $M_n(L)$ module with underlying abelian group F, and with mutliplication

$$m \cdot_\sigma v := {}^{\sigma^{-1}}[g_\sigma^{-1} m g_\sigma] \cdot v.$$

Under this identification, $\varphi_{\sigma *}$ acts by the identity on morphisms.

Take $F = L^n$ with the standard $M_n(L)$-module structure. One sees immediately that sending v to $g_\sigma \cdot {}^{\sigma}v$ gives an $M_n(L)$-module isomorphism $\psi_\sigma : \varphi_{\sigma_*}(F) \to F$. The loop $\gamma(\tau, \sigma)$ is thus represented by the automorphism $\psi_\tau \circ \varphi_{\tau *}(\psi_\sigma) \circ \psi_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\tau \circ \varphi_{\tau *}(\psi_\sigma) \circ \psi_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}(v) &= \psi_\tau \circ \varphi_{\sigma *}(\psi_\sigma)({}^{(\tau\sigma)^{-1}}[g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1} v]) \\
&= \psi_\tau(g_\sigma \cdot {}^{\tau^{-1}}[g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1} \cdot v]) \\
&= g_\tau {}^{\tau}g_\sigma g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the Morita equivalence $\mathrm{Mod}_{M_n(L)} \to \mathrm{Mod}_L$ sends multiplication by $c \in L$ on F to multiplication by c on L, we have the explicit representation of $d_2(1)$ by the cocycle $\{c_{\tau,\sigma}\}$, completing the computation. □

5. The motivic Postnikov tower for a Severi-Brauer variety

Results of Huber-Kahn [14] give a computation of the sheaf H^0 of the slices of $M(X)$ for X any smooth projective variety and show that H^n vanishes for $n > 0$. For the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety $X = \mathrm{SB}(A)$, we are able to show (in case A has prime degree ℓ over k) that the negative cohomology vanishes as well. We do this by comparing with the slices of the K-theory of X and using Adams operations to split the appropriate spectral sequence, proving our second main result theorem 2 (see theorem 5.4.2).

5.1. **The motivic Postnikov tower for a smooth variety.**

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, $M(X) \in DM^{eff}(k)$ the motive of X.*

1. $f_n^{mot} M(X) = 0$ for $n > \dim_k X$.

2. For $\dim_k X \leq n \leq 0$, $\Omega_T^n f_n^{mot} X$ is represented by $C^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, n))$.

Proof. (1) Since the objects $M(Z)[p]$ are dense in $DM^{eff}(k)$, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM(k)}(M(Z)(n)[p], M(X)) = 0$$

for all p and all $n > \dim_k$. Since RC_* is full, it suffices to show the same vanishing for the morphisms in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$; since $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k) \to DM_{gm}(k)$ is faithful, it suffices to show the vanishing for the morphisms in $DM_{gm}(k)$.

X is smooth and projective, so we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{gm}(k)}(M(Z)(n)[p], M(X)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{gm}(k)}(M(Z \times X), \mathbb{Z}(d-n)[-p])$$

where $d = \dim_k X$. But

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_{gm}(k)}(M(Z \times X), \mathbb{Z}(d-n)[-p]) = H^{-p}(Z \times X, \mathbb{Z}(d-n))$$

which is zero for $d - n < 0$.

For (2), it follows from (1.3.2) that

$$\Omega_T^n f_n^{mot} M(X) = f_0^{mot} \Omega_T^n M(X) = \Omega_T^n M(X)$$

By [11, V, theorem 4.2.2], we have the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(M(Y \times \mathbb{P}^n), M(X)[m]) \cong H^m(C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n, n))(Y))$$

and one checks that the projection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(M(Y \times \mathbb{P}^n), M(X)[m]) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(M(Y)(n)[2n], M(X)[m])$$

corresponding to the summand $M(Y)(n)[2n] \subset M(Y \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ corresponds to the map induced by the projection

$$z_{equi}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n, n)) \to z_{equi}(X, n)$$

This gives us the isomorphism

$$\Omega_T^n M(X) = R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n], M(X)) \cong C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, n)).$$

□

Remark 5.1.2. Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Then for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, we have

$$f_n^{mot} M(X) = 0$$

for $n > \dim_k X$. In fact, let $U \subset Y$ be an open subscheme of some $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ such that the complement $Z := Y \setminus U$ is smooth and of pure codimension n on Y. We have the Gysin distinguished triangle

$$M(U) \to M(Y) \to M(Z)(n)[2n] \to M(U)[1]$$

Also, if Y is in addition projective, then so is Z; since $M(Z)(n)[2n]$ is a summand of $M(Z \times \mathbb{P}^n)$, we see that $M(U)$ is in the thick subcategory generated by smooth projective varieties of dimension $\le \dim_k Y$. Using resolution of singularities, the existence of a compactification of X with strict normal crossing divisor at infinity implies that every $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ of dimension $\le d$ is in the thick subcategory of $DM_{gm}(k)$ generated by smooth projective varieties of dimension $\le d$. Thus lemma 5.1.1 shows that

$$f_n^{mot} M(X) = 0$$

for $n > \dim_k X$.

For later use, we make the following explicit computation:

Lemma 5.1.3. *Let Y be in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$. Let X be smooth, irreducible and projective of dimension d over k. The canonical map $f_d^{mot} M(X) \to f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X)$ induces the map (in $D(\mathbf{Ab})$)*

$$[\Omega_T^{d-1} f_d^{mot} M(X)](Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\Omega_T^{d-1} f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X)](Y)$$

Then α is isomorphic to the map on Bloch's cycle complexes

$$p_2^* : z^1(Y, *) \to z^1(X \times Y, *)$$

induced by the projection $p_2 : X \times Y \to Y$.

Proof. By (1.3.2), we have

$$\Omega_T^{d-1} f_d^{mot} M(X) = f_1^{mot} \Omega_T^{d-1} M(X) = f_1^{mot}(\Omega_T^{d-1} f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X))$$

By lemma 5.1.1(2), we have

$$\Omega_T^{d-1} f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X) = C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1))$$

hence

$$\Omega_T^{d-1} f_d^{mot} M(X) \cong f_1^{mot} C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1)))$$

and the map $\Omega_T^{d-1} f_d^{mot} M(X) \to \Omega_T^{d-1} f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X)$ is just the canonical map

$$f_1^{mot} C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1))) \to C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1)))$$

Applying corollary 1.5.3, we have isomorphisms in $D(\mathbf{Ab})$

$$f_1^{mot} C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1)))(Y) \cong f_{mot}^1(Y, *; C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1))))$$

and (by remark 1.5.4(2,3)) the canonical map

$$f_1^{mot} C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1))) \to C_*^{\mathrm{Sus}}(z_{equi}(X, d-1)))$$

is isomorphic to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^1_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))) \arrow[r] & f^0_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))) \arrow[d, equal] \\
 & C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))(Y\times\Delta^*).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now, for any $T\in\mathbf{Sm}/k$, the inclusion

$$C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))(T)\subset z^1(T\times X,*)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus, if $W\subset T$ is a closed subset, we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))^W(T)\to \mathrm{cone}(z^1(T\times X,*)\to z^1(T\times X\setminus W\times X,*))[-1]$$

Now suppose that W has pure codimension 1. By Bloch's localization theorem, we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$z^0(W,*)\to \mathrm{cone}(z^1(T\times X,*)\to z^1(T\times X\setminus W\times X,*))[-1]$$

also, $z^0(W)=z^0(W,0)\to z^0(W,*)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. If $\mathrm{codim}_X W>1$, a similar computation shows that $C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))^W(T)$ is acyclic. Applying this to the computation of $f^1_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))))$, we have the isomorphism in $D(\mathbf{Ab})$

$$\varphi: z^1(Y,*)\to f^1_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1)))).$$

Furthermore, the composition

$$z^1(Y,*)\xrightarrow{\varphi} f^1_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))))\to f^1_{mot}(Y,*;z^1(X\times -,*))$$

is the map

$$W\subset Y\times\Delta^n\mapsto X\times W\times\Delta^0\subset X\times Y\times\Delta^n\times\Delta^0$$

It is then easy to see that the composition

$$z^1(Y,*)\xrightarrow{\varphi} f^1_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))))\to f^0_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))))$$

combined with the isomorphism in $D(\mathbf{Ab})$

$$f^0_{mot}(Y,*;C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))))\cong C^{\mathrm{Sus}}_*(z_{equi}(X,d-1))(Y)\cong z^1(X\times Y,*)$$

is just the pull-back

$$p_2^*: z^1(Y,*)\to z^1(X\times Y,*).$$

□

Let X be in $\mathbf{Sm}/k$. For a presheaf of spectra E on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, we have the associated presheaf $\mathcal{H}om(X,E)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{H}om(X,E)(Y):=E(X\times Y).$$

Applying $\mathcal{H}om(X,-)$ to a fibrant model defines the functor

$$R\mathcal{H}om(X,-):\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)\to\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k).$$

We use the notation $\mathcal{H}om^{mot}$ and $R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}$ for the analogous operations on $C(PST(k))$ and on $DM^{eff}(k)$.

The operation $R\mathcal{H}om(X,-)$ does not in general commute with the truncation functors f_n. However, we do have

Lemma 5.1.4. *Take $m > \dim_k X$. Then for all $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$,*

$$s_0 R\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE) \cong 0.$$

Proof. Let F be a presheaf of spectra on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ which is $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision. As we have seen in §1.6, we have a natural isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}$

$$(s_0F)(X) \cong F(\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}).$$

Similarly, for E homotopy invariant and satisfying Nisnevich excision, the spectrum $\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y) := f_mE(X \times Y)$ is weakly equivalent to the simplicial spectrum $q \mapsto f_mE(X \times Y)(q)$ with

$$f_mE(X \times Y)(q) = \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(m)}_{X \times Y}(q)} E^W(X \times Y \times \Delta^q)$$

Using the moving lemma described in [19], we thus have the natural weak equivalence

$$f_mE(X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)})(q) \cong \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(m)}_{X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}}(q)_{\mathcal{C}(p)}} E^W(X \times Y \times \Delta^q)$$

where $\mathcal{C}(p)$ is the set $X \times F$, with F a face of $\hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}$.

Thus $s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)$ is weakly equivalent to the total spectral of the bisimplicial spectra

$$(p, q) \mapsto s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(p, q) = \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(m)}_{X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}}(q)_{\mathcal{C}(p)}} E^W(X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)} \times \Delta^q).$$

We denote the total spectrum by $s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(-,-)$.

Let $s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(-, q)$ be the total spectrum of the simplicial spectrum

$$p \mapsto s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(p, q) = \varinjlim_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(m)}_{X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}}(q)_{\mathcal{C}(p)}} E^W(X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)} \times \Delta^q).$$

The face maps

$$\delta^{q*}_i : s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(-, q) \to s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(-, q-1)$$

are weak equivalences for all $i = 0, \ldots, q$, $q \geq 1$ (see [18, claim, lemma 5.2.1]). Thus the canonical map

$$s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(-, 0) \to s_0sHom(X, f_mE)(Y)(-,-)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Take $W \in \mathcal{S}^{(m)}_{X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}}(0)_{\mathcal{C}(p)}$, so W is a closed subset of $X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}$ of codimension $\geq m > \dim_k X$, and $W \cap X \times F$ has codimension $\geq m$ on $X \times F$ for all faces F of $\hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}$. In particular, for each vertex v of $\hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}$,

$$\mathrm{codim}_{X \times v} W \cap X \times v > \dim_k X.$$

Thus $W \cap X \times v = \emptyset$. Since X is proper, the projection of W, $p_2(W) \subset \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}$, is a closed subset disjoint from all vertices v. Since $\hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}$ is semi-local with closed points the set of vertices, this implies that $p_2(W) = \emptyset$, hence $W = \emptyset$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m)}_{X \times \hat{\Delta}^p_{k(Y)}}(0)_{\mathcal{C}(p)} = \{\emptyset\}$$

and thus $s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)(-,0) \sim 0$. Our description of $s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y)$ as a simplicial spectrum thus yields

$$s_0\mathcal{H}om(X, f_mE)(Y) \sim 0$$

for all $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, completing the proof. □

Thus, for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, smooth and projective of dimension d over k, and for $E \in \mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$, we have the tower in $\mathcal{SH}_{S^1}(k)$

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1.1)\quad 0 = s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, f_{d+1}E) \to s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, f_dE) \to \dots \\ \to s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, f_0E) = s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, E) \end{aligned}$$

gotten by applying $s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X,-)$ to the T-Postnikov tower of E. Since the functors s_0 and $R\mathcal{H}om(X,-)$ are exact, the mth layer in the tower (5.1.1) is isomorphic to $s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_mE)$, $m = 0, \dots, \dim_k X$. Evaluating at some $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, we have the strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$(5.1.2)\qquad E^1_{a,b} = \pi_{a+b}(s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_aE)(Y)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{a+b}(s_0R\mathcal{H}om(X, E)(Y)).$$

5.2. **The case of K-theory.** We take $E = K$, where $K(Y)$ is the Quillen K-theory spectrum of the smooth k-scheme Y. By [18, theorem 6.4.2] we have the natural isomorphism

$$(s_mK)(Y) \cong EM(z^m(Y,*)) \cong EM(\mathbb{Z}(m)[2m])(Y)$$

In addition, we have natural Adams operations ψ_k, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ acting on K and on the T-Postnikov tower of K, with ψ_k acting on $\pi_*(s_mK)(Y)$ by multiplication by k^m for all $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ (see [20]).

Thus we have

Lemma 5.2.1. *Suppose X has dimension $p-1$ over k for some prime p. Suppose that for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ the differentials in the spectral sequence* (5.1.2)*, for $E = K$, are all zero after localising at p. Then the spectral sequence* (5.1.2) *degenerates at E_1.*

Proof. The Adams operations act on the spectral sequence and ψ_k acts by multiplication by k^a on $E^r_{a,b}$. Thus the differential $d_r : E^r_{a,b} \to E^r_{a-r,q+r-1}$ is killed by inverting $k^a - k^{a-r}$. Since $d^r_{a,b} = 0$ if $a + r \geq p$, we need only invert the numbers

$$\tau_{a,r} := \text{g.c.d.}\{k^a(1-k^r) \mid k = 2, 3, \dots\}$$

for $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2$, $r = 1, \dots, p-a-1$, which only involve primes $q < p$. □

5.3. **The Chow sheaf.** For a smooth projective variety X, we have the Nisnevich sheaf with transfers $\mathcal{CH}^n(X)$ on $\mathbf{Sm}/k$, this being the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$Y \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^n(X \times Y).$$

It is shown in [14, theorem 2.2] that $\mathcal{CH}^n(X)$ is a birational motivic sheaf. We can also label with the relative dimension, defining

$$\mathcal{CH}_n(X) := \mathcal{CH}^{\dim_k X - n}(X).$$

For our next computation, we need:

Lemma 5.3.1. *Take $\mathcal{F} \in C(Sh^{tr}_{\mathrm{Nis}}(k))$ which is homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich excision. Suppose in addition that $\mathcal{F}$ is connected. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{Nis}}_0(s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F}))$ is the Nisnevich sheaf associated to the presheaf $H_0(s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F}))$ with value at $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ given by the exactness of*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varinjlim_{\substack{W' \in \mathcal{S}^{n+1}_{X\times Y}(1)\\ W \in \mathcal{S}^{n}_{X\times Y}(1)}} H_0(\mathcal{F}^{W\setminus W'}(X \times Y \times \Delta^1 \setminus W'))\\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{i_1^*-i_0^*} \varinjlim_{\substack{W' \in \mathcal{S}^{n+1}_{X\times Y}(0)\\ W \in \mathcal{S}^{n}_{X\times Y}(0)}} H_0(\mathcal{F}^{W\setminus W'}(X \times Y \setminus W'))\\ &\qquad\qquad \to H_0(s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F}))(Y) \to 0\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From proposition 1.5.2 , $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y) = (s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(X \times Y)$ is isomorphic in $D(\mathbf{Ab})$ to $s^n_{mot}(X \times Y, -; \mathcal{F})$, the total complex of the simplicial complex

$$m \mapsto s^n_{mot}(X \times Y, m; \mathcal{F}) := \varinjlim_{\substack{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}_{X\times Y}(m)\\ W' \in \mathcal{S}_{X\times Y^{(n+1)}(n)}}} \mathcal{F}^{W\setminus W'}(X \times Y \times \Delta^m \setminus W').$$

By lemma 1.7.3, the spectra $s^n_{mot}(X \times Y, m; \mathcal{F})$ are all -1 connected. Thus we have the exact sequence

$$H_0(s^n(X \times Y, 1; \mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{i_0^*-i_1^*} H_0(s^n_{mot}(X \times Y, 0; \mathcal{F})) \to H_0(s^n_{mot}(X \times Y, -; \mathcal{F})).$$

In any case $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ is in $DM^{eff}(k)$, hence the homology presheaf

$$Y \mapsto H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y)) = H_0(s_n^{mot}(X \times Y, -; \mathcal{F}))$$

is a homotopy invariant presheaf with transfers. Thus, by [11, III, corollary 4.18], if Y is local, the restriction map

$$H_0(s_n^{mot}(X \times Y, -; \mathcal{F})) \to H_0(s_n^{mot}(X_{k(Y)}, -; \mathcal{F})) \tag{5.3.1}$$

is injective. In addition, $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ is connected. Indeed, $s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F}$ is connected by proposition 1.7.4, and this implies that $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ is connected. Thus the restriction map (5.3.1) is also surjective, hence an isomorphism.

By theorem 2.2.1, $s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ is also birational, and is connected by proposition 1.7.4, hence the same argument shows that

$$H_0(s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y)) \to H_0(s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(k(Y)))$$

is an isomorphism.

We now return to the situation $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$. As in the proof of lemma 5.1.4, $s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y)$ is given by evaluating $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ on $\hat{\Delta}^*_{k(Y)}$. Since $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ is connected by proposition 1.7.4, it follows that we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F}))(\hat{\Delta}^1_{k(Y)}) &\xrightarrow{i_0^*-i_{1*}} H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F}))(\hat{\Delta}^0_{k(Y)})\\ &\to H_0(s_0^{mot} R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y)) \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

But since $R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})$ is connected, the restriction map

$$H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(\Delta^1_{k(Y)})) \to H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(\hat{\Delta}^1_{k(Y)}))$$

is surjective, which shows that

$$H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(k(Y)) \cong H_0(s_0^{mot}R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y)).$$

Since the restriction map (5.3.1) is an isomorphism for Y local, it follows that the canonical map

$$H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y)) \to H_0(s_0^{mot}R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y))$$

is an isomorphism for Y local.

Putting this together with our description above of $H_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}\mathcal{F})(Y))$ proves the result. ☐

Lemma 5.3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension d. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\mathrm{Nis}}(s_0^{mot}R\mathcal{H}om(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])) \cong \mathcal{CH}^n(X)$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is a birational motive, we have (remark 2.2.3)

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n] \cong s_n^{mot}(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]).$$

We can now use lemma 5.3.1 to compute $H_0^{\mathrm{Nis}}(s_0^{mot}R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])))$.

By lemma 2.4.4, for $W \subset Y$ a closed subvariety of codimension n, $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_0((\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])^W(T)) = H_W^{2n}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\rho_{Y,W,n}} z_W^n(Y).$$

From this, it follows from lemma 5.3.1 that $H_0^{\mathrm{Nis}}(s_0^{mot}R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])))$ is just the sheafification of

$$Y \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^n(X \times Y),$$

i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\mathrm{Nis}}(s_0^{mot}R\mathcal{H}om(X, s_n^{mot}(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]))) \cong \mathcal{CH}^n(X).$$

☐

5.4. **The slices of** $M(X)$**.** Before proving our main theorem on the slices of the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety, we first use duality to shift the computation of the nth slice to a 0th slice of a related motive. 0th slices are easier to handle, because their cohomology sheaves are birational sheaves.

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let X be smooth and projective of dimension d over k. Then for $0 \le n \le d$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$s_n^{mot}M(X) \cong s_0^{mot}\left(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d-n))\right)(n)[2d]$$

Proof. By [14]

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{mot}M(X) &= \mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(n), M(X))(n) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], M(X)(d-n)[2d])(n) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathbb{Z}(d-n))(n)[2d] \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using the isomorphism (1.2.3), we have

$$f_{n-1}^{mot} \circ \mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}}(\mathbb{Z}(1), -) = \mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}}(\mathbb{Z}(1), -) \circ f_n^{mot};$$

this plus Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [38] implies

$$f_n^{mot}(F(1)) \cong f_{n-1}^{mot}(F)(1)$$

and similarly for the slices s_n^{mot}. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^{mot}M(X) &= s_n^{mot}(f_n^{mot}(M(X)) \\ &= s_n^{mot}\left(\mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathbb{Z}(d-n))(n)[2d]\right) \\ &= s_0^{mot}\left(\mathcal{H}om_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X), \mathbb{Z}(d-n))\right)(n)[2d] \\ &= s_0^{mot}\left(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d-n))\right)(n)[2d] \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.4.2. *Let X be a Severi-Brauer variety of dimension $p-1$, p a prime, associated to a central simple algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of degree p over k. Then*

(1)
$$s_n^{mot}M(X) \cong \mathcal{CH}_n(X)(n)[2n]$$
for $n = 0, \dots, p-1$, $s_n^{mot}M(X) = 0$ for $n \geq p$.

(2) *There is a canonical isomorphism*
$$\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathcal{CH}^n(X) \cong \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}}$$

(3) *For $n = 0, \dots, p-1$, we have*
$$\mathcal{CH}^n(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}} & \text{for } n = 1, \dots, p-1 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first note that X satisfies the conditions of lemma 5.2.1. If $X = \mathbb{P}^{p-1}$, then the projective bundle formula gives the weak equivalence

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{P}^{p-1}, f_mK) \cong \oplus_{i=0}^{p-1} f_{m-i}K$$

from which the degeneration of the spectral sequence at E_1 for all $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ easily follows. In general, there is a splitting field L for $\mathcal{A}$ of degree p over k, so $X_L \cong \mathbb{P}_L^{p-1}$, and thus the differentials are all killed by ×p.

We recall that $s_nK \cong EM(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])$ [18, theorem 6.4.2]. By Quillen's computation of the K-theory of Severi-Brauer varieties,

$$R\mathcal{H}om(X, K) \cong \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}K(-;\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}).$$

Finally, the fact that $K(-;\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n})$ is well-connected (remark 4.4.3) implies

$$s_0(K(-;\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n})) = EM(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}}).$$

Since our spectral sequence degenerates at E^1, we therefore have the isomorphism

$$\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\pi_*^{\text{Nis}}s_0(R\mathcal{H}om(X, EM(\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])) \cong \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\pi_*^{\text{Nis}}EM(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}})$$

Also, we have $s_0 \circ EM = EM \circ s_0^{mot}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &R\mathcal{H}om(X, EM(F)) = EM(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X, F)) \\ &\pi_m^{\text{Nis}}(EM(F)) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nis}}^{-m}(F) \end{aligned}$$

for $F \in DM^{eff}(k)$. Thus we see that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nis}}^m(s_0^{mot}(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]))) = 0$$

for $m \neq 0$ and

$$\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nis}}^0\left(s_0^{mot}(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])\right) \cong \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}}. \tag{5.4.1}$$

In particular, $s_0^{mot}(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X,\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n]))$ is concentrated in degree 0. Thus, it follows from lemma 5.3.2 that

$$s_0^{mot}(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X,\mathbb{Z}(n)[2n])) \cong \mathcal{CH}^n(X)$$

for $n = 0, \ldots, p-1$, which together with (5.4.1) proves (2).

Together with lemma 5.4.1, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^{mot}M(X) &\cong s_0^{mot}(R\mathcal{H}om^{mot}(X,\mathbb{Z}(p-1-n))(n)[2p-2] \\ &\cong \mathcal{CH}_n(X)(n)[2n] \end{aligned}$$

proving (1).

For (3), take a finite Galois splitting field L/k for $\mathcal{A}$ with Galois group G. We have the natural map

$$\pi^* : \mathcal{CH}^n(X) \to \mathcal{CH}^n(X_L)^G \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

with kernel and cokernel killed by p. By (2), $\mathcal{CH}^n(X)$ is torsion-free. Similarly, we have the inclusion

$$\pi^* : \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}} \to (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_L^{\otimes n}})^G \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

We thus have compatible inclusions

$$\begin{tikzcd} \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathcal{CH}^n(X) \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] \arrow[d, hook] & \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}} \arrow[d, hook] \\ \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathcal{CH}^n(X_L)^G \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] & \oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_L^{\otimes n}})^G \end{tikzcd}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{CH}^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. For $y \in Y \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$ the quotient

$$(\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_L^{\otimes n}})^G)_y/(\oplus_{n=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}})_y$$

has order p^{p-1} if $\mathcal{A}_y$ is not split, and 1 otherwise. Thus, for $n = 1, \ldots, p-1$, $\mathcal{CH}^n(X)_y \subset \mathcal{CH}^n(X_L)_y^G = \mathbb{Z}$ has index p if $\mathcal{A}_y$ is not split and index 1 if $\mathcal{A}_y$ is split. Thus we can write

$$\mathcal{CH}^n(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}}$$

for $n = 0, \ldots, p-1$, completing the proof. □

6. Applications

In this section, we let X be the Severi-Brauer variety $X := \mathrm{SB}(A)$ associated to a central simple algebra A of prime degree ℓ over k. We use our computations of the layers for $M(X)$, together with a duality argument and the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture, to study the reduced norm map

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}_A(q)) \to H^p(k,\mathbb{Z}(q))$$

and prove the first of our main applications corollary 1 (see theorem 6.1.3). Combining these results with our identification of the low-degree K-theory of A with the twisted Milnor K-theory of k gives us our main result on the vanishing of $SK_2(A)$ for A of square-free degree (corollary 2, see also theorem 6.2.2).

6.1. **A spectral sequence for motivic homology.** We have the motivic Postnikov tower for $M(X)$

$$(6.1.1)\quad 0 = f_\ell^{mot}M(X) \to f_{\ell-1}^{mot}M(X) \to \dots \\ \to f_1^{mot}M(X) \to f_0^{mot}M(X) = M(X)$$

with slices

$$s_b^{mot}M(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes b+1}}(b)[2b];\ b = 0, \dots, \ell - 1.$$

Let $\alpha^* : DM^{eff}(k) \to DM^{eff}(k)^{\text{ét}}$ be the change of topologies functor, with right adjoint $\alpha_* : DM^{eff}(k)^{\text{ét}} \to DM^{eff}(k)$. The functors α^* and α_* are exact, and applying α^* to $\mathbb{Z}_A(n) \to \mathbb{Z}(n)$ gives an isomorphism $\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}_A(n) \to \alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(n))$. Thus, we have the tower

$$(6.1.2)\quad 0 = \alpha_*\alpha^* f_\ell^{mot}M(X) \to \alpha_*\alpha^* f_{p-1}^{mot}M(X) \to \dots \\ \to \alpha_*\alpha^* f_1^{mot}M(X) \to \alpha_*\alpha^* f_0^{mot}M(X) = \alpha_*\alpha^* M(X)$$

with slices

$$\alpha_*\alpha^* s_b^{mot}M(X) \cong \alpha_*\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(b)[2b];\ b = 0, \dots, \ell - 1.$$

Since α_* is right adjoint to α^*, the unit η of the adjunction gives the natural transformation of towers η : (6.1.1) $\to$ (6.1.2). Defining $\bar{M}(X)$, $f_n^{mot}\bar{M}(X)$ and $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes b+1}}(a)$ by the distinguished triangles

$$M(X) \to \alpha_*\alpha^* M(X) \to \bar{M}(X) \to M(X)[1]$$
$$f_n^{mot}M(X) \to \alpha_*\alpha^* f_n^{mot}M(X) \to f_n^{mot}\bar{M}(X) \to f_n^{mot}M(X)[1]$$
$$\mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes b+1}}(a) \to \alpha_*\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(a) \to \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes b+1}}(a) \to \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes b+1}}(a)[1]$$

we have the tower

$$(6.1.3)\quad 0 = f_p^{mot}\bar{M}(X) \to f_{p-1}^{mot}\bar{M}(X) \to \dots \\ \to f_1^{mot}\bar{M}(X) \to f_0^{mot}\bar{M}(X) = \bar{M}(X)$$

with slices

$$s_b^{mot}\bar{M}(X) \cong \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes b+1}}(b)[2b];\ b = 0, \dots, p - 1.$$

This last tower thus gives rise to the strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$(6.1.4)\qquad E_2^{p,q} \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(a)[b], \bar{M}(X)(a')[p+q])$$

with

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(a)[b], \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes -q+1}}(a'-q)[p-q]) & \text{for } 0 \le -q \le \ell - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.1.1. $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(r'), \mathbb{Z}_A(r)[q]) = 0$ *for*

1. $r = 0$, $r' > 0$ *and all* q
2. $r = 0 = r'$ *and* $q \neq 0$
3. $r' = 0$ *and* $1 \le r < q$

Proof. $\mathbb{Z}_A$ is a homotopy invariant Nisnevich sheaf with transfers, so

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(r'),\mathbb{Z}_A[q-2r'])=\ker[H^q_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathbb{P}^{r'},\mathbb{Z}_A)\to H^q_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathbb{P}^{r'-1},\mathbb{Z}_A)]$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_A$ is a constant sheaf in the Zariski topology

$$H^q_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathbb{P}^{r'},\mathbb{Z}_A)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{for } q\neq 0\\ \mathbb{Z}_A(k) & \text{for } q=0,\end{cases}$$

the last identity following from the homotopy invariance of $\mathbb{Z}_A$. This proves (1) and (2).

For (3), we have seen that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM_-^{eff}(k)}(M(X),\mathbb{Z}_A(r)[2r+n])\cong \mathrm{CH}^r(X;A,n)$$

for all n. Taking $X=\operatorname{Spec} k$, (3) follows from the fact that $z^r(\operatorname{Spec} k;A,n)=0$ for $n<r$ for dimensional reasons. □

Lemma 6.1.2. *The Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture for weight* $n+1$ *implies*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d],\bar{M}(X)(n+1)[m])=0 \textit{ for } m\le n+2$$

and that the sequence

$$0\to H^{n+3}(X,\mathbb{Z}(n+1))\to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}}(n+1))\to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X),\mathbb{Z}(n+1))$$

is exact.

Proof. The Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture for weight $n+1$ says that the cohomology sheaves of $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}(n+1)$ are 0 in degree $\le n+2$, hence the natural map

$$H^m(X,\mathbb{Z}(n+1))\to H^m_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}}(n+1))$$

is an isomorphism for $m\le n+2$ and there is an exact sequence

$$0\to H^{n+3}(X,\mathbb{Z}(n+1))\to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}}(n+1))\to H^0_{\mathrm{Zar}}(X,\mathcal{H}^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}(n+1)))$$

since the cohomology sheaves of $\mathbb{Z}(n+1)$ vanish in degrees $>n+1$. By the Gersten conjecture for $\mathcal{H}^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}(n+1)))$, the map

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}(n+1)))\to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X),\mathbb{Z}(n+1))$$

is injective, which gives the exact sequence in the statement of the lemma.

In terms of morphisms in $DM^{eff}(k)$ and $DM^{eff}(k)^{\text{ét}}$, this says that the change of topologies map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X),\mathbb{Z}(n+1)[m])\to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)^{\text{ét}}}(\alpha^*M(X),\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(n+1)[m])$$

is an isomorphism for $m\le n+2$ and an injection for $m=n+3$.

$M(X)$ has dual $M(X)^\vee=M(X)(-d)[-2d]$, hence the étale version $\alpha^*M(X)$ has dual $\alpha^*M(X)(-d)[-2d]$. In other words, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(M(X),\mathbb{Z}(n+1)[m])\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d],M(X)(n+1)[m])$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)^{\text{ét}}}(\alpha^*M(X),&\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(n+1)[m])\\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)^{\text{ét}}}(\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d],\alpha^*M(X)(n+1)[m])\\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d],\alpha_*\alpha^*M(X)(n+1)[m]).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the natural map $M(X) \to \alpha_*\alpha^*M(X)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], M(X)(n+1)[m])\\ &\qquad\qquad \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \alpha_*\alpha^*M(X)(n+1)[m])\end{aligned}$$

for $m \leq n+2$ and an injection for $m = n+3$, hence the lemma. □

Theorem 6.1.3. *Let A be a central simple algebra over k of prime degree ℓ. Let $n \geq 0$, and assume that the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture holds in weights $\leq n+1$and for the prime ℓ.*

1. For $m < n$, the reduced norm

$$\mathrm{Nrd} : H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

is an isomorphism.

2. There is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}&0 \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\partial_n}\\ &\qquad\qquad H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}(n+1))\end{aligned}$$

where X is the Severi-Brauer variety of A.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. (1) in case $n = 0$ follows from lemma 6.1.1(2). For (2), the reduced norm identifies $H^0(k, \mathbb{Z}_A)$ with $\ell H^0(k, \mathbb{Z})$ in case $[A] \neq 0$, and is an isomorphism if $[A] = 0$; since $\ell[A] = 0$, (2) follows.

Now assume the result for all $n' < n$. By the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight n', the map $\mathbb{Z}(n') \to \alpha_*\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}(n')$ induces an isomorphism on $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}, -[m])$ for all $m \leq n'+1$ and an injection for $m = n'+2$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}(n')[m]) = 0 \text{ for } m \leq n'+1$$

Similarly, applying (1) and (2) to the distinguished triangle defining $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n')$, our induction assumption gives

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n')[m]) = 0 \text{ for } m < n'. \tag{6.1.5}$$

Finally, by lemma 6.1.2, the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture for weight $n+1$ gives

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[m]) = 0 \text{ for } m \leq n+2. \tag{6.1.6}$$

Now consider our spectral sequence (6.1.4) with $a = d$, $b = 2d-2n-2$, $a' = n+1$, where $d = \dim_k X = \ell - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d-n-2], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[p+q])\\ &\qquad\qquad = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[n+2+p+q])\end{aligned}$$

so by (6.1.6) the spectral sequence converges to 0 for $p+q \leq 0$.

The $E_2^{p,q}$ term is

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes -q+1}}(n+1-d-q)[n+2-2d+p-q])$$

for $0 \le -q \le d$ and 0 otherwise. For $0 \le -q < d-1$ and $p+q \le 0$, we have

$$n' := n+1-d-q < n$$
$$n+2-2d+p-q < n'$$

For $-q = d$, $A^{\otimes -q+1}$ is a matrix algebra, hence $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes -q+1}}(N) = \bar{\mathbb{Z}}(N)$. Thus

$$E_2^{p,-d} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}(n+1)[n+2-d+p])$$

If $p+q \le 0$, then $p \le d$, so $n+2-d+p \le n+2$. Thus our induction hypothesis plus Hilbert's theorem 90 in weight $n+1$ yields

$$E_2^{p,q} = 0 \text{ for } 0 \le -q \le d,\ -q \ne d-1,\ p+q \le 0.$$

hence there is exactly one E_2 term that is possibly non-zero, namely

$$E_2^{p,1-d} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_{A^{\otimes d}}(n)[n+1-d+p])$$

The d_2 differential is

$$E_2^{p,1-d} \xrightarrow{d_2} E_2^{p+2,-d}$$

and since $p+2-d \le 0$, $E_2^{p+2,-d} = 0$. Since $E_2^{*,q} = 0$ for $q < -d$, there are no higher differentials coming out of $E_2^{p,1-d}$. Similarly, our induction hypothesis implies that there are no d_r differentials going to $E_r^{p,1-d}$. Thus $E_2^{p,1-d} = E_\infty^{p,1-d} = 0$.

Now take $p+q=0$. The abutment of the spectral sequence is still 0 and there is still only one possibly non-zero E_2 term,

$$E_2^{d-1,1-d} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n)[n]).$$

There is a single possibly non-trivial d_2 differential, since

$$E_2^{d+1,-d} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}(n+1)[n+3]).$$

As above, there are no other non-zero d_r differentials, hence

$$d_2^{d-1,1-d} : E_2^{d-1,1-d} \to E_2^{d+1,-d}$$

is an injection. Moreover, all d_r differentials abutting to $E_r^{d+1,-d}$ have a source equal to 0, hence $E_3^{d+1,-d} = E_\infty^{d+1,-d}$.

Let us collect the information obtained so far:

- $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p+q \le 0$, except possibly $(p,q) = (d-1, 1-d)$.
- The differential $d_2^{d-1,1-d}$ induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_2^{d-1,1-d} \to E_2^{d+1,-d} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[n+3]). \tag{6.1.7}$$

Since $E_2^{p,1-d} = 0$, we get that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes d}}(n)[n+1-d+p]) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \alpha_*\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes d}}(n)[n+1-d+p])$$

is an isomorphism for $p < d-1$ and an injection for $p = d-1$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes \ell -1}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes d}}(n)[n+1-d+p]) \cong H^{n+1+p-d}(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n))$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \alpha_*\alpha^*\mathbb{Z}_{A^{\otimes d}}(n)[n+1-d+p]) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1+p-d}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

hence the canonical map

$$\alpha_A : H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to H_{\text{ét}}^m(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

is an isomorphism for $m < n$ and an injection for $m = n$. Since α_A factors as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \arrow[r, "\alpha_A"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Nrd}"'] & H^m_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \\
H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \arrow[ur, "\alpha"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and $\alpha : H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^m_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}})$ is an isomorphism for $m \leq n$ by the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight n, it follows that Nrd is an isomorphism for $m < n$ and an injection for $m = n$, proving (1) and the injectivity of Nrd in (2).

From the distinguished triangles defining $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_A$ and $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}\to H^{n-1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to E_2^{d-2,1-d} \\ \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to H^n_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to E_2^{d-1,1-d} \to H^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\to H^{n+3}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \to E_2^{d+1,-d} \to H^{n+4}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \to$$

But we have already shown $E_2^{d-2,1-d} = 0$. Also,

$$H^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) = H^{n+3}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) = H^{n+4}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) = 0$$

for dimensional reasons and $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = H^n_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ by Bloch-Kato in weight n. Thus we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \overset{\mathrm{Nrd}}{\to} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to E_2^{d-1,1-d} \to 0$$

and an isomorphism

$$H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \overset{\sim}{\to} E_2^{d+1,-d}.$$

Putting this together with (6.1.7), we get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \\ \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[n+3]),\end{aligned}$$

where ∂_n is the map induced by $d_2^{d-1,1-d}$. By comparing the spectral sequence for

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], M(X)((n+1)[*]), \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \alpha_*\alpha^*M(X)((n+1)[*])$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)((n+1)[*]),$$

we see that $H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[n+3])$ factors through the image of

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \alpha_*\alpha^*M(X)(n+1)[n+3]) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d], \bar{M}(X)(n+1)[n+3]).$$

By the exact sequence of lemma 6.1.2, we thus have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \\ \to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}(n+1)).\end{aligned}$$

The resulting map

$$H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)) \to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}(n+1))$$

is induced by an edge homomorphism of our spectral sequence, hence equals the extension of scalars map. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 6.1.4. *Let A be a central simple algebra of square-free index over k. For $n \neq 1$, $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(1)) = 0$.*

Of course, we have already proved this by a direct argument (theorem 4.8.2). This second argument uses our main result on the reduced norm, theorem 6.1.3, which, in the weight one case, relies on the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem to prove Beilinson-Lichtenbaum in weight two (using in turn [36] or [12]).

Proof. We first reduce to the case of A of prime degree ℓ. Write

$$\deg(A) = \prod \ell_i = d.$$

where the ℓ_i are distinct primes. Write $A = M_n(D)$ for some division algebra D of degree d over k, and let $F \subset D$ be a maximal subfield. Then F has degree d over k and splits D. Let $\ell = \ell_i$ for some i, let $k(\ell) \supset k$ be the maximal prime to ℓ extension of k and let $F(\ell) := Fk(\ell)$. Then clearly $F(\ell)$ has degree ℓ over $k(\ell)$ and splits $A_{k(\ell)}$; since $k(\ell)$ has no prime to ℓ extensions, $F(\ell)$ is Galois over $k(\ell)$. Passing from k to the $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\ell)/k)$ invariants alters only the prime to ℓ torsion. Thus we may replace k with $k(\ell)$ and assume that A is split by a degree ℓ Galois extension of k. But then A is Morita equivalent to an algebra of degree ℓ, which achieves the reduction.

It follows from [5, theorem 6.1] that

$$0 = \mathrm{CH}^1(k, 2-n) \cong H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(1))$$

for $n \neq 1$. By theorem 6.1.3(1), this implies that $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(1)) = 0$ for $n < 1$. Additionally, we have

$$H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(1)) \cong \mathrm{CH}^1(k, 2-n; A)$$

by corollary 4.5.3. Since $\mathrm{CH}^1(k, m; A) = 0$ for $m < 0$ and $\mathrm{CH}^1(k, 0; A) = 0$ for dimensional reasons, the proof is complete. □

Corollary 6.1.5. *Let A be a central simple algebra of square-free index over k. The the edge homomorphism*

$$p_{2,k;A} : \mathrm{CH}^2(k, 2; A) \to K_2(A)$$

is an isomorphism

Proof. From corollary 6.1.4, $\mathrm{CH}^1(k, n; A) = 0$ for $n \neq 1$. From theorem 4.7.1(2), we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{CH}^1(k, 3; A) \xrightarrow{d_2^{-2,-1}} \mathrm{CH}^2(k, 2; A) \xrightarrow{p_{2,k;A}} K_2(A) \to \mathrm{CH}^1(k, 2; A) \to 0,$$

hence the edge-homomorphism $p_{2,k;A} : \mathrm{CH}^2(k, 2; A) \to K_2(A)$ is an isomorphism. □

Finally, here is a global version of theorem 6.1.3:

Corollary 6.1.6. *Let $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A$ denote the cokernel of the reduced norm map $\mathrm{Nrd} : \mathbb{Z}_A \to \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that A has square-free index and assume the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture. Then,*

(1) *For all $n \geq 0$, the complex $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n) \in DM^{eff}(k)$ is concentrated in degree n.*

(2) *Let* $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{H}^n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n))$*. Then the stalk of* $\mathcal{F}_n$ *at a function field* K *is isomorphic to*

$$\ker(H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(K, \mathbb{Z}(n+1) \to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(K(X), \mathbb{Z}(n+1)))$$

where X *is the Severi-Brauer variety of* A*.*

(3) *For any smooth scheme* U *we have a Gersten resolution*

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}_n \to \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(0)}} (i_x)_*(\mathcal{F}_n) \to \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(1)}} (i_x)_*(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(p)}} (i_x)_*(\mathcal{F}_{n-p}) \to \ldots$$

Proof. As in the proof of corollary 6.1.4, it suffices to handle the case of A of prime degree over k.

Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}_A(n)$ has no cohomology in degrees $> n$; by Voevodsky's form of Gersten's conjecture, the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}^i(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n))$ for $i < n$ reduces to theorem 6.1.3. The computation of the stalks of $\mathcal{H}^n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n))$ also follows from theorem 6.1.3.

For (3), we first show (with the notation of [37, 3.1], that the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{-1}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$. This follows immediately from Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [38]: by definition

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}_n)_{-1}(U) &= \operatorname{coker}\left(\mathcal{F}_n(U \times \mathbb{A}^1) \to \mathcal{F}_n(U \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\})\right) \\ &= \operatorname{coker}\left(H^n(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n)) \to H^n(U \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n))\right).\end{aligned}$$

By purity, the localization sequence for $U \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}) \subset U \times \mathbb{A}^1$, and part (1) of the corollary, the latter cokernel is isomorphic to

$$\ker(H^{n-1}(U, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n-1)) \to H^{n+1}(U, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n)) \simeq H^1_{\text{Zar}}(U, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

hence the Zariski sheaf associated to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{-1}$ is the sheaf associated to

$$U \mapsto H^{n-1}(U, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_A(n-1)) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(U).$$

The statement on the Gersten complex follows from this and *loc. cit.* theorem 4.37. □

6.2. **Computing the boundary map.** To finish our study of $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n))$, we need to compute the boundary map ∂_n in theorem 6.1.3. As above, we fix a central simple algebra A over k of prime degree ℓ, let $d = \ell - 1$ and let X be the Severi-Brauer variety $\mathrm{SB}(A)$. We let $[A] \in H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{G}_m)$ denote the class of A in the (cohomological) Brauer group of k.

Concentrating on $f^{mot}_{d-1}M(X)$ gives us the distinguished triangle

$$s^{mot}_d M(X) \to f^{mot}_{d-1}M(X) \to s^{mot}_{d-1}M(X) \to s^{mot}_d M(X)[1]$$

which by theorem 5.4.2 is

$$\mathbb{Z}(d)[2d] \to f^{mot}_{d-1}M(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_A(d-1)[2d-2] \to \mathbb{Z}(d)[2d+1]$$

Applying Ω^{d-1}_T gives

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)[2] \to \Omega^{d-1}_T f^{mot}_{d-1}M(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_A \to \mathbb{Z}(1)[3]$$

Applying the étale sheafification α^* and noting that $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}}_A \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}}$ gives the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}[2] \to \alpha^*\Omega^{d-1}_T f^{mot}_{d-1}M(X) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}[3] \tag{6.2.1}$$

Thus $\partial : \mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}} \to \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}[3]$ gives us the element

$$\beta_A \in H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}) = H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Proposition 6.2.1. *$\beta_A = [A]$.*

Proof. To calculate β_A, it suffices to restrict (6.2.1) to the small étale site on k. By lemma 5.1.3, (6.2.1) on $k_{\text{ét}}$ is isomorphic (in $D(Sh_{\text{ét}}(k))$) to the sheafification of the sequence of presheaves

$$L \mapsto \left(z^1(L,*) \xrightarrow{p^*} z^1(X_L,*) \to \operatorname{cone}(p^*) \to z^1(L,*)[1]\right). \tag{6.2.2}$$

Here, and in the remainder of this proof, we consider the cycle complexes as cohomological complexes:

$$z^1(Y,*)^n := z^1(Y,-n).$$

We recall that $z^1(X_L,*)$ has non-zero cohomology only in degrees 0 and -1, and that

$$H^{-1}(z^1(X_L,*)) = \Gamma(X_L, \mathcal{O}^\times_{X_L}),$$
$$H^0(z^1(X_L,*)) = \mathrm{CH}^1(X_L).$$

Similarly, $H^{-1}(z^1(L,*)) = L^\times$ and all other cohomology of $z^1(L,*)$ vanishes. Since X is geometrically irreducible and projective,

$$p^* : L^\times \to \Gamma(X_L, \mathcal{O}^\times_{X_L})$$

is an isomorphism, and thus the cone of $z^1(L,*) \xrightarrow{p^*} z^1(X_L,*)$ has only cohomology in degree 0, namely

$$H^0(\operatorname{cone}(p^*)) = \mathrm{CH}^1(X_L).$$

Thus the sequence (6.2.2) is naturally isomorphic (in $D(PSh_{\text{ét}}(k))$) to the canonical sequence

$$L \mapsto \left(H^{-1}(z^1(X_L,*))[1] \to \tau_{\geq -1} z^1(X_L,*) \to H^0(z^1(X_L,*)) \to H^{-1}(z^1(X_L,*))[2]\right). \tag{6.2.3}$$

We can explicitly calculate a co-cycle representing β_A as follows: Take L/k to be a Galois extension with group G such that A_L is a matrix algebra over L. Then (6.2.3) gives a distinguished triangle in the derived category of G-modules, so we have in particular the connecting homomorphism

$$\partial_L : H^0(G, H^0(z^1(X_L,*))) \to H^2(G; H^{-1}(z^1(X_L,*))) = H^2(G; L^\times)$$

Also $X_L \cong \mathbb{P}^d_L$. As $H^0(z^1(X_L,*)) = \mathrm{CH}^1(X_L)$, $H^0(z^1(X_L,*))$ has a canonical G-invariant generator 1, namely the element corresponding to $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$. We can apply ∂_L to 1, giving the element $\partial_L(1) \in H^2(G; L^\times)$ which maps to β_A under the canonical map

$$H^2(G, L^\times) \to H^2_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Since A_L is a matrix algebra over L, A is given by a 1-cocycle

$$\{\bar{g}_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\} \in Z^1(G, \mathrm{PGL}_\ell(L))$$

and X is the form of $\mathbb{P}^d$ defined by $\{\bar{g}_\sigma\}$. This mean that there is an L isomorphism $\psi : X_L \to \mathbb{P}^d_L$ such that, for each $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$\bar{g}_\sigma := \psi \circ {}^\sigma\psi^{-1},$$

under the usual identification $\mathrm{Aut}_L(\mathbb{P}^d_L) = \mathrm{PGL}_{d+1}(L)$.

Lifting $\bar{g}_\sigma$ to $g_\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_\ell(L)$ and defining $c_{\tau,\sigma} \in L^\times$ by

$$c_{\tau,\sigma}\mathrm{id} := g_\tau {}^\tau g_\sigma g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}$$

we have the co-cycle $\{c_{\tau,\sigma}\} \in Z^2(G, L^\times)$ representing $[A]$.

For a G-module M, let $(C^*(G; M), \hat{d})$ denote the standard co-chain complex computing $H^*(G; M)$, i.e., $C^n(G; M)$ is a group of n co-chains of G with values in M. We will show that $\partial_L(1) = \{c_{\tau,\sigma}\}$ in $H^2(G, L^\times)$ by applying $C^*(G; -)$ to the sequence (6.2.3) and making an explicit computation of the boundary map.

Fix a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^d_k$. Then $D := \psi^*(H_L) \in z^1(X_L, *)^0$ represents the positive generator $1 \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. As the class of D in $\mathrm{CH}^1(X_L)$ is G-invariant, there is for each $\sigma \in G$ a rational function f_σ on X_L such that

$$\mathrm{Div}(f_\sigma) = {}^\sigma D - D.$$

Given $\tau, \sigma \in G$, we thus have

$$\mathrm{Div}(f^\tau_\sigma f^{-1}_{\tau\sigma} f_\tau) = {}^{\tau\sigma}D - {}^\tau D - ({}^{\tau\sigma}D - D) + {}^\tau D - D = 0$$

so there is a $c'_{\tau,\sigma} \in \Gamma(X_L, \mathcal{O}^\times_{X_L}) = L^\times$ with

$$c'_{\tau,\sigma} = f^\tau_\sigma f^{-1}_{\tau\sigma} f_\tau.$$

Using the fact that

$${}^\sigma D = \psi^*(\bar{g}_\sigma(H_L))$$

one can easily calculate that

$$c'_{\tau,\sigma} = c_{\tau,\sigma}.$$

Indeed, take a k-linear form L_0 so that H is the hyperplane defined by $L_0 = 0$. Let

$$F_\sigma := \frac{L_0 \circ g_\sigma^{-1}}{L_0}$$

so $\mathrm{Div}(F_\sigma) = \bar{g}_\sigma(H) - H$. Letting $f_\sigma := \psi^* F_\sigma$, we have

$$\mathrm{Div}(f_\sigma) = \psi^*(\mathrm{Div}(F_\sigma)) = \psi^*(\bar{g}_\sigma(H) - H) = {}^\sigma D - D,$$

and

$${}^\tau f_\sigma = \psi^*(\frac{L_0 \circ {}^\tau g_\sigma^{-1} \circ g_\tau^{-1}}{L_0 \circ g_\tau^{-1}}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} c'_{\tau,\sigma} &= \psi^*\left(\frac{L_0 \circ {}^\tau g_\sigma^{-1} \circ g_\tau^{-1}}{L_0 \circ g_\tau^{-1}}\right) \cdot \psi^*\left(\frac{L_0 \circ g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}}{L_0}\right)^{-1} \cdot \psi^*\left(\frac{L_0 \circ g_\tau^{-1}}{L_0}\right) \\ &= \psi^*\left(\frac{L_0 \circ {}^\tau g_\sigma^{-1} g_\tau^{-1}}{L_0 \circ g_{\tau\sigma}^{-1}}\right) \\ &= c_{\tau,\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can calculate the boundary $\partial_L(1)$ by lifting the generator $1 = [D] \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X_L)^G$ to the element $D \in z^1(X_L, *)^0$ and taking Čech co-boundaries. Explicitly, let $\Gamma_\sigma \subset X_L \times \Delta^1$ be the closure of graph of f_σ, after identifying $(\Delta^1, 0, 1)$ with $(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\}, 0, \infty)$. Define $\Gamma_{c_{\sigma,\tau}} \in z^1(L, *)^{-1}$ similarly as the point of Δ^1_L corresponding to $c_{\tau,\sigma} \in \mathbb{A}^1(k) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, and let δ denote the boundary in the complex $z^1(X_L, *)$. For $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$\delta^{-1}(\Gamma_\sigma) = {}^\sigma D - D = \hat{d}^0(D)_\sigma.$$

Since $H^{-1}(z^1(X_L,*)) = \Gamma(X_L, \mathcal{O}_{X_L}^\times) = L^\times$, there is for each $\sigma, \tau \in G$, an element $B_{\sigma,\tau} \in z^1(X_L, 2)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} p^*\Gamma_{c_{\sigma,\tau}} &= {}^\tau\Gamma_\sigma - \Gamma_{\tau\sigma} + \Gamma_\tau + \delta^{-2}(B_{\sigma,\tau}) \\ &= \hat{d}^1(\sigma \mapsto \Gamma_\sigma)_{\tau,\sigma} \in \tau_{\geq -1} z^1(X_L, *)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\partial_L([D]) = \{c_{\sigma,\tau}\} \in H^2(G, H^{-1}(z^1(L,*))) = H^2(G, L^\times).$$

This completes the computation of $\partial_L(1)$ and the proof of the proposition. □

Theorem 6.2.2. *Let A be a central simple algebra over k of square-free index e. Let $n \geq 0$, and assume that the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture holds in weights $\leq n+1$ at all primes dividing e.*

1. For $m < n$, the reduced norm

$$\mathrm{Nrd}: H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \to H^m(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

is an isomorphism.

2. We have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \simeq K_n^M(k) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)) \to H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)). \end{aligned}$$

3. ($n = 1$) $SK_1(A) = 0$. More precisely, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to K_1(A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} K_1(k) \xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/e(2)) \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/e(2)).$$

4. ($n = 2$) $SK_2(A) = 0$. More precisely, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to K_2(A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}} K_2(k) \xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^4_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/e(3)) \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/e(3))$$

To explain the map $\cup[A]$ in (2), (3) and (4): We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(k) &= k^\times \cong H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \\ K_2(k) &\cong H^2(k, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \\ H^n_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{G}_m) &\cong H^{n+1}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $[A] \in H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}})$ and cup product maps

$$H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^n_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}}) \xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}})$$

which obviously land into ${}_eH^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}}$. On the other hand, the exact triangle

$$\mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{e} \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}} \to \mathbb{Z}/e(n+1) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight $n+1$ give an isomorphism

$$H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_eH^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}}).$$

Proof. As in the proof of corollary 6.1.4, it suffices to handle the case of A of prime degree over k. Thus, (1) follows from theorem 6.1.3(1).

For (2), applying α^* to the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)[2] \to \Omega_T^{d-1} f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_A \to \mathbb{Z}(1)[2]$$

we have

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}[2] \to \alpha^*\Omega_T^{d-1} f_{d-1}^{mot} M(X) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}}[3]$$

It follows from proposition 6.2.1 that the ∂ is given by cup product with $[A] \in H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(1)^{\text{ét}})$. Since the map ∂_n in theorem 6.1.3 is just the map induced by ∂ after tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\text{ét}}[n]$, (2) is proven in the form of an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \xrightarrow{\cup[A]} H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}}) \to H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}}).$$

But the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture in weight $n+1$, applied both to k and $k(X)$, shows that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\partial"'] & H^{n+2}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/e(n+1)) \arrow[d, "\partial"'] \\
H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}}) \arrow[r] & H^{n+3}_{\text{ét}}(k(X), \mathbb{Z}(n+1)^{\text{ét}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

both horizontal maps have isomorphic kernels, hence the form of (2) appearing in Theorem 6.2.2.

For (3) and (4), we have the isomorphism (corollary 4.5.3)

$$\psi_{p,q;A} : H^p(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(q)) \to \mathrm{CH}^q(k, 2q-p; A)$$

compatible with the respective reduced norm maps. From corollary 6.1.5, the edge-homomorphism $p_{2,k;A} : \mathrm{CH}^2(k, 2; A) \to K_2(A)$ is an isomorphism. It follows from theorem 4.7.1(1) that the edge homomorphism $p_{1,k;A} : \mathrm{CH}^1(k, 1; A) \to K_1(A)$ is an isomorphism as well. Together with proposition 4.6.5, this gives us the commutative diagram for $n = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n)) \arrow[r, "\psi_{n,n;A}"] \arrow[d, "\text{Nrd}"] & \mathrm{CH}^n(k, n; A) \arrow[r, "p_{n,k;A}"] \arrow[d, "\text{Nrd}"] & K_n(A) \arrow[d, "\text{Nrd}"] \\
H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \arrow[r, "\psi_{n,n;k}"'] & \mathrm{CH}^n(k, n) \arrow[r, "p_{n,k;k}"'] & K_n(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with all horizontal maps isomorphisms. Thus, in the sequence (1), we may replace $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}_A(n))$ with $K_n(A)$ and $H^n(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ with $K_n(k)$ for $n = 1, 2$, proving (3) and (4). □

Appendix A. Modules over Azumaya algebras

We collect some basic results for use throughout the paper.

Let R be a commutative ring and A an Azumaya R-algebra.

Lemma A.1. *If R is Noetherian, A is left and right Noetherian.*

Proof. Indeed, A is a Noetherian R-module, hence a Noetherian A-module (on the left and on the right). □

Lemma A.2. *For an $A-A$-bimodule M, let*

$$M^A = \{m \in M \mid am = ma.\}$$

Then the functor $M \mapsto M^A$ is exact and sends injective $A-A$-bimodules to injective R-modules.

Proof. Let $A^e = A \otimes_R A^{op}$ be the enveloping algebra of A. We may view M as a left A^e-module. A special $A-A$-bimodule is A itself, and we clearly have

$$M^A = \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(A, M).$$

Since A is an Azumaya algebra, the map $A^e \to \mathrm{End}_R(A)$ is an isomorphism of R-algebras; via this isomorphism, $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(A, M)$ may be canonically identified with $A^* \otimes_{\mathrm{End}_R(A)} M$, with $A^* = \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, R)$. Hence M^A is the transform of M under the Morita functor from $\mathrm{End}_R(A)$-modules to R-modules; since this functor is an equivalence of categories, it is exact and preserves injectives. □

Proposition A.3. *For any two left A-modules M, N and any $q \geq 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^q_A(M, N) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^q_R(M, N)^A.$$

(Note that $\mathrm{Ext}^q_R(M, N)$ is naturally an $A-A$-bimodule, which gives a meaning to the statement.)

Proof. The bifunctor $(M, N) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is clearly the composition of the two functors

$$(M, N) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

(from left A-modules to $A-A$-bimodules) and

$$Q \mapsto Q^A$$

(from $A-A$-bimodules to R-modules). Note also that, if P is A-projective and I is A-injective, then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, I)$ is an injective $A-A$-bimodule. The conclusion therefore follows from lemma A.1. □

Corollary A.4. *Let M be a left A-module. Then M is A-projective if and only if it is R-projective.*

Proof. If M is A-projective, it is R-projective since A is a projective R-module. The converse follows from proposition A.3. □

Corollary A.5. *Suppose R regular of dimension d. Then any finitely generated left A-module M has a left resolution of length $\leq d$ by finitely generated projective A-modules. In particular, A is regular.*

Proof. Since R is regular, it is Noetherian and so is A by lemma A.1. Proposition A.3 also shows that $\mathrm{Ext}^{d+1}_A(M, N) = 0$ for any N. The conclusion is now classical [7, Ch. VI, Prop. 2.1 and Ch. V, Prop. 1.3]. □

Appendix B. Regularity

We prove the main result on the regularity of the functor $K(-;A)$ that we need to compute the layers in the homotopy coniveau tower for $G(X;\mathcal{A})$ in section 4.

Fix a noetherian commutative ring R. We let R-**alg** denote the category of commutative R-algebras which are localizations of finitely generated commutative R-algebras.

Following Bass [3, Ch. XII, §7, pp. 657–658], for an additive functor $F : R\text{-}\mathbf{alg} \to \mathbf{Ab}$, we let $NF : R\text{-}\mathbf{alg} \to \mathbf{Ab}$ be the functor

$$NF(A) := \ker\left(F(A[t]) \to F(A[t]/(t))\right)$$

where $A[t]$ is the polynomial algebra over A. We set $N^qF := N(N^{q-1}F)$; F is called *regular* if $N^qF = 0$ for all $q > 0$ (equivalently, if $NF = 0$).

For $f \in A$ the morphism $A[X] \to A[X], X \mapsto f \cdot X$ induces a group endomorphism $NF(A) \to NF(A)$. So $NF(A)$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ module. We denote by $NF(A)_{[f]}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$ module $\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T]} NF(A)$. With these notations Vorst proves the following theorem in [42].

Theorem B.1. *Let $A \in R$-**alg** and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be elements of A which generate the unit ideal. Suppose further that the map*

$$NF(R[T]_{a_{i_0},\ldots,\widehat{a_{i_j}},\ldots,a_{i_p}})_{[a_{i_j}]} \to NF(A[T]_{a_{i_0},\ldots,a_{i_p}})$$

is an isomorphism, for each set of indexes $1 \le i_0 < \cdots < i_p \le n$. Then the canonical morphism

$$\epsilon : NF(A) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} NF(A_{a_j})$$

is injective.

Proof. Compare [42, Theorem 1.2] or [22, Lemma 1.1]. □

This is extended by van der Kallen, in the case of the functor $A \mapsto K_n(A)$, to prove an étale descent result, namely,

Theorem B.2. *Let A be a noetherian commutative ring such that each zero-divisor of A is contained in a minimal prime ideal of A. Let $A \to B$ be an étale and faithfully flat extension of A. Then the Amitsur complex*

$$0 \to N^qK_n(A) \to N^qK_n(B) \to N^qK_n(B \otimes_A B) \to \ldots$$

is exact for each q and n.

In fact, one can abstract van der Kallen's argument to give conditions on a functor $F : R\text{-}\mathbf{alg} \to \mathbf{Ab}$ as above so that the conclusion of theorem B.2 holds for the Amitsur complex for NF. For this, we recall the *big Witt vectors* $W(A)$ of a commutative ring A, with the canonical surjection $W(A) \to A$ and the multiplicative *Teichmüller lifting* $A \to W(A)$ sending $a \in A$ to $[a] \in W(A)$. We have as well the Witt vectors of length n, with surjection $W(A) \to W_n(A)$; we let $F^nW(A) \subset W(A)$ be the kernel. If M is a $W(A)$-module, we say M is a *continuous* $W(A)$ module if M is a union of the submodules M_n killed by $F^nW(A)$. Then one has

Theorem B.3. *Let $F : R$-**alg** $\to$ **Ab** be a functor. Suppose that F satisfies:*

1. *Given $a \in A \in R$-**alg**, the natural map $F(A_a) \to F(A)_{[a]}$ is an isomorphism.*

(2) *Sending $a \in A$ to the endomorphism $[a] : NF(A) \to NF(A)$ extends to a continuous $W(A)$-module structure on $NF(A)$, natural in A, with the Teichmüller lifting $[a] \in W(A)$ acting by $[a] : NF(A) \to NF(A)$.*

(3) *F commutes with filtered direct limits*

*Let $A \in R$-**alg** be such that each zero-divisor of A is contained in a minimal prime ideal of A. Let $A \to B$ be an étale and faithfully flat extension of A. Then the Amitsur complex*

$$0 \to NF(A) \to NF(B) \to NF(B \otimes_A B) \to NF(B \otimes_A B \otimes_A B) \to \dots$$

is exact.

The main example of interest for us is the following: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a noetherian central R-algebra, and let $K_n(\mathcal{A})$ be the nth K-group of the category of finitely generated projective (left) $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

Corollary B.4. *Let $F : R$-**alg** $\to$ **Ab** be the functor*

$$F(A) := N^q K_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_R A).$$

Then F satisfies the conditions of theorem B.3, hence (assuming A satisfies the hypothesis on zero-divisors) if $A \to B$ is an étale and faithfully flat extension of A, then the Amitsur complex

$$0 \to N^q K_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_R A) \to N^q K_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_R B) \to N^q K_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_R B \otimes_A B) \to \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. Weibel [46] has shown that $N^q K_n(\mathcal{A})$ admits a $W(A)$-module structure, satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) of theorem B.3. Since K-theory commutes with filtered direct limits, this proves that the given F satisfies the conditions of theorem B.3, whence the result. □

Now let X be an R-scheme and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of Azumaya algebras over $\mathcal{O}_X$. We have the category $\mathcal{P}_{X;\mathcal{A}}$ of left $\mathcal{A}$-Modules $\mathcal{E}$ which are locally free as $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules. We let $K(X;\mathcal{A})$ denote the K-theory spectrum of $\mathcal{P}_{X;\mathcal{A}}$. We extend $K(X;\mathcal{A})$ to a spectrum which is (possibly) not (-1)-connected by taking the Bass delooping, and denote this spectrum by $KB(X;\mathcal{A})$. For $f : Y \to X$ an X-scheme, we write $K(Y;\mathcal{A})$ for $K(Y;f^*\mathcal{A})$, and similarly for KB.

The spectra $KB(X;\mathcal{A})$ have the following properties:

(1) There is a canonical map $K(X;\mathcal{A}) \to KB(X;\mathcal{A})$, identifying $K(X;\mathcal{A})$ with is the -1-connected cover of $KB(X;\mathcal{A})$.

(2) There is the natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to KB_p(X;\mathcal{A}) \to KB_p(X \times \mathbb{A}^1;\mathcal{A}) \oplus KB_p(X \times \mathbb{A}^1;\mathcal{A}) \\ \to KB_p(X \times \mathbb{G}_m;\mathcal{A}) \to KB_{p-1}(X;\mathcal{A}) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

called the *fundamental exact sequence.*

(3) If X is regular, then $K(X;\mathcal{A}) \to KB(X;\mathcal{A})$ is a weak equivalence.

From now on, we will drop the notation $KB(X;\mathcal{A})$ and write $K(X;\mathcal{A})$ for the (possibly) non-connected version.

Proposition B.5. *Let X be a noetherian affine R-scheme such that $\mathcal{O}_X$ has no nilpotent elements, and let $p : Y \to X$ be an étale cover. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be a sheaf of Azumaya algebras over $\mathcal{O}_X$. For each point $y \in Y$, let $Y_y := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ and let*

$p_y : Y_y \to X$ be the map induced by p. Fix and integer $q \geq 1$. Suppose there is an M such that, for each smooth affine k-scheme T, $N^qK_n(T \times_k Y_y, (p_y \circ p_2)^*\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for each $y \in Y$ and each $n \leq M$. Then $N^qK_n(T \times_k X; \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for each smooth affine T and each $n \leq M$.

Proof. Write $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$. Then $\prod p_y^* : A \to B := \prod_y \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is faithfully flat and étale. Since X is affine, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the sheaf associated to a central A-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a sheaf of Azumaya algebras, each finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}$ module is finitely generated and projective as an A-module. Thus $N^qK_n(X, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) = N^qK_n(\mathcal{A})$. Similarly, $N^qK_n(Y_y, p_y^*\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) = N^qK_n(p_y^*\mathcal{A})$. By corollary B.4, $N^qK_n(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. The same argument, with $T \times X$ replacing X and $T \times Y_y$ replacing Y_y, proves the result for $M \geq n \geq 0$ and all T. To handle the cases $n < 0$, use the Bass fundamental sequence and descending induction starting with $n = 0$. □

REFERENCES

[1] Amitsur, S. A., GENERIC SPLITTING FIELDS OF CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS, Ann. of Math. **68** (1955), 8–43.

[2] Arason, J. K., COHOMOLOGISCHE INVARIANTEN QUADRATISCHER FORMEN, J. Alg. **36** (1975), 448–491.

[3] Bass, H. **Algebraic K-theory**, Benjamin, 1968.

[4] Bloch, S. *Some notes on elementary properties of higher chow groups*, Recent papers of Spencer Bloch #18, URL: http://www.math.uchicago.edu/ bloch/publications.html.

[5] Bloch, S., *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory*, Adv. in Math. **61** (1986), no. 3, 267–304.

[6] Bloch, S. and Lichtenbaum, S., *A spectral sequence for motivic cohomology*, preprint (1995).

[7] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S., **Homological Algebra**, Princeton Mathematical Series **19**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.

[8] Cisinski, D.-C. and Déglise, F. *Triangulated categories of motives* preprint, 2007.

[9] de Meyer, F. R., *Projective modules over central separable algebras*, Canadian Math. J. **21** (1969), 39–43.

[10] Friedlander, E. and Suslin, A., *The spectral sequence relating algebraic K-theory to motivic cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup (4) **35**, No. 6 (2002), 773-875.

[11] Friedlander, E., Suslin, A. and Voevodsky, V., **Cycles, Transfers and Motivic Homology Theories**, Annals of Math. Studies **143**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000.

[12] Geisser, T. and Levine, M. *The Bloch-Kato conjecture and a theorem of Suslin-Voevodsky.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **530** (2001), 55–103.

[13] Hovey, M. **Model categories**, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **63** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[14] Huber, A. and Kahn, B., *The slice filtration and mixed Tate motives*, Compositio Math. **142** (2006), 907–936.

[15] Kahn, B. *Cohomologie non ramifie des quadriques*, *in* Geometric methods in the algebraic theory of quadratic forms, 1–23, Lecture Notes in Math., **1835**, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[16] Kahn, B. and Sujatha, R., *Birational motives*, revised version, in preparation.

[17] Knus, M. **Quadratic and Hermitian Forms over Rings**, Grundl. math. Wiss. **294**, Springer, 1991.

[18] Levine, M., *The homotopy coniveau tower.* J Topology **1** (2008) 217–267.

[19] Levine, M., *Chow's moving lemma in $\mathbb{A}^1$ homotopy theory. K*-theory **37** (1-2) (2006) 129-209.

[20] Levine, M. *K-theory and motivic cohomology of schemes, I*, preprint.

[21] Levine, M. *Techniques of localization in the theory of algebraic cycles*, J. Alg. Geom. **10** (2001) 299-363.

[22] Levine, M. *Bloch's higher Chow groups revisited*, Astérisque **226** (1994), 10, 235–320.

[23] Merkurjev, A.S. *On the norm residue homomorphism for fields*, Mathematics in St. Petersburg, 49–71, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **174**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[24] Merkurjev, A.S. *The group SK_2 for quaternion algebras* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **52** (1988), no. 2, 310–335, 447; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. **32** (1989), no. 2, 313–337.

[25] Merkurjev, A. S.; Suslin, A. A. *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 5, 1011–1046, 1135–1136.

[26] Morel, F. and Voevodsky, V., *$\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 90 (1999), 45–143.

[27] Østvær, P.A. and Röndigs, O. *Motives and modules over motivic cohomology*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 10, 751–754.

[28] I. Panin *Applications of K-theory in algebraic geometry*, Ph. D. Thesis, LOMI, Leningrad, 1984.

[29] Pelaez-Menaldo, J.P. *Multiplicative structure on the motivic Postnikov tower*. preprint, 2007.

[30] Quillen, D.*Higher Algebraic K-theory I*, in **Algebraic K-Theory I**, Lect. Notes in Math. **341**(1973) 85-147.

[31] M. Rost *Injectivity of $K_2(D) \to K_2(F)$ for quaternion algebras*, preprint, 1986.

[32] Sherman, C. C. *K-cohomology of regular schemes*. Comm. Algebra **7** (1979), no. 10, 999–1027.

[33] Suslin, A *SK_1 of division algebras and Galois cohomology revisited*, *in* Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XII, 125–147, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **219**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[34] Suslin, A *SK_1 of division algebras and Galois cohomology*, *in* Algebraic K-theory, 75–99, Adv. Soviet Math., **4**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

[35] Suslin, A. *Torsion in K_2 of fields*, K-theory **1** (1987), 5–29.

[36] Suslin, A. and Voevodsky, V. *Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients*. The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), 117–189, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., **548**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

[37] Voevodsky, V. *Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers*. Cycles, transfers and motivic cohomology theories, Ann. of Math. Studies **143**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000,

[38] Voevodsky, V. *Cancellation theorem*, preprint, 2002. http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0541/

[39] Voevodsky, V. *Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, no. 7, 351–355.

[40] Voevodsky, V. *A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K-theory*, *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)* 371–379, Contemp. Math., **293** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002).

[41] Voevodsky, V. *Motivic cohomology with $Z/2$-coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. **98** (2003), 59–104.

[42] Vorst, T., *Polynomial extensions and excision for K_1*, Math. Ann. **244** (1979), no. 3, 193–204.

[43] Wang, S. *On the commutator group of a simple algebra*. Amer. J. Math. **72**, (1950). 323–334.

[44] Weibel, C.A. *Fall 2006 Lectures on the proof of the Bloch-Kato Conjecture*. http://www.math.rutgers.edu/ weibel/motivic2006.html

[45] Weibel, C. A. *Homotopy algebraic K-theory*, in Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987), Contemp. Math., **83**, 461–488, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[46] Weibel, C. A. *Module structure on the K-theory of graded rings*. J. Alg. **105** (1987), 465–483.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 175–179 rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France
E-mail address: `kahn@math.jussieu.fr`

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA
E-mail address: `marc@neu.edu`